

Is immediate lymphatic reconstruction the future of lymphedema prevention?

Alejandra Aristizábal¹^, Pedro Ciudad², Hung Chi Chen³, Michele Maruccia⁴, Rahim Nazerali⁵, Oscar J. Manrique¹^

¹Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Strong Memorial Hospital, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA; ²Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Burn Surgery, Arzobispo Loayza National Hospital, Lima, Peru; ³Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung; ⁴Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy; ⁵Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA

Correspondence to: Alejandra Aristizábal, MD; Oscar J. Manrique, MD, FACS. Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Strong Memorial Hospital, University of Rochester Medical Center, 180 Sawgrass Dr, Rochester, NY 14620, USA. Email: alejandraaristizabalmedicina15@gmail.com; oscarj.manrique@gmail.com.

Comment on: Coriddi M, Dayan J, Bloomfield E, *et al.* Efficacy of Immediate Lymphatic Reconstruction to Decrease Incidence of Breast Cancerrelated Lymphedema: Preliminary Results of Randomized Controlled Trial. Ann Surg 2023;278:630-7.

Keywords: Immediate lymphatic reconstruction (ILR); lymphedema prevention; breast cancer-related lymphedema; secondary lymphedema

Submitted Dec 30, 2023. Accepted for publication Mar 01, 2024. Published online Apr 12, 2024. doi: 10.21037/gs-23-536 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs-23-536

Breast cancer-related lymphedema is a type of secondary lymphedema that may occur as a long-term complication after tumor or lymph node resection, leading to lymphatic fluid accumulation and fibrosis, affecting approximately 29% to 49% of patients who undergo axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) and 5–7% after sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) (1,2). As a result, clinicians continue to study ways to prevent the onset or even cure of lymphedema and improve the lives of those who develop it (3).

Several ongoing studies aim to address the risk factors that contribute to the disease before its onset. Immediate lymphatic reconstruction (ILR) is a direct shunting of the lymphatic drainage to the venous system immediately after ALND, aiming to prevent fluid backup (4). Authors like Boccardo *et al.* found that this kind of approach is safe with their study using the lymphatic microsurgical preventive healing approach (LYMPHA), however, there needs to be more evidence about long-term effects to back up the use of these procedures in clinical practice (5,6).

The study by Coriddi *et al.* focuses on ILR as a preventive measure (7). This study is a randomized controlled trial in

which the authors selected female patients between the ages of 18 and 75 years, treated at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, who underwent unilateral ALND or SLNB with a high likelihood of needing ALND, and immediately after, they evaluated if the patients had transected lymphatic channels and had a suitable vein for bypass. The patients who fulfilled these criteria were randomized to undergo ILR by connecting the transected lymphatic channels to a vein, the ones who did not, underwent lymph channel clipping. Excluded patients were males with breast cancer and females with bilateral SLNB or treatment for recurrent disease in the axilla or the lack of a vein or unavailable lymph channel for the bypass. The authors also measured patient-reported outcomes using questionnaires for lymphedema quality of life, upper extremity lymphedema, and depression and anxiety related to it (7).

These types of studies are very important to advance in the prevention and treatment of lymphedema and to measure which interventions should be done to decrease the impact of lymphedema in breast cancer survivors. We congratulate the authors and appreciate their contribution

[^] ORCID: Alejandra Aristizábal, 0000-0002-0165-6250; Oscar J. Manrique, 0000-0002-6177-0709.

Gland Surgery, Vol 13, No 4 April 2024

to our field. To follow the future results of this study, we would like to emphasize some crucial points regarding the ILR approach mentioned in the paper.

First, we wonder if there are any differences among the surgeons in their rate of finding suitable veins and lymphatic channels intraoperatively and if they performed any tests on the lymphatic system to verify if they were functional before proceeding with the bypass. Additionally, we would like to know if they tested the anastomosis using any contrast agents before ending the procedure since it's important to make sure that despite finding the vessels and connecting them, the anastomosis was patent (8-10).

Secondly, it is important to consider the lack of blinding for both surgeons and patients. Due to the nature of the procedure, the surgeon cannot be blinded, which makes them susceptible to biases such as observer bias. As for the patients, they are susceptible to the Hawthorne effect, where patients change their behavior because they know they are being observed, this might explain why the patients who did not get the procedure were more diligent in their use of compression garments (11,12). Biases could be reduced if the person in charge of follow-ups is blinded to the patient's treatment.

Additionally, it wasn't stated what type of breast reconstruction was performed or if they had breast reconstruction at all. It is still controversial whether autologous reconstruction provides better lymphatic drainage than implant-based reconstruction. Nonetheless, in previous studies, patients who underwent any type of breast reconstruction had a lower rate of lymphedema than those who only had a mastectomy, and this could be a confounder as to whether the lymphedema rate is lower due to the ILR or the reconstruction (13).

As for the follow-up period, less than two years is still short-term, it is important to continue to follow the patients because it is still unclear if this procedure prevents lymphedema in the long term or if it just delays its onset, in the latter scenario it opens a debate about whether these immediate preventive interventions should be done or necessary.

Even with the challenges that lymphedema research faces, we are excited to have these contributions to our field and we hope that the future results from this RCT help unveil some of the questions that persist. We thank again the authors for their commitment to this rigorous and welldone study.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Gland Surgery*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Peer Review File: Available at https://gs.amegroups.com/ article/view/10.21037/gs-23-536/prf

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://gs.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/gs-23-536/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Wang D, Lyons D, Skoracki R. Lymphedema: Conventional to Cutting Edge Treatment. Semin Intervent Radiol 2020;37:295-308.
- Suarez AC, Hammel JH, Munson JM. Modeling lymphangiogenesis: Pairing in vitro and in vivo metrics. Microcirculation 2023;30:e12802.
- Ciudad P, Escandón JM, Bustos VP, et al. Primary Prevention of Cancer-Related Lymphedema Using Preventive Lymphatic Surgery: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Indian J Plast Surg 2022;55:18-25.
- 4. Chun MJ, Saeg F, Meade A, et al. Immediate Lymphatic

Aristizábal et al. ILR for lymphedema prevention

Reconstruction for Prevention of Secondary Lymphedema: A Meta-Analysis. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2022;75:1130-41.

- Boccardo F, Casabona F, De Cian F, et al. Lymphatic microsurgical preventing healing approach (LYMPHA) for primary surgical prevention of breast cancer-related lymphedema: over 4 years follow-up. Microsurgery 2014;34:421-4. Correction appears in Microsurgery 2015;35:83. DeCian, Franco [corrected to De Cian, Franco].
- 6. Boccardo F, Casabona F, De Cian F, et al. Lymphedema microsurgical preventive healing approach: a new technique for primary prevention of arm lymphedema after mastectomy. Ann Surg Oncol 2009;16:703-8.
- Coriddi M, Dayan J, Bloomfield E, et al. Efficacy of Immediate Lymphatic Reconstruction to Decrease Incidence of Breast Cancer-related Lymphedema: Preliminary Results of Randomized Controlled Trial. Ann Surg 2023;278:630-7.

Cite this article as: Aristizábal A, Ciudad P, Chen HC, Maruccia M, Nazerali R, Manrique OJ. Is immediate lymphatic reconstruction the future of lymphedema prevention? Gland Surg 2024;13(4):600-602. doi: 10.21037/gs-23-536

- Zhou X, Liu B, Guo X, et al. Application of indocyanine green lymphography combined with methylene blue staining in lymphaticovenular anastomosis of lower limb vessels: A prospective study. Phlebology 2023;38:466-73.
- Forte AJ, Khan N, Huayllani MT, et al. Lymphaticovenous Anastomosis for Lower Extremity Lymphedema: A Systematic Review. Indian J Plast Surg 2020;53:17-24.
- Forte AJ, Sisti A, Huayllani MT, et al. Lymphaticovenular anastomosis for breast cancerrelated upper extremity lymphedema: a literature review. Gland Surg 2020;9:539-44.
- 11. Sedgwick P, Greenwood N. Understanding the Hawthorne effect. BMJ 2015;351:h4672.
- 12. Tammela T, Alitalo K. Lymphangiogenesis: Molecular mechanisms and future promise. Cell 2010;140:460-76.
- Siotos C, Sebai ME, Wan EL, et al. Breast reconstruction and risk of arm lymphedema development: A metaanalysis. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2018;71:807-18.

602