



# Disease volume and risk subgroup analyses for darolutamide plus androgen-deprivation therapy and docetaxel in the phase III ARASENS: should triplet therapy become standard of care in certain metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer patients?

Benedikt Hoeh, Felix K. H. Chun, Philipp Mandel

Department of Urology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Correspondence to: Benedikt Hoeh, MD. Department of Urology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Theodor-Stern-Kai 7, 60590 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Email: benedikt.hoeh@kgu.de.

Comment on: Hussain M, Tombal B, Saad F, *et al.* Darolutamide Plus Androgen-Deprivation Therapy and Docetaxel in Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer by Disease Volume and Risk Subgroups in the Phase III ARASENS Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2023;41:3595-607.

**Keywords:** Triplet therapy; doublet therapy; metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer (mHSPC); ARASENS; PEACE-1

Submitted Jul 11, 2023. Accepted for publication Sep 13, 2023. Published online Oct 09, 2023.

doi: 10.21037/cco-23-66

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cco-23-66>

The treatment for metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer (mHSPC) has remarkably evolved in the last two decades due to various phase 3 randomized-controlled trials (RCT) demonstrating favorable overall survival (OS) outcomes for combination therapy of docetaxel as well as androgen receptor-axis-targeted therapy agent (ARAT) combined with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) resulting in remarkable prolonged overall survival rates (1-8). In 2022, two RCTs (PEACE-1, ARASENS) demonstrated an overall survival benefit for triplet therapy [ARAT (PEACE-1: abiraterone; ARASENS: darolutamide) & docetaxel & ADT] over doublet therapy (docetaxel & ADT), thus, re-emphasizing the concept of early treatment intensification in mHSPC (8,9). However, bearing in mind that prior studies have indicated different extent of benefits for treatment intensification based on disease burden, data stratified according to disease burden is crucial when treatment decision are made in mHSPC. In contrast to the initial report of ARASENS in 2022 which did not include stratification according to disease burden, Hussain *et al.* performed in the current study a post hoc analysis of the ARASENS data investigating the effect of treatment intensification in mHSPC patients according to tumor burden. Specifically, the investigators reviewed efficacy (and safety) outcomes, focusing on OS in mHSPC patients

stratified according to tumor volume and disease risk following CHARTED (high-volume: visceral metastases and/or  $\geq 4$  bone metastases with  $\geq 1$  beyond the vertebral column/pelvis) and LATITUDE (high-risk:  $\geq 2$  risk factors: Gleason score  $\geq 8$ ,  $\geq 3$  bone lesions, visceral metastases) criteria, respectively (1,9). Here, Hussain *et al.* reported that triplet therapy increased overall survival OS versus docetaxel & ADT in patients with high-volume [hazard ratio (HR), 0.69; 95% CI: 0.57 to 0.82], high-risk (HR, 0.71; 95% CI: 0.58 to 0.86), and low-risk disease (HR, 0.62; 95% CI: 0.42 to 0.90), respectively (10). The current post hoc analysis by Hussain *et al.* contributes substantially to understand which mHSPC patients will likely benefit from a treatment intensification in terms of triplet therapy. It is of note that doublet therapy consisting of docetaxel & ADT, which was used in both ARASENS and PEACE-1 as standard of care, was superseded by doublet therapy consisting of ARAT and ADT, demonstrating more favorable survival outcomes with less toxicity (11-13).

As a consequence, questions arise whether triplet therapy will be more effective than doublet therapy consisting of ARAT & ADT. Since there are no direct comparison between triplet and doublet therapy (ARAT & ADT), decision making will most likely be driven by indirect comparisons and patient-characteristic based considerations

resulting in various network meta-analyses investigating this question in the recent past (10-14). In our updated network meta-analysis, incorporating most recent data by Hussain *et al.* and grouping patients according to CHAARTED criteria, in high-volume mHSPC, triplet therapy (ARAT: darolutamide) ranked first and demonstrated a statistically significant overall survival benefit compared to doublet therapy consisting of ARAT & ADT (14). In contrast, in low-volume mHSPC patients, no statistical difference in OS was recorded for triplet therapy relative to ARAT & ADT. As outlined by Hussain *et al.*, data for low-volume must be interpreted under the light of a less mature nature and therefore should be interpreted with caution—while those might benefit from local treatment (10,14). It is of note that differentiation according to disease volume was based on conventional staging [computerized tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and bone scanning] in ARASENS and PEACE-1. As a consequence, ongoing research is mandatory to answer the question whether current disease stratifications (CHAARTED, LATITUDE) are fully applicable for ‘modern’ staging relying on prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PSMA PET-CT). Taking together, the stratified data of the ARASENS trial reported by Hussain *et al.* underline the role of triplet therapy in the setting of high-volume mHSPC. Greater uncertainty ongoingly exists for low-volume mHSPC patients due to the immature nature of the current data. Since some low-volume patients (e.g., young patients with aggressive tumor or patients with high-risk disease) could profit from triplet therapy, both triplet therapy as well as doublet therapy (ARAT & ADT) should be taken into account when treatment decisions are made.

Finally, the study by Hussain *et al.*—together with various landmark trials investigating treatment intensification in mHSPC patients in the past decade—should remind the uro-oncology community to deliver evidence-based care for mHSPC patients. As recently outlined by Chen *et al.*, real world data from around the world demonstrated an alarming dismal adoption of combination therapy for mHSPC patients clearly indicating a need for greater awareness and hence, uptake of treatment intensification for mHSPC patients (15). Within this context, it must be emphasized that above outlined considerations regarding treatment intensification might not be realizable in everyday practice in some parts of the world due to potential lack of financial reimbursement or availability in some parts of the world (16-18).

## Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Chinese Clinical Oncology*. The article has undergone external peer review.

*Peer Review File:* Available at <https://cco.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/cco-23-66/prf>

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://cco.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/cco-23-66/coif>). F.K.H.C. reports speaker’s honoraria for Astellas, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Lumenis, Olympus, and Ipsen; and participation on advisory boards like Astellas, Bayer, Janssen, Lumenis, Molecular Health, Olympus, and Pfizer. P.M. reports speaker’s honoraria for Astellas, Janssen, Ipsen, Sanofi, Bayer, MSD, AstraZeneca and Orion Pharma; and participation on advisory boards like Janssen, Sanofi, Bayer and AstraZeneca. B.H. has no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Kyriakopoulos CE, Chen YH, Carducci MA, et al. Chemohormonal Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer: Long-Term Survival Analysis of the Randomized Phase III E3805 CHAARTED Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2018;36:1080-7.
2. Clarke NW, Ali A, Ingleby FC, et al. Addition of docetaxel to hormonal therapy in low- and high-burden metastatic

- hormone sensitive prostate cancer: long-term survival results from the STAMPEDE trial. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30:1992-2003.
3. Fizazi K, Tran N, Fein LE, et al. Final analysis of phase III LATITUDE study in patients (pts) with newly diagnosed high-risk metastatic castration-naïve prostate cancer (NDx-HR mCNPC) treated with abiraterone acetate + prednisone (AA+P) added to androgen deprivation therapy (ADT). *J Clin Oncol* 2019;37:141.
  4. Chi KN, Chowdhury S, Bjartell A, et al. Apalutamide in Patients With Metastatic Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer: Final Survival Analysis of the Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III TITAN Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2021;39:2294-303.
  5. Davis ID, Martin AJ, Stockler MR, et al. Enzalutamide with Standard First-Line Therapy in Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2019;381:121-31.
  6. Armstrong AJ, Iguchi T, Azad AA, et al. LBA25 Final overall survival (OS) analysis from ARCHES: A phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo (PBO)-controlled study of enzalutamide (ENZA) + androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) in men with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer (mHSPC). *Ann Oncol* 2021;31:S1300-1.
  7. Hoyle AP, Ali A, James ND, et al. Abiraterone in "High-" and "Low-risk" Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer. *Eur Urol* 2019;76:719-28.
  8. Hoeh B, Würnschimmel C, Flammia RS, et al. Improvement in overall and cancer-specific survival in contemporary, metastatic prostate cancer chemotherapy exposed patients. *Prostate* 2021;81:1374-81.
  9. Fizazi K, Tran N, Fein L, et al. Abiraterone plus Prednisone in Metastatic, Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377:352-60.
  10. Hussain M, Tombal B, Saad F, et al. Darolutamide Plus Androgen-Deprivation Therapy and Docetaxel in Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer by Disease Volume and Risk Subgroups in the Phase III ARASENS Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2023;41:3595-607.
  11. Mandel P, Hoeh B, Wenzel M, et al. Triplet or Doublet Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Focus* 2023;9:96-105.
  12. Yanagisawa T, Rajwa P, Thibault C, et al. Androgen Receptor Signaling Inhibitors in Addition to Docetaxel with Androgen Deprivation Therapy for Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol* 2022;82:584-98.
  13. Roy S, Sayyid R, Saad F, et al. Addition of Docetaxel to Androgen Receptor Axis-targeted Therapy and Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer: A Network Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Oncol* 2022;5:494-502.
  14. Hoeh B, Garcia CC, Wenzel M, et al. Triplet or Doublet Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer: Updated Network Meta-analysis Stratified by Disease Volume. *Eur Urol Focus* 2023;S2405-4569(23)00094-9.
  15. Chen K, O'Brien J, McVey A, et al. Combination treatment in metastatic prostate cancer: is the bar too high or have we fallen short? *Nat Rev Urol* 2023;20:116-23.
  16. Caffo O, Di Maio M. Equity in Drug Accessibility: The Surprising Case of Treatments for Metastatic Castration-sensitive Prostate Cancer in European Countries. *Eur Urol Oncol* 2021;4:1011-2.
  17. Gandaglia G, Briganti A, Raggi D, et al. Re: Philipp Mandel, Benedikt Hoeh, Mike Wenzel, et al. Triplet or Doublet Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Focus*. In press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2022.08.007> [Epub ahead of print] 2023 Feb 20]. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2023;S2405-4569(23)00044-5. doi:10.1016/j.euf.2023.01.024.
  18. Hoeh B, Chun FKH, Mandel P. Reply to Giorgio Gandaglia, Alberto Briganti, Daniele Raggi, et al's Letter to the Editor re: Philipp Mandel, Benedikt Hoeh, Mike Wenzel, et al. Triplet or Doublet Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-sensitive Prostate Cancer Patients: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol Focus*. In press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2022.08.007> [Epub ahead of print], 2023 Feb 20]. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2023;S2405-4569(23)00043-3. doi: 10.1016/j.euf.2023.02.004.

**Cite this article as:** Hoeh B, Chun FKH, Mandel P. Disease volume and risk subgroup analyses for darolutamide plus androgen-deprivation therapy and docetaxel in the phase III ARASENS: should triplet therapy become standard of care in certain metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer patients? *Chin Clin Oncol* 2024;13(1):14. doi: 10.21037/cco-23-66