Peer Review File

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cco-23-137

<mark>Reviewer A</mark>

The Author submitted a narrative review on the diagnostic and therapeutic landscape of early-stage NSCLC.

This is a well-rounded, adequately discussed review of multiple critical issues. I suggest to accept the paper at its current stage. I only found a few minor typing errors that can be corrected at the proof stage.

<u>Reply:</u> The encouraging comments of the reviewer are highly appreciated, thank you. The text was checked again for typing mistakes and corrected as appropriate. **<u>Changes in the text:</u>** correction of some typing mistakes.

Reviewer B:

An excellent and comprehensive review of neoadjuvant and perioperative therapies in early resectable NSCLC. The review is well-written and incorporates the latest studies presented at the ESMO meeting, along with recent articles. The author's opinion and interpretation are skillfully articulated throughout the discussion of various issues.

<u>Reply:</u> The encouraging comments of the reviewer are highly appreciated, thank you.

Changes in the text: none