



# Liver cirrhosis and sarcopenia: a dreadful combination

Mohammad Shafi Kuchay<sup>1#^</sup>, José Ignacio Martínez-Montoro<sup>2#^</sup>, Camilo Julio Llamaza-Torres<sup>3</sup>,  
José Carlos Fernández-García<sup>4\*^</sup>, Bruno Ramos-Molina<sup>5\*^</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Medanta The Medicity Hospital, Gurugram, Haryana, India; <sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Virgen de la Victoria University Hospital, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga (IBIMA), Faculty of Medicine, University of Málaga, Málaga, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Unit of Hepatology, Hospital Clínico Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Regional University Hospital of Málaga, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga (IBIMA), Faculty of Medicine, University of Málaga, Málaga, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Obesity and Metabolism Research Laboratory, Biomedical Research Institute of Murcia (IMIB), Murcia, Spain

<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered as co-first authors.

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence to:* José Carlos Fernández-García, MD, PhD. Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Hospital Regional Universitario de Málaga Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga (IBIMA), Faculty of Medicine, University of Málaga, 29010 Málaga, Spain. Email: jcfernandez@uma.es; Bruno Ramos-Molina, PhD. Obesity and Metabolism Research Laboratory, Biomedical Research Institute of Murcia (IMIB), 30120 Murcia, Spain. Email: bruno.ramos@imib.es.

*Comment on:* Tantai X, Liu Y, Yeo YH, *et al.* Effect of sarcopenia on survival in patients with cirrhosis: A meta-analysis. *J Hepatol* 2022;76:588-99.

Submitted Aug 12, 2022. Accepted for publication Sep 05, 2022.

doi: 10.21037/hbsn-22-355

**View this article at:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-22-355>

Patients with liver cirrhosis, irrespective of etiology, have increased risk of liver-related and all-cause mortality (1). Also, the severity of cirrhosis determines health outcomes. For instance, patients with compensated cirrhosis have a nearly five-fold increased risk of death, while those with decompensated cirrhosis have approximately a 10-fold increased risk compared with the general population (1). Furthermore, the median survival time of patients with compensated cirrhosis is 12 years, while it is only 1.8 years in those with decompensated cirrhosis (2). Moreover, the presence of intercurrent conditions, such as sarcopenia (loss of skeletal muscle mass and function) may also compromise the prognosis of patients with cirrhosis (3).

In line with that, Tantai *et al.* have reported in a recent meta-analysis (22 studies evaluating 6,965 patients with cirrhosis) that sarcopenia is significantly associated with increased mortality risk (4). They found that the 1-, 3-, and 5-year cumulative probabilities of survival in patients with sarcopenia were 76.6%, 64.3%, and 45.3%, respectively. By

comparison, the cumulative probabilities of survival were 93.4%, 82.0% and 74.2%, respectively, in cirrhotic patients without sarcopenia. Upon performing sensitivity analysis and excluding patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, the results were similar. Overall, patients with coexisting cirrhosis and sarcopenia had an ~2.6 times higher risk of death than those without sarcopenia (4).

Several previous meta-analyses had also demonstrated an association between the presence of sarcopenia and a lower survival rate in patients with cirrhosis (5,6). An evident limitation of these meta-analyses is that the majority of included studies were retrospective cohorts. Similarly, in the meta-analysis conducted by Tantai *et al.*, most studies (18 out of 22) were retrospective cohorts (4). However, in this meta-analysis, a more comprehensive study search was performed, as they added 16 new studies not previously included in prior meta-analyses, and excluded overlapping cohorts/small studies (4).

Several diagnostic modalities are available for sarcopenia,

<sup>^</sup> ORCID: Mohammad Shafi Kuchay, 0000-0003-3933-6137; José Ignacio Martínez-Montoro, 0000-0001-9761-6888; José Carlos Fernández-García, 0000-0003-2229-8488; Bruno Ramos-Molina, 0000-0001-6804-5449.

such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) or bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) (7). This fact, along with the lack of consensus for the use of a particular diagnostic modality or specific cut-off points for the diagnosis of sarcopenia, results in a higher degree of heterogeneity among studies. At this point, it is important to highlight that the majority of studies included in the meta-analysis from Tantai *et al.* diagnosed sarcopenia based on CT or MRI-based modalities, avoiding some level of heterogeneity.

The prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis ranges from 30% to 70%, depending on the tools utilized for the diagnosis of sarcopenia, etiology and severity of the cirrhosis (8). For instance, in the meta-analysis by Tantai *et al.*, patients with Child-Pugh class C cirrhosis had a higher prevalence of sarcopenia (46.7%) than those with Child-Pugh class B (37.9%) or class A (28.3%) cirrhosis. The prevalence was also found to be higher in alcohol-related cirrhosis (49.6%) compared to those with other liver disease etiologies (33.4%), and was higher in males (41.9%) than in females (28.7%) (4). Therefore, these findings confirm that sarcopenia is a highly prevalent condition among patients with liver cirrhosis and is a key factor in the prognosis of the disease.

Since sarcopenia worsens the prognosis of patients with cirrhosis, it would be advisable to screen all patients with cirrhosis for sarcopenia. Indeed, the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), and the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) guidelines recommend screening for sarcopenia with emphasis on early detection (9,10). However, as previously discussed, there is considerable heterogeneity among the preferred imaging modality and cut-offs used for diagnosis of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis.

The gold standard tool for the assessment of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis is CT, through the measure of the cross-sectional area of the abdominal skeletal muscles at the 3rd lumbar vertebra (L3) level (9). This cross-sectional area of the abdominal skeletal muscles yields skeletal muscle index (SMI) when normalized to the patient's height, which is a well-validated modality for the diagnosis of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis. Using this CT-based index, sarcopenia is defined as L3-SMI  $<50 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$  for men and  $<39 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$  for women (Western cut-off); or as L3-SMI  $<36.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$  for men and  $30.2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$  for women (Asian cut-off) (9,10).

In addition, several medical societies recommend incorporating handgrip strength (HGS) in the definition of sarcopenia. European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People-2nd meeting (EWGSOP2) definition incorporates low HGS ( $<27 \text{ kg}$  for men and  $<16 \text{ kg}$  for women) with low SMI (defined by the Western or the Asian cut-offs). Sarcopenia diagnosed by a combination of low HGS and population-specific L3-SMI cut-off is the best predictor for mortality (11). To enhance its predictability, sarcopenia has been added to the model for End Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score. When sarcopenia coexists, it is equivalent to adding 10 extra points to the patients' MELD score. The MELD-sarcopenia score led to an improvement in mortality prediction in comparison to the MELD score (12).

Of the 22 studies evaluated by Tantai *et al.*, 50% of them include patients with hepatocellular carcinoma with a variable prevalence (8–46%), a pathology that clearly constitutes a subgroup with a high morbidity and mortality rate. Apart from the efforts of the authors to homogenize the studies, this type of subgroup still constitutes an additional challenge to establish an adequate way to measure the impact on prioritization strategies, mainly in liver transplant settings or procedures with curative intent.

Prevention or treatment of sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis should be considered a health priority. Improvement in nutrition with branched chain amino acids (BCAA) have been shown to improve muscle mass in numerous studies (13). However, BCAA supplementation is not recommended beyond emphasizing the importance of meeting daily overall protein targets from a diverse range of protein sources (10). In addition, regular physical activity has been shown to protect patients with cirrhosis from developing sarcopenia and physical deconditioning (13). Likewise, testosterone supplementation has been shown to improve muscle mass in selected patients with cirrhosis (14). Overall, further robust longitudinal randomized trials are required to examine the benefits of these or other therapeutic approaches in the management of sarcopenia in patients with liver cirrhosis.

In summary, sarcopenia is highly prevalent among patients with cirrhosis and has a major impact on their survival. Sarcopenia assessment should become a part of routine care in patients with cirrhosis. The early diagnosis of sarcopenia should be considered as an essential part of the management of patients with liver cirrhosis, along with appropriate nutritional assessment and treatment, in order to improve the prognosis of this fragile population.

## Acknowledgments

*Funding:* Institute of Health Carlos III, grant ref numbers: PI20/00505 & CP19/00098.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://hbsn.amegrouppublishing.com/article/view/10.21037/hbsn-22-355/coif>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Ratib S, Fleming KM, Crooks CJ, et al. Causes of death in people with liver cirrhosis in England compared with the general population: a population-based cohort study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015;110:1149-58.
2. D'Amico G, Pasta L, Morabito A, et al. Competing risks and prognostic stages of cirrhosis: a 25-year inception cohort study of 494 patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014;39:1180-93.
3. Zeng X, Shi ZW, Yu JJ, et al. Sarcopenia as a prognostic predictor of liver cirrhosis: a multicentre study in China. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2021;12:1948-58.
4. Tantai X, Liu Y, Yeo YH, et al. Effect of sarcopenia on survival in patients with cirrhosis: A meta-analysis. *J Hepatol* 2022;76:588-99.
5. Chang KV, Chen JD, Wu WT, et al. Association of loss of muscle mass with mortality in liver cirrhosis without or before liver transplantation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019;98:e14373.
6. Kim G, Kang SH, Kim MY, et al. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in patients with liver cirrhosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0186990.
7. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing* 2019;48:16-31.
8. Dasarathy S, Merli M. Sarcopenia from mechanism to diagnosis and treatment in liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2016;65:1232-44.
9. European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu; European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on nutrition in chronic liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2019;70:172-93.
10. Lai JC, Tandon P, Bernal W, et al. Malnutrition, Frailty, and Sarcopenia in Patients With Cirrhosis: 2021 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 2021;74:1611-44.
11. Anand A, Mohta S, Agarwal S, et al. European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP2) Criteria With Population-Based Skeletal Muscle Index Best Predicts Mortality in Asians With Cirrhosis. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* 2022;12:52-60.
12. Montano-Loza AJ, Duarte-Rojo A, Meza-Junco J, et al. Inclusion of Sarcopenia Within MELD (MELD-Sarcopenia) and the Prediction of Mortality in Patients With Cirrhosis. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2015;6:e102.
13. Duarte-Rojo A, Ruiz-Margáin A, Montañón-Loza AJ, et al. Exercise and physical activity for patients with end-stage liver disease: Improving functional status and sarcopenia while on the transplant waiting list. *Liver Transpl* 2018;24:122-39.
14. Sinclair M, Grossmann M, Hoermann R, et al. Testosterone therapy increases muscle mass in men with cirrhosis and low testosterone: A randomised controlled trial. *J Hepatol* 2016;65:906-13.

**Cite this article as:** Kuchay MS, Martínez-Montoro JI, Llamaza-Torres CJ, Fernández-García JC, Ramos-Molina B. Liver cirrhosis and sarcopenia: a dreadful combination. *HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr* 2022;11(5):729-731. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-22-355