

Highlights of constructing liver-relevant *in vitro* models with 3D bioprinting

Jiangang Zhang[#], Huiyu Yang[#], Huayu Yang

Department of Liver Surgery, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH), Peking Union Medical College (PUMC) & Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS), Beijing, China

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Huayu Yang. Department of Liver Surgery, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH), Peking Union Medical College (PUMC) & Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS), Dongcheng District, Beijing 100730, China. Email: dolphinyahy@hotmail.com.

Submitted Oct 14, 2022. Accepted for publication Nov 05, 2022. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-22-486 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-22-486

The liver plays a key role in metabolism, digestion, detoxification, and other physiological processes, and thus liver-related diseases pose a considerable threat to global health. Therefore, exploring the mechanisms and pathophysiology of these diseases is of great clinical significance. In addition, the shortage of donors limits the application of liver transplantation in certain cases of endstage liver diseases (1,2). Manufacturing artificial liver tissue with physiological function using tissue-engineering technologies may be a solution to partially replacing liver function or a potential source of liver transplantation (3). Three-dimensional (3D) bioprinting is a commonly used tissue-engineering technology for fabricating preset macrostructures with cell-loading bioinks. According to the working mechanisms, 3D bioprinting can be divided into inkjet-based bioprinting, photocuring-based bioprinting, and extrusion-based bioprinting. Among various tissueengineering technologies, 3D bioprinting has attracted wide attention and achieved significant progress in recent years. Herein, we review the highlights of the latest progress, the notable research hotspots, and the future prospects of 3D bioprinting in liver-related preclinical studies from the aspects mentioned above.

An ideal bioink should possess excellent printability and shape fidelity to support cell growth and form a precise structure. The biocompatibility of bioinks is also crucial for promoting cell growth, maintaining cell function, and supporting subsequent analysis. In current 3D bioprinting systems, the commonly used components of bioinks include alginate, gelatin, collagen, decellularized extracellular matrix (dECM), fibrin, fibroin, and methacrylated gelatin (GelMA) (4). A desired biofabrication window can be achieved by blending different components and by adjusting the parameters of bioprinting. For example, blending solubilized native decellularized liver matrix and silk fibroin has been reported to promote the proliferation of liver cells and improve their biological function (5). Similarly, it has been reported that dECM can improve the viability and function of certain processes, such as in the albumin and urea secretion of human-induced hepatocytes (hiHep cells) (6). To construct complex structures, bioinks can be combined with sacrificial materials. Sacrificial bioinks are printable biomaterials that can be printed together with other bioinks and that gradually dissolve during subsequent culture. Blending sacrificial bioinks with other bioinks can be used to construct porous structures, while printing the two bioinks separately through multinozzle bioprinting can be used to build complex structures, such as perfusable channels. There are numerous reports on the construction of in vitro models using different bioinks, which are not discussed here in detail. As new bioinks continue to emerge, there remain questions concerning the exact effect of individual bioinks on embedded cells and the optimal bioink for each cell type and its proper concentration. Exploring the effects of different bioinks and their concentrations on the viability and function of hepatocytes and interstitial cells may enable us to develop novel bioinks.

Hepatic lobules are the fundamental structures and functional units of liver tissue. Due to its small size and complex structure, it is a challenging issue for tissue engineering to restore the structure and function of hepatic lobules *in vitro*. To address this issue, digital light stereolithography has been applied to achieve high resolution in 3D bioprinting. For example, biliary epithelial branched networks have been successfully constructed to simulate the structure of bile ducts in the liver (7). Furthermore, digital light stereolithography has been used to construct microscale hexagonal architecture containing hepatic cells, endothelial cells, and adipose-derived stem cells (8). Apart from digital light stereolithography, the usage of a precursor cartridge is also a solution for improving the resolution of 3D bioprinting. Specifically, precursor cartridges with the same shape as the structure of interest can be placed in the extrusion channel, and the compartments can be filled with different bioinks to achieve the regulation of microstructure. This process, through the usage of precursor cartridges together with a microfluidic system, has been reported to be capable of constructing a microstructure similar to liver lobules with a resolution of 20 µm, which is much higher than that achieved with traditional 3D bioprinting (9). This microscale-to-macroscale biomanufacturing method can be easily combined with extrusion 3D bioprinting, which has promising application prospects. However, existing 3D bioprinting methods with improved resolution often have a limited potential in high-throughput applications. The tradeoff between printing accuracy and potential in highthroughput application is key to creating a sound workflow for different research purposes.

Appropriate model designing and selection of cells loaded in bioinks are vital for simulating the physiological environment of the liver. Since uniform cell components cannot restore the structure of the liver and since 3D bioprinting allows the manufacture of different cell types, the construction of multicellular, multicomponent and perfusable in vitro models is one of the hotspots in 3D bioprinting. A perfusable multicellular model containing blood vessels combined with a microfluidic system has been reported on, in which the innermost sacrificial bioink created the lumen of the vascular structure. Bioink composed of GelMA and fibrin was loaded with HepG2 and other cells to mimic liver function. The outer layer composed of poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) provided sufficient mechanical strength and was used to connect microfluidic devices or blood vessels (10). This well-designed model served as a proof of concept that 3D-bioprinted tissue can be used as a vascularized graft with the potential as a functional substitute for liver tissue in the future, thus prolonging the survival of patients with endstage liver diseases (11). As for the selection of cells, liver is composed of hepatocytes, endothelial cells, Kupffer cells, fat-storing cells, and liver stem/progenitor cells. According to the physiological environment and cell composition of liver, optimizing the types, distribution, and proportion of cells is crucial in model development. Furthermore, a 3D-bioprinted model can be employed in drug screening to minimize animal use and provide precise pathological knowledge of diseases. Screening antitumor drugs using patient-derived tumor is another important clinical application of 3D bioprinting. For example, patient-derived intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma cells have been used for tumor modeling and drug screening (12). 3D-bioprinted patient-derived tumor models are expected to become a reliable method for drug screening and efficacy prediction, providing valuable information for the selection of clinical therapies in the future.

In summary, 3D-bioprinted liver tissues can mimic the microenvironment of the liver and serve as a research model to facilitate mechanism exploration. 3D bioprinting can also construct artificial tissues as potential sources of grafts. In addition, the 3D-bioprinted models can be applied to drug development, antitumor drug screening, and personalized medicine (13). Although 3D bioprinting is widely used and significant progress has been made, limitations exist that will inform the directions of future research. In current 3D-bioprinted patient-derived tumor models, the limited number of cells derived from tissue sample hinders its application in personalized medicine. Unfortunately, this drawback also makes it difficult to construct 3D-bioprinted models from biopsy samples in guiding the selection of neoadjuvant therapies and downstaging strategies in clinical practice (14). In terms of postprinting culture and analysis, exploring the combination of other downstream analysis methods and 3D bioprinting systems may be prove vital and greatly facilitate further research in liver-related diseases (15). In conclusion, applying 3D bioprinting and other tissue-engineering technologies to construct in vitro tissues mimicking the native liver environment is of great significance and warrants further exploration.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by grants from Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 7212077) and CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS) 2021-12M-1-058.

Zhang et al. 3D-bioprinted liver-relevant models

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://hbsn. amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/hbsn-22-486/coif). Huayu Yang serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Ma KW, Chan ACY, Chok KSH, et al. Liver transplantation: would it be the best and last chance of cure for hepatocellular carcinoma with major venous invasion? Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2021;10:308-14.
- Wang K, Lu D, Liu Y, et al. Severity of early allograft dysfunction following donation after circulatory death liver transplantation: a multicentre study. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2021;10:9-19.
- Yang H, Sun L, Pang Y, et al. Three-dimensional bioprinted hepatorganoids prolong survival of mice with liver failure. Gut 2021;70:567-74.
- Agarwal T, Banerjee D, Konwarh R, et al. Recent advances in bioprinting technologies for engineering hepatic tissue. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl 2021;123:112013.
- Sharma A, Rawal P, Tripathi DM, et al. Upgrading Hepatic Differentiation and Functions on 3D Printed Silk-Decellularized Liver Hybrid Scaffolds. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 2021;7:3861-73.

- Mao Q, Wang Y, Li Y, et al. Fabrication of liver microtissue with liver decellularized extracellular matrix (dECM) bioink by digital light processing (DLP) bioprinting. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl 2020;109:110625.
- Mazari-Arrighi E, Ayollo D, Farhat W, et al. Construction of functional biliary epithelial branched networks with predefined geometry using digital light stereolithography. Biomaterials 2021;279:121207.
- Ma X, Qu X, Zhu W, et al. Deterministically patterned biomimetic human iPSC-derived hepatic model via rapid 3D bioprinting. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016;113:2206-11.
- Hong G, Kim J, Oh H, et al. Production of Multiple Cell-Laden Microtissue Spheroids with a Biomimetic Hepatic-Lobule-Like Structure. Adv Mater 2021;33:e2102624.
- Liu X, Wang X, Zhang L, et al. 3D Liver Tissue Model with Branched Vascular Networks by Multimaterial Bioprinting. Adv Healthc Mater 2021;10:e2101405.
- Vasnani R, Ginsburg M, Ahmed O, et al. Radiofrequency and microwave ablation in combination with transarterial chemoembolization induce equivalent histopathologic coagulation necrosis in hepatocellular carcinoma patients bridged to liver transplantation. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2016;5:225-33.
- Mao S, He J, Zhao Y, et al. Bioprinting of patient-derived in vitro intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma tumor model: establishment, evaluation and anti-cancer drug testing. Biofabrication 2020;12:045014.
- Sun L, Yang H, Wang Y, et al. Application of a 3D Bioprinted Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cell Model in Antitumor Drug Research. Front Oncol 2020;10:878.
- Yang X, Xu H, Zuo B, et al. Downstaging and resection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with extrahepatic metastases after stereotactic therapy. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2021;10:434-42.
- Sahara K, Paredes AZ, Tsilimigras DI, et al. Machine learning predicts unpredicted deaths with high accuracy following hepatopancreatic surgery. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2021;10:20-30.

Cite this article as: Zhang J, Yang H, Yang H. Highlights of constructing liver-relevant in vitro models with 3D bioprinting. HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr 2022;11(6):896-898. doi: 10.21037/ hbsn-22-486