

Approach to systemic therapy in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Kamya Sankar¹^, Jun Gong¹, Kambiz Kosari^{1,2}, Nicholas N. Nissen^{1,2}, Ju Dong Yang^{1,2,3}

¹Samuel Oschin Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²Comprehensive Transplant Center, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ³Karsh Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Correspondence to: Kamya Sankar, MD. 8700 Beverly Blvd., Suite 1042A, Los Angeles, CA 90048, USA. Email: kamya.sankar@cshs.org; Ju Dong Yang, MD. 8900 Beverly Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90048, USA. Email: judong.yang@cshs.org.

Comment on: Bruix J, Chan SL, Galle PR, et al. Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: An EASL position paper. J Hepatol 2021;75:960-74.

Submitted Nov 04, 2022. Accepted for publication Nov 14, 2022. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-22-530 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-22-530

Introduction

Systemic therapy is the mainstay of treatment in the management of unresectable or advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Over the last two decades there has been a significant shift in the treatment paradigm for advanced HCC. Prior to 2007, cytotoxic chemotherapy was the standard of care with limited clinical benefit. The approval of sorafenib (1), a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), began the era of TKI treatment for HCC in 2007. While sorafenib was the first approved treatment in HCC to show overall survival (OS) benefit in a randomized control trial, several multi-targeted TKIs have been approved since, including lenvatinib (2), cabozantinib (3), and regorafenib (4). Recently, the shift has focused to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) alone or in combination with other agents in the management of advanced HCC. This led to the approval of combination atezolizumab and bevacizumab (atezo-bev) (5) in 2020, and recently the combination of durvalumab and tremelimumab (durva-tremi) (6) in the first-line setting for patients with unresectable or metastatic HCC.

In a comprehensive review (7) published in 2021, Bruix *et al.* summarize the major clinical trials which have led to the currently approved systemic agents for patients with advanced HCC. They discuss candidacy for systemic

therapy, contraindications to first-line agents and alternative options, timing of when to switch to second- or furtherline therapies, paucity of data for treatment in patients with impaired liver function and concurrent comorbidities, and challenges in clinical trial design in HCC. Here, we will summarize the key points, discuss recent clinical trials in advanced HCC, and future directions.

Current approach to systemic therapy in HCC

Patients with advanced HCC should be started on systemic therapy. Systemic therapy may also be considered for patients with early or intermediate stage HCC where the usual first-line treatment option may be contraindicated or in patients who response to local therapies has been suboptimal. The randomized phase III clinical trials evaluating the currently approved systemic agents in HCC have notably only allowed patients with Child Pugh A cirrhosis and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1, potentially not representing many patients with HCC seen in clinical practice. Within this patient population, for those naïve to systemic therapy, sorafenib prolongs OS compared to placebo (1), atezo-bev prolongs OS compared to sorafenib (5), lenvatinib provides non-inferior OS compared

[^] ORCID: 0000-0001-8636-8479.

to sorafenib (2), and nivolumab has shown a trend towards improved OS compared to sorafenib (8). In the second-line setting, regorafenib (4), cabozantinib (3), and ramucirumab (9) all prolong OS compared to placebo. ICI therapies have been approved as second-line treatments based on single-arm trials, including pembrolizumab (10), and combination of ipilimumab with nivolumab (11).

While atezo-bev has been the preferred first-line systemic therapy, the benefit is unknown in patients with hepatitis B virus (HBV)-hepatitis C virus (HCV) coinfection, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, ECOG performance status >1, liver transplant, Child Pugh Class B or C cirrhosis, uncommon histology and presence of brain metastases. Nivolumab monotherapy in CheckMate040 has been shown to be safe in patients with Child Pugh B cirrhosis, and has also been supported by real-world analysis (12), but such evidence is lacking for atezo-bev. Therefore, treatment decisions should be individualized. Dedicated clinical trials are required to address management of HCC in patient populations with concurrent comorbidities and impaired liver function.

Recent and ongoing clinical trials in advanced HCC

There are several updates on recent and ongoing clinical trials evaluating combination systemic therapies in advanced HCC since the time of this Bruix et al.'s publication. For example, a randomized phase III trial compared the combination of tremelimumab plus durvalumab, durvalumab, or sorafenib in patients with advanced or unresectable HCC. Durva-tremi significantly prolonged OS compared to sorafenib [median OS 16.4 vs. 13.7 months; hazard ratio (HR) =0.78, 96.02% confidence interval (CI): 0.65-0.93; P=0.0035] with similar rates of grade 3 or 4 toxicities in the combination group as compared to sorafenib (50.5% vs. 52.4%). Further, durvalumab monotherapy was non-inferior to sorafenib (6). The combination of durva-tremi recently received Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the treatment of patients with unresectable or advanced HCC, and may represent a regimen for patients who are not candidates for atezo-bev, i.e., patients who cannot receive antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy due to uncontrolled hypertension, uncontrolled esophageal varices, or high bleeding risk. The appropriate sequencing of therapies in the frontline setting (i.e., atezo-bev vs.

durva-tremi) is not yet known. ICIs are also being studied in combination with TKIs. In a randomized, doubleblind phase III study, lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab was compared to lenvatinib alone in advanced HCC in the firstline setting, where the primary endpoints of OS at final analysis and progression-free survival (PFS) at interimanalysis did not meet pre-specified statistical significance (median OS 21.2 vs. 19.0 months; HR =0.84, P=0.02; median PFS 8.2 vs. 8.0 months; HR =0.867, P=0.05) (13). Similarly, another study evaluating the combination of cabozantinib plus atezolizumab compared to sorafenib in the first-line setting for patients with unresectable or advanced HCC was negative, where the median PFS was 6.8 vs. 4.2 months (HR =0.63, P=0.0012) and median OS was 15.4 vs. 15.5 months (HR =0.9, P=0.44) (14). These studies raise concerns regarding the efficacy of TKI in combination with ICI in the management of advanced HCC.

Bruix *et al.* also identify significant challenges in designing clinical trials for HCC (7). This is in part due to HCC being a heterogenous tumor which occurs in patients with underlying chronic disease with variable liver function. The usual endpoint of OS is often impacted by the competitive risk of the natural history of cirrhosis in patients with HCC. Response rate measurements using traditional Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) criteria may also be altered by prior locoregional therapies.

Future directions

The treatment landscape for advanced HCC has changed dramatically over the last decade, and the incorporation of ICI has significantly improved outcomes for patients with HCC. However, several areas of unmet need exist including identifying biomarkers to select patients who will and will not respond to ICI therapy. Understanding immune resistance is a major area of ongoing research. With increasing number of therapies approved in the firstline setting, biomarker identification is especially important. Further, another area of unmet need is to identify effective and safe therapies for patients with impaired liver function who often make up the majority of clinical practice in the real-world setting. The future of HCC will likely involve the greater use of systemic therapies either in combination (VEGF/ICI, ICI/ICI), or combined with locoregional therapy (ICI/locoregional). Equally important will be the focus on developing data-driven guidelines to treat patients

HepatoBiliary Surgery and Nutrition, Vol 11, No 6 December 2022

with impaired liver function and in the use of biomarkers to guide treatment options.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://hbsn. amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/hbsn-22-530/coif). JG reports that he serves a consultant or advisory role for EMD Serono, Elsevier, Exelixis, QED Therapeutics, Natera, Basilea, HalioDx, Eisai, Janssen, Myovant, Astellas, Amgen and Incyte. JDY reports that his research is supported by American College of Gastroenterology Junior Faculty Development Award, Department of Defense Peer Reviewed Cancer Research Program Career Development Award (No. CA191051) and the National Institute of Health (No. K08CA259534); and he provides consulting services for AstraZeneca, Eisai, Exact Sciences, Exelixis, Fujifilm Medical Sciences, and Gilead Sciences. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

1. Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, et al. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med

2008;359:378-90.

- 2. Kudo M, Finn RS, Qin S, et al. Lenvatinib versus sorafenib in first-line treatment of patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised phase 3 noninferiority trial. Lancet 2018;391:1163-73.
- Abou-Alfa GK, Meyer T, Cheng AL, et al. Cabozantinib in Patients with Advanced and Progressing Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2018;379:54-63.
- Bruix J, Qin S, Merle P, et al. Regorafenib for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who progressed on sorafenib treatment (RESORCE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2017;389:56-66.
- Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, et al. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1894-905.
- Abou-Alfa GK, Lau G, Kudo M, et al. Tremelimumab plus Durvalumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. NEJM Evid 2022. doi: https://doi.org/10.1056/ EVIDoa2100070.
- Bruix J, Chan SL, Galle PR, et al. Systemic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: An EASL position paper. J Hepatol 2021;75:960-74.
- Yau T, Park JW, Finn RS, et al. Nivolumab versus sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 459): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2022;23:77-90.
- Zhu AX, Kang YK, Yen CJ, et al. Ramucirumab after sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma and increased α-fetoprotein concentrations (REACH-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2019;20:282-96.
- Finn RS, Ryoo BY, Merle P, et al. Pembrolizumab As Second-Line Therapy in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma in KEYNOTE-240: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial. J Clin Oncol 2020;38:193-202.
- Yau T, Kang YK, Kim TY, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma Previously Treated With Sorafenib: The CheckMate 040 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol 2020;6:e204564.
- Choi WM, Lee D, Shim JH, et al. Effectiveness and Safety of Nivolumab in Child-Pugh B Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Real-World Cohort Study. Cancers (Basel) 2020;12:1968.
- Kudo M, Merle P, et al. LBA34 Primary results from the phase III LEAP-002 study: Lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab versus lenvatinib as first-line (1L) therapy

for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (aHCC). Ann Oncol 2022;33:S808-69.

14. Kelley RK, Rimassa L, Cheng AL, et al. Cabozantinib plus atezolizumab versus sorafenib for advanced

Cite this article as: Sankar K, Gong J, Kosari K, Nissen NN, Yang JD. Approach to systemic therapy in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr 2022;11(6):931-934. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-22-530

hepatocellular carcinoma (COSMIC-312): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2022;23:995-1008.