

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-24-322>

Review Comments:

Comment: This Viewpoint is well written, and I can relate the author's opinion. But we should also take in account of excessive invasiveness when we perform resection of distant metastases for those having possibility of causing local complications because such the metastases may have aggressive extension.

Reply: We thank the reviewer for this comment. If we understand correctly, the reviewer refers to scenario 2 within the manuscript, and implies that local complications caused by oligometastases may also be indicative of disease aggressiveness. In such a case a radical resection may also be futile. We totally agree with the reviewer and believe that this is an important addition that strengthen the notion that cases in which there is a benefit in radical resection of both the primary lesion and metastases are super rare. In response to this important comment we have added this point to scenario 2 within the revised manuscript.

Changes in the text: We have added the following sentence to the text – page 3, lines 20-22: "Moreover, it should be taken under account that local complications caused by small oligometastases may, by itself, be indicative of tumor aggressiveness that would render futile attempts for radical resection".