

Hepatic artery reconstruction technique in liver transplantation: experience with 3,000 cases

Sami Akbulut^, Koray Kutluturk^, Sezai Yilmaz^

Department of Surgery and Liver Transplant Institute, Inonu University Faculty of Medicine, Malatya, Turkey

Correspondence to: Prof. Sami Akbulut, MD, PhD, FACS. Department of Surgery and Liver Transplant Institute, Inonu University Faculty of Medicine, Elazig Yolu 10. Km, Malatya 44280, Turkey. Email: akbulutsami@gmail.com.

Comment on: Lin TS, Vishnu Prasad NR, Chen CL, *et al.* What happened in 133 consecutive hepatic artery reconstruction in liver transplantation in 1 year? Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2019;8:10-8.

Submitted Jan 02, 2021. Accepted for publication Feb 26, 2021. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-21-2 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-21-2

Hepatic artery reconstruction (HAR) is the most valuable 1 2 step in correcting graft and recipient survival after liver 3 transplantation (LT). Hepatic artery thrombosis (HAT) in immediate postoperative period may lead to fatal 4 5 complications. Hepatic allograft is partial in a living donor liver transplantation (LDLT), and HAR is technically much 6 more difficult than deceased donor liver transplantation 7 (DDLT). The likelihood of HAT in LDLT is higher due to 8 9 the narrower diameters of arterial vessels.

Before the microsurgical HAR period, the incidence 10 of HAT was as high as 14-25% (1). HAR using operative 11 microscope (OM) was first applied by the Kyoto group, 12 in order to reduce the HAT incidence after LDLT (2). 13 HAT incidence after HAR with OM was reduced to 1.7% 14 (2,3). However, it is necessary to be careful when using the 15 literature data here. Because the 25% incidence of HAT 16 before OM belongs to the study on pediatric LDLT in 17 1991 of Broelsch et al. (1). In this study, hepatic artery flow 18 19 was provided with aortic conduit using the interposition saphenous vein in 80% of the patients with HAT. Increased 20 experience in LDLT and using microsurgical instruments 21 with surgical loupes at 6 or greater magnification yielded 22 similar or better results in adult and pediatric LDLT than 23 those achieved with OM (4-6). Even the Kyoto group, 24 the inventor of HAR with OM, currently performs HA 25 anastomosis with surgical loupe (7). 26

27 Nevertheless, there is an ongoing debate that the hepatic

artery anastomosis should be performed with the OM or 28surgical loupes. As a person who is used to both techniques, 29I would like to mention some of the disadvantages of OM: 30

- (I) Setting up OM, which has a fairly large volume, is 31
 a time-consuming process and prevents the fluency 32
 of the operative stages. 33
- (II) Due to the deep working area in HAR, it is not an 34 easy task to focus the OM and use the surgeon's 35 hands effectively. 36
- (III) Diaphragmatic movements and heart pulsation 37 make the artery anastomosis difficult. These 38 difficulties are better handled with surgical loupe. 39
- (IV) There is an extremely limited field view with OM. 40
 There is no chance to interfere with problems such 41
 as hemorrhage outside the field of view (7). 42
- (V) It is very difficult to expose the graft hepatic 43 arteries on a left lateral used for small infants 44 because of the very small abdominal cavity relative 45 to the hepatic graft. This is true for all left hepatic 46 grafts. In these cases, it is extremely difficult to 47 take proper position with operative microscope 48 and perform HAR. Therefore, we mostly perform 49 HAR before portal vein anastomosis in left grafts as 50 HAR is challenging after portal vein anastomosis. 51 Portal vein anastomosis is performed after HAR 52 and re-perfusion is provided from the portal vein 53 first, and HA is opened within a few minutes when 54

[^] ORCID: Sami Akbulut, 0000-0002-6864-7711; Korak Kutluturk, 0000-0002-7030-4953; Sezai Yilmaz, 0000-0002-8044-0297.

hemodynamics stability restored.

(VI) With OM, you have to use the "a paired Acland 56 double microvascular clip technique". With surgical 57 loupe and 2 separate bulldogs paired instead of 58 Acland double microvascular clip, a clear view and 59 safe suturing of the artery lumen will result in an 60 easier anastomosis. 61

(VII) HAR with OM is mostly performed by surgeons 62 63 other than transplant surgeons, like hand or plastic surgeons. This group of surgeons does 64 not dominate the HA and its surrounding 65 anatomy. These surgeons have not been involved 66 in transplantation since the beginning of the 67 operation. They are suddenly called from the social 68 life, without responsibility and dedication to the 69 operation and with a desire to return to their social 70 life which is contradictory to long-term transplant 71 surgery (8). 72

In our institute we used OM (x3-16) in our early 73 experience but have modified our technique. We now use 74 high power loupe magnification (×8–8.5) with microvascular 75 instruments instead of OM for HAR. Our reports 76 demonstrate, however, that in experienced hands, results of 77 78 HAR using high-power loupe optics can be equivalent or even better to OM. 79

When HAT develops, more than half of LT patients 80 need re-LT. When the HAT is recognized early, 81 revascularization procedures come into question before re-82 transplantation. When HAT occurred, the alternatives to 83 native HA for reanastomosis are splenic artery, interposition 84 grafts, and LGA, according to our order of preference (9). 85 There is no clear answer to the question of up to which 86 day surgical revascularization can be performed in the early 87 post-transplant period. Although surgical revascularization 88 attempts have been performed until the second month after 89 LT (10), the success rate of this procedure after the first 90 5 days is poor. 91

The use of LHA as a recipient artery in LDLT is 92 controversial (11). We use LHA in HAR if the lumen is 93 wide enough. More importantly, if you use left HA as the 94 recipient artery in LDLT and do not dissect right HA from 95 the biliary duct, posttransplant biliary complication rates 96 will reduce (unpublished data). 97

Patients who underwent transarterial chemoembolization 98 or transarterial radioembolization before transplantation 99 may have a high incidence of HAT (12). Tissues must be 100 carefully handled at the time of transplantation to prevent 101 intimal dissection in these fragile vessels. However, this 102

problem has been overcome as experience increased.

Although double HA rate in right lobe grafts is very low 104 and the left lobe grafts have often multiple arteries. Unlike 105 the right lobe grafts, both of arteries must be anastomosed, 106 when a left lobe graft has two arterial stumps, the dominant 107 artery is reconstructed first. After the initial HAR, another 108 reconstruction should be performed only if no pulsating 109 flow is observed from the remnant artery. In our cases, 110 single HAR in left lobe LDLT with two arterial stumps 111 did not affect patient survival or the incidence of biliary 112 complications. The experience and lessons from 3,000 LTs 113 were shared and important points highlighted. 114

Akbulut et al. Hepatic artery reconstruction in LDLT era

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

121 122 Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office of Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition. 123 The article did not undergo external peer review. 124

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE 126 uniform disclosure form (available at https://hbsn. amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/hbsn-21-2/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. 129

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all 131 aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related 132 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are 133 appropriately investigated and resolved. 134

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article 136 distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons 137 Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International 138 License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-139 commercial replication and distribution of the article with 140 the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the 141 original work is properly cited (including links to both the 142 formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). 143 See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. 144

References

147 1. Broelsch CE, Whitington PF, et al. Liver transplantation 148 in children from living related donors. Surgical techniques 149 and results. Ann Surg 1991;214:428-37. 150

55

119 120

115

116

117

118

103

130

135

125

145 146

HepatoBiliary Surgery and Nutrition, Vol 10, No 2 April 2021

1	2.	Mori K, Nagata I, Yamagata S, et al. The introduction		2012;26:877-83.
2		of microvascular surgery to HA reconstruction in living-	8.	Yan S, Zhang QY, Yu YS, et al.
3		donor liver transplantationits surgical advantages		reconstruction of HA in living
4		compared with conventional procedures. Transplantation		experiences and lessons. Hepate
5		1992;54:263-8.		2009;8:575-80.
5	3.	Inomoto T, Nishizawa F, Terajima H, et al. The use of the	9.	Yilmaz S, Akbulut S, Kutluturk
7		recipient sigmoid artery for a revision of hepatic arterial		Transposition for HA Reconstr
8		reconstruction after thrombosis in living related liver		Transplantation: Is it the Best C
9		transplantation. Transplantation 1995;60:881-2.		Inflow in Extraordinary Condit
0	4.	Guarrera JV, Sinha P, Lobritto SJ, et al. Microvascular HA		[Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1
1		anastomosis in pediatric segmental liver transplantation:	10.	Lin TS, Vishnu Prasad NR, Ch
2		microscope vs loupe. Transpl Int 2004;17:585-8.		happened in 133 consecutive he
3	5.	Banshodani M, Tashiro H, Onoe T, et al. Long-term		in liver transplantation in 1 yea
4		outcome of HA reconstruction during living-donor liver		2019;8:10-8.
5		transplantation. Transplant Proc 2011;43:1720-4.	11.	Uchiyama H, Ikegami T, Soejin
5	6.	Li PC, Thorat A, Jeng LB, et al. HA reconstruction in		recipient's left HA for artery re
7		living donor liver transplantation using surgical loupes:		lobe living donor liver transplan
8		Achieving low rate of hepatic arterial thrombosis in 741		anastomosis. Transplantation 2
9		consecutive recipients-tips and tricks to overcome the poor	12.	Ince V, Ersan V, Karakas S, et a
0		hepatic arterial flow. Liver Transpl 2017;23:887-98.		Transarterial Chemoembolizati
1	7.	Yagi T, Shinoura S, Umeda Y, et al. Surgical rationalization		Carcinoma Increase the Inciden
2		of living donor liver transplantation by abolition of HA		After Living-Donor Liver Tran

of living donor liver transplantation by abolition of HAreconstruction under a fixed microscope. Clin Transplant

Cite this article as: Akbulut S, Kutluturk K, Yilmaz S. Hepatic artery reconstruction technique in liver transplantation: experience with 3,000 cases. HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr 2021;10(2):281-283. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-21-2

3.	Yan S, Zhang QY, Yu YS, et al. Microsurgical	175
	reconstruction of HA in living donor liver transplantation:	176
	experiences and lessons. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int	177
	2009;8:575-80.	178
).	Yilmaz S, Akbulut S, Kutluturk K, et al. Splenic Artery	179
	Transposition for HA Reconstruction during Liver	180
	Transplantation: Is it the Best Choice for Adequate Arterial	181
	Inflow in Extraordinary Conditions? Liver Transpl 2020.	182
	[Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1002/lt.25884.	183
10.	Lin TS, Vishnu Prasad NR, Chen CL, et al. What	184
	happened in 133 consecutive hepatic artery reconstruction	185
	in liver transplantation in 1 year? Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr	186
	2019;8:10-8.	187
11.	Uchiyama H, Ikegami T, Soejima Y, et al. Use of	188
	recipient's left HA for artery reconstruction in right	189
	lobe living donor liver transplantation with duct-to-duct	190
	anastomosis. Transplantation 2010;89:1016-21.	191
12.	Ince V, Ersan V, Karakas S, et al. Does Preoperative	192
	Transarterial Chemoembolization for Hepatocellular	193
	Carcinoma Increase the Incidence of HA Thrombosis	194
	After Living-Donor Liver Transplant? Exp Clin Transplant	195
	2017;15:21-4.	196