TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation

Section	Item		Checklist description	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	•				
Title	1	D;V	Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the target population, and the outcome to be predicted.	Page 1/Line 2-4	Title Page
Abstract	2	D;V	Provide a summary of objectives, study design, setting, participants, sample size, predictors, outcome, statistical analysis, results, and conclusions.	Page 3/Line 2-22	Abstract/Paragraph 1-4
Introduction					
Background and objectives	3a	D;V	Explain the medical context (including whether diagnostic or prognostic) and rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including references to existing models.	Page 5/Line 2-7	Background/Paragraph 1
	3b	D;V	Specify the objectives, including whether the study describes the development or validation of the model or both.	Page 5/Line 8-22	Background/Paragraph 2-3
Methods					
Source of data	4a	D;V	Describe the study design or source of data (e.g., randomized trial, cohort, or registry data), separately for the development and validation data sets, ifapplicable.	Page 6/Line 9-15	Methods/Paragraph 1
	4b	D;V	Specify the key study dates, including start of accrual; end of accrual; and, if applicable, end of follow-up.	Page 6/Line 9-15	Methods/Paragraph 1
Participants	5a	D;V	Specify key elements of the study setting (e.g., primary care, secondary care, general population) including number and location of centres.	Page 6/Line 9-15	Methods/Paragraph 1
	5b	D;V	Describe eligibility criteria for participants.	Page 6/Line 16-21	Methods/Paragraph 2
	5c	D;V	Give details of treatments received, if relevant.	None	None
Outcome	6a	D;V	Clearly define the outcome that is predicted by the prediction model, including how and when assessed.	Page 7/Line 1-8	Methods/Paragraph 3
	6b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of the outcome to be predicted.	None	None
Predictors	7a	D;V	Clearly define all predictors used in developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including how and when they were measured.	Page 8/Line 13-14	Methods/Paragraph 6
	7b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of predictors for the outcome and other predictors.	Page 8/Line 4	Methods/Paragraph 5
Sample size	8	D;V	Explain how the study size was arrived at.	Page 6/Line 6-9	Methods/Paragraph 1

D;V D D V D;V V D;V V D;V V	Describe how missing data were handled (e.g., complete-case analysis, single imputation, multiple imputation) with details of any imputation method. Describe how predictors were handled in the analyses. Specify type of model, all model-building procedures (including any predictor selection), and method for internal validation. For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated. Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models. Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done. Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done. For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 8/Line 12-14 Page 8/Line 12-16 Page 8/Line 12-16 Page 8/Line 12-14 Page 8/Line 14-16 None Page 7/Line 1 Page 9/Line 12-19 Page 9/Line 12	Methods/Paragraph 2 Methods/Paragraph 6 Methods/Paragraph 6 Methods/Paragraph 6 None Methods/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 2 Results/Paragraph 2
D V D;V V D;V D;V D;V	Specify type of model, all model-building procedures (including any predictor selection), and method for internal validation. For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated. Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models. Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done. Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done. For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 8/Line 12-16 Page 8/Line 12-14 Page 8/Line 14-16 None Page 7/Line 1 Page 9/Line 12-19 Page 9/Line 12	Methods/Paragraph 6 Methods/Paragraph 6 Methods/Paragraph 6 None Methods/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 2
D;V V D;V V D;V V	for internal validation. For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated. Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models. Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done. Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done. For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 8/Line 12-14 Page 8/Line 14-16 None Page 7/Line 1 Page 9/Li ne 12-19 Page 9/Line 12	Methods/Paragraph 6 Methods/Paragraph 6 None Methods/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 2
D;V V D;V V D;V D;V	Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models. Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done. Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done. For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 8/Line 14-16 None Page 7/Line 1 Page 9/Line 12-19 Page 9/Line 12	Methods/Paragraph 6 None Methods/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 2 Results/Paragraph 2
D;V D;V D;V	Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done. Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done. For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	None Page 7/Line 1 Page 9/Li ne 12–19 Page 9/Line 12	None Methods/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 2 Results/Paragraph 2
D;V V D;V	Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done. For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 7/Line 1 Page 9/Li ne 12–19 Page 9/Line 12	Methods/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 2 Results/Paragraph 2
D;V	For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 9/Li ne 12-19 Page 9/Line 12	Results/Paragraph 2 Results/Paragraph 2
D;V	outcome, and predictors. Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 9/Line 12	Results/Paragraph 2
D;V	without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.		
D;V	without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful. Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.		
,	predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 9/Line 15-19	Results/Paragraph 2
V			
	For validation, show a comparison with the development data of the distribution of important variables (demographics, predictors and outcome).	Page 9/Line 15-19	Results/Paragraph 2
D	Specify the number of participants and outcome events in each analysis.	Page 9/Line 13-16	Results/Paragraph 2
D	If done, report the unadjusted association between each candidate predictor and outcome.	None	None
D	Present the full prediction model to allow predictions for individuals (i.e., all regression coefficients, and model intercept or baseline survival at a given time point).	Page 10/Line 4-12 Page 11/Line 2	Results/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 5
D	Explain how to the use the prediction model.	Page 11/Line 4-8	Results/Paragraph 5
D;V	Report performance measures (with CIs) for the prediction model.	Page 10/Line 4-13 Page 11/Line 2	Results/Paragraph 3 Results/Paragraph 5
V	If done, report the results from any model updating (i.e., model specification, model performance).	None	None
1	•		1
D:\/		Page 14/Line 3-7	Discussion/Paragraph 6
	D;V V	D;V Report performance measures (with CIs) for the prediction model. V If done, report the results from any model updating (i.e., model specification, model performance).	D;V Report performance measures (with Cls) for the prediction model. Page 10/Line 4-13 Page 11/Line 2

Interpretation	19a	V	For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data.	Page 12/Line 1-22	Discussion/Paragraph 2-3			
	19b	D;V	Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, and results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	Page 14/Line 10-13	Discussion/Paragraph 7			
Implications	20	D;V	Discuss the potential clinical use of the model and implications for future research.	Page 14/Line 13-16	Discussion/Paragraph 7			
Other information								
Supplementary information	21	D;V	Provide information about the availability of supplementary resources, such as study protocol, Web calculator, and data sets.	Page 15/Line 1-22	Footnote//Paragraph 1-5			
Funding	22	D;V	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study.	Page 14/Line 19-20	Funding/Paragraph 1			

^{*} Items relevant only to the development of a prediction model are denoted by D, items relating solely to a validation of a prediction model are denoted by V, and items relating to both are denoted D;V. We recommend using the TRIPOD Checklist in conjunction with the TRIPOD Explanation and Elaboration document.

Article Information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-2543

^{*}As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.