STARD 2015

Section & Topic	ltem No	Item	Reported on Page Number/ Line Number	Reported on Section/ Paragraph
TITLE OR A	BSTRAC	T		,
	1	Identification as a study of diagnostic accuracy using at least one measure of accuracy (such as sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, or AUC)	Page2/Line28-29	Abstract/Paragraph3
ABSTRACT			1	1
	2	Structured summary of study design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance, see STARD for Abstracts)	Page2/Line3-29 Page3/Line1-4	Abstract/Paragraph1-4
INTRODUCT	ION			
	3	Scientific and clinical background, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test	Page3/Line24-34 Page4/Line1-7	Introduction/Paragraph2
	4	Study objectives and hypotheses	Page4/Line11-22	Introduction/Paragraph3
METHODS				
Study design	5	Whether data collection was planned before the index test and reference standard were performed (prospective study) or after (retrospective study)	Page4/Line26-28	Methods/Paragraph1
Participants	6	Eligibility criteria	Page4/Line28-34	Methods/Paragraph1
	7	On what basis potentially eligible participants were identified (such as symptoms, results from previous tests, inclusion in registry)	Page4/Line28-34 Page6/Line6-16	Methods/Paragraph1 Methods/Paragraph6
	8	Where and when potentially eligible participants were identified (setting, location and dates)	Page4/Line26-28	Methods/Paragraph1
	9	Whether participants formed a consecutive, random or convenience series	Page4/Line26-28	Methods/Paragraph1
Test methods	10a	Index test, in sufficient detail to allow replication	-	-
	10b	Reference standard, in sufficient detail to allow replication	Page4/Line26-28	Methods/Paragraph1
	11	Rationale for choosing the reference standard (if alternatives exist)	Page4/Line26-28	Methods/Paragraph1
	12a	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the index test, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	Page6/Line6-16	Methods/Paragraph6
	12b	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the reference standard, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	Page6/Line6-16	Methods/Paragraph6

Image: bit in the second sec					
No. No. No. No. No. Analysis 1 Methods for estimating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy Page/Line14-19 Statistical analysis/Paragraph7 Analysis 1 How indeterminate index test or reference standard results were handled Page/Line19-22 Methods/Paragraph7 16 How indeterminate index test or reference standard were handled Page/Line19-22 Methods/Paragraph7 17 Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory Page/Line14-19 Statistical analysis/Paragraph2 Result S Intended sample size and how it was determined Page/Line14-10 Statistical analysis/Paragraph2 Result S Intended sample size and how it was determined Page/Line24-10 Results/Paragraph2 Participant 19 Flow of participants, using a diagram Figure1 Figure1 21a Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition Page/Line24-10 Results/Paragraph7 21a Distribution of atternative diagnoses in those without the target condition Page/Line14-14 Results/Paragraph7 22 Tire interval and any clinical interventions between index test and		13a	Whether clinical information and reference standard results were available to the performers/readers of the index test	-	-
Name Name <th< td=""><td></td><td>13b</td><td>Whether clinical information and index test results were available to the assessors of the reference standard</td><td></td><td>Methods/Paragraph1</td></th<>		13b	Whether clinical information and index test results were available to the assessors of the reference standard		Methods/Paragraph1
10 10W Indefinition lock lock of releases kated at results when handled Page 5/Line 19-22 Methods/Paragraph7 16 How missing data on the index test and reference standard were handled Page 5/Line 19-22 Methods/Paragraph7 17 Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory Page 5/Line 14-19 Statistical analysis/Paragraph2 18 Intended sample size and how it was determined Page 5/Line 7-10 Methods/Paragraph2 RESULTS Page 5/Line 2/Line 2/	Analysis	14	Methods for estimating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy	-	analysis/Paragraph2
Index Now Instant Guad on the Index test and Interfere San Guad were handled Index Instant Guad on the Index test and Interfere San Guad were handled 17 Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory Page7/Line14-19 Statistical analysis/Paragraph2 18 Intended sample size and how it was determined Page5/Linc7-10 Methods/Paragraph2 RESULTS Participants 19 Flow of participants, using a diagram Figure1 Figure1 20 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants Page7/Linc23-33 Results/Paragraph12 21a Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition Page9/Linc30-34 Results/Paragraph12 22 Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard - - 23 Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard - - 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page1/Linc1-34 Page10/Linc1-6 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - -		15	How indeterminate index test or reference standard results were handled	Page6/Line19-22	Methods/Paragraph7
Instrume		16	How missing data on the index test and reference standard were handled	Page6/Line19-22	Methods/Paragraph7
Index Index Index Index RESULTS Figure1 Figure1 Figure1 Figure1 20 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants Page7/Line23-33 Results/Paragraph1 21a Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition Page8/Line210 Results/Paragraph2 21b Distribution of atemative diagnoses in those with the target condition Page9/Line30-34 Results/Paragraph7 22c Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard - - 22d Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard Page8/Line12-27 Results/Paragraph7 22d Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - 23d Cross tabulation sincluding sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page9/Line30-34 Results/Paragraph7 24d Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page13/Line30-34 Discussion/Paragraph7 25d Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Line30		17	Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	Page7/Line14-19	
Participants19Flow of participants, using a diagramFigure 1Figure 120Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participantsPage 7/Line 23-33Results/Paragraph 121aDistribution of severity of disease in those with the target conditionPage 8/Line 2-10Results/Paragraph 221bDistribution of alternative diagnoses in those with the target conditionPage 9/Line 30-34Results/Paragraph 722Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard23Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standardPage 9/Line 3-34Results/Paragraph 724Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals)Page 9/Line 3-34Results/Paragraph 725Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standardDiscussion/Paragraph 726Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisabilityPage 13/Line 30-34Discussion/Paragraph 7Page 10/Line 1-6Discussion/Paragraph 7Page 10/Line 1-6Discussion/Paragraph 7Page 10/Line 1-6Discussion/Paragraph 726Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisabilityPage 10/Line 1-6Discussion/Paragraph 7Page 10/Line 1-6Discussion/Paragraph 7Page 10/Line 1-6Discussion/Paragraph 7Pa		18	Intended sample size and how it was determined	Page5/Line7-10	Methods/Paragraph2
Participants Page Trick of participants, using a diagram Page Trick of participants, using a diagram 20 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants Page7/Linc23-33 Results/Paragraph1 21a Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition Page8/Linc21-10 Results/Paragraph2 21b Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition Page9/Line30-34 Page9/Line30-34 22 Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard - - 23 Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard Page9/Line3-34 Results/Paragraph3-6 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page9/Line3-34 Results/Paragraph7 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page9/Line30-34 Results/Paragraph7 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page10/Line1-6 Results/Paragraph7 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - - 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertaint	RESULTS				
20 Description of the demographic and chinical characteristics of participants Construint 21a Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition Page8/Linc2-10 Results/Paragraph2 21b Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition Page9/Linc30-34 Results/Paragraph7 22 Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard - - 22 Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard - - 23 Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard Page9/Linc1-3-34 Results/Paragraph3-6 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page9/Linc30-34 Results/Paragraph7 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - - 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Linc30-34 Discussion/Paragraph 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Linc1-6 Discussion/Paragraph 28 <td< td=""><td rowspan="5">Participants</td><td>19</td><td>Flow of participants, using a diagram</td><td>Figure1</td><td>Figure1</td></td<>	Participants	19	Flow of participants, using a diagram	Figure1	Figure1
Image: Problem in the second of disease in those with the target condition Page9/Line30-34 Page10/Line1-6 Results/Paragraph7 21 Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition Page9/Line30-34 Page10/Line1-6 Results/Paragraph7 22 Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard - - 23 Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard Page9/Line30-34 Page9/Line30-34 Results/Paragraph3-6 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page9/Line30-34 Page10/Line1-6 Results/Paragraph7 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page10/Line1-8 Page10/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph 28 Registration number and name of registry - - - 28 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - - -		20	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants	Page7/Line23-33	Results/Paragraph1
21b Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target conduction Page10/Line1-6 22 Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard - - 23 Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard Page8/Line13-34 Page9/Line1-27 Results/Paragraph3-6 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page9/Line30-34 Page10/Line1-6 Results/Paragraph7 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - Discussion/Paragraph7 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Line30-34 Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line1-6 Discussion/Paragraph 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph 28 Registration number and name of registry - - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - -		21a	Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition	Page8/Line2-10	Results/Paragraph2
23 Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard Page8/Line13-34 Page9/Line1-27 Results/Paragraph3-6 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page9/Line30-34 Page10/Line1-6 Results/Paragraph7 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - DISCUSSION Page13/Line30-34 Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Line30-34 Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph 0THER INFORMATION 28 Registration number and name of registry - - - 28 Registration number and name of registry - - - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - - - -		21b	Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition		Results/Paragraph7
1 23 Cross labulation of the index test results (of their distribution) by the results of the release standard Page9/Line1-27 Page9/Line1-27 24 Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) Page9/Line1-6 Results/Paragraph7 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard - - DISCUSSION - - - 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Line30-34 Page13/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraph 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line1-6 Discussion/Paragraph 0THER INFORMATION 28 Registration number and name of registry - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - - -		22	Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard	-	-
24 Estimates of diagnostic decidely and their product as 50% connection (such as 50% connection) 25 Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard Page10/Line1-6 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Line30-34 Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraphing 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line1-6 Discussion/Paragraphing 0THER INFORMATION 28 Registration number and name of registry - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - -	Test results	23	Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard		Results/Paragraph3-6
DISCUSSION Page13/Line30-34 Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraphing 26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Line30-34 Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragraphing 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line13-16 Discussion/Paragraphing OTHER INFORMATION 28 Registration number and name of registry - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - -		24	Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals)		Results/Paragraph7
26 Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability Page13/Line30-34 Page14/Line1-8 Discussion/Paragrapher Discussion/Paragrapher 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line13-16 Discussion/Paragrapher OTHER INFORMATION 28 Registration number and name of registry - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - -		25	Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard	-	-
20 Study initiations, including sources of potential bias, statistical dicertainty, and generalisability Page14/Line1-8 27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test Page10/Line13-16 Discussion/Paragraph OTHER INFORMATION 28 Registration number and name of registry - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - -	DISCUSSION	N			
27 Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical fole of the index test 0 0 0 OTHER INFORMATION 28 Registration number and name of registry - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - -		26	Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability		Discussion/Paragraph6
28 Registration number and name of registry - - 29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - - Page14/Line22.28 -		27	Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test	Page10/Line13-16	Discussion/Paragraph1
29 Where the full study protocol can be accessed - - Page14/Line22.29 Admouledements	OTHER INFO	ORMATIC			
Page14/Line22.29 Aslmoutedements		28	Registration number and name of registry	-	-
30 Sources of funding and other support; role of funders Page14/Line22-28 Acknowledgments		29	Where the full study protocol can be accessed	-	-
		30	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders	Page14/Line22-28	Acknowledgments

AIM

STARD stands for "Standards for Reporting Diagnostic accuracy studies". This list of items was developed to contribute to the completeness and transparency of reporting of diagnostic accuracy studies. Authors can use the list to write informative study reports. Editors and peer-reviewers can use it to evaluate whether the information has been included in manuscripts submitted for publication.

Explanation

A diagnostic accuracy study evaluates the ability of one or more medical tests to correctly classify study participants as having a target condition. This can be a disease, a disease stage, response or benefit from therapy, or an event or condition in the future. A medical test can be an imaging procedure, a laboratory test, elements from history and physical examination, a combination of these, or any other method for collecting information about the current health status of a patient.

The test whose accuracy is evaluated is called **index test**. A study can evaluate the accuracy of one or more index tests. Evaluating the ability of a medical test to correctly classify patients is typically done by comparing the distribution of the index test results with those of the **reference standard**. The reference standard is the best available method for establishing the presence or absence of the target condition. An accuracy study can rely on one or more reference standards.

If test results are categorized as either positive or negative, the cross tabulation of the index test results against those of the reference standard can be used to estimate the **sensitivity** of the index test (the proportion of participants with the target condition who have a positive index test), and its **specificity** (the proportion without the target condition who have a negative index test). From this cross tabulation (sometimes referred to as the contingency or "2x2" table), several other accuracy statistics can be estimated, such as the positive and negative **predictive values** of the test. Confidence intervals around estimates of accuracy can then be calculated to quantify the statistical **precision** of the measurements.

If the index test results can take more than two values, categorization of test results as positive or negative requires a **test positivity cut-off**. When multiple such cut-offs can be defined, authors can report a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve which graphically represents the combination of sensitivity and specificity for each possible test positivity cut-off. The **area under the ROC curve** informs in a single numerical value about the overall diagnostic accuracy of the index test.

The **intended use** of a medical test can be diagnosis, screening, staging, monitoring, surveillance, prediction or prognosis. The **clinical role** of a test explains its position relative to existing tests in the clinical pathway. A replacement test, for example, replaces an existing test. A triage test is used before an existing test; an add-on test is used after an existing test.

Besides diagnostic accuracy, several other outcomes and statistics may be relevant in the evaluation of medical tests. Medical tests can also be used to classify patients for purposes other than diagnosis, such as staging or prognosis. The STARD list was not explicitly developed for these other outcomes, statistics, and study types, although most STARD items would still apply.

DEVELOPMENT

This STARD list was released in 2015. The 30 items were identified by an international expert group of methodologists, researchers, and editors. The guiding principle in the development of STARD was to select items that, when reported, would help readers to judge the potential for bias in the study, to appraise the applicability of the study findings and the validity of conclusions and recommendations. The list represents an update of the first version, which was published in 2003. More information can be found on http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-6476

^{*}As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copy editing and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.