Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	Yes. Where they are mentioned in the "Methods" section.	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	Yes. "Methods" section, paragraph 1.	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	N/A. The H9c2 cell line used in this study is mature and commercially available. Primary cultures were not performed.	√

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	N/A. This study did not involve animal experiments.	√
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	N/A. This study did not involve animal experiments.	√
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) **OR** RRID	N/A. This study did not involve animal experiments.	√

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	N/A. This study does not involve plants or microbes.	√
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	N/A. This study does not involve plants or microbes.	√

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	N/A. This study did not involve human samples.	√
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	N/A. This study did not involve human samples.	√
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	N/A. This study did not involve human samples.	√

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number **OR** cite DOI in manuscript.	N/A. This study did not involve clinical trials.	√

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	N/A.	√

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done**, or** if they were not carried out.	N/A. This study did not involve clinical trials.	
Sample size determination	N/A. This study did not involve clinical trials.	√
Randomisation	N/A. This study did not involve clinical trials.	√
Blinding	N/A. This study did not involve clinical trials.	√
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	N/A. This study did not involve clinical trials.	√

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	The number of times is three, Section "Statistical analysis", paragraph 1.	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	It is technical. Section "Statistical analysis", paragraph 1.	

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	N/A. This study did not involve ethics.	√
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	N/A. This study did not involve ethics.	√
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	N/A. This study did not involve ethics.	√

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	N/A. This study did not involve ethics.	√

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	N/A.	√

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	N/A.	√

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	Yes, relevant data are available from the corresponding authors.	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	N/A.	√
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	Yes, relevant data are available from the corresponding authors.	

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:	N/A.	√
State whether the code or software is available.	N/A.	√
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	N/A.	√

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-6081