

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-23-1770>

Review Comments

Reviewer A

The manuscript provides a thorough examination of bladder function, the pathogenesis of various bladder dysfunctions, and potential treatments, all within the Integral Theory paradigm's framework. Its depth of research and effective use of visual aids stand out. However, despite its specialized focus, it's crucial for the article to maintain clarity in its terminology and phrasing to cater to a wide readership. For example, the redundancy in phrases like "control is controlled" in the abstract needs refinement, as such minor issues can impact the manuscript's overall clarity.

[Reply: Thank you. We have extensively reviewed the paper and hopefully improved it.](#)

Reviewer B

1. The study presents the results of original research.
2. Results reported have not been published elsewhere.
3. Experiments, statistics, and other analyses are performed to a high technical standard and are described in sufficient detail.
4. Conclusions are presented in an appropriate fashion and are supported by the data.
5. The article is presented in an intelligible fashion and is written in standard English.
6. The research meets all applicable standards for the ethics of experimentation and research integrity.
7. The article adheres to appropriate reporting guidelines and community standards for data availability.

[Reply: Thank you](#)