

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-23-1759>

Review Comments

Reviewer A

The article is confusing and lacks a better explanation of the clinical application context for the proposed diagnostic method.

Reply: It is not possible to reply to someone who makes broad criticisms but gives no detail. However, we have extensively reviewed and revised the paper and hopefully improved it.

Reviewer B

Reply: Reviewer B was truly excellent. The suggestion of further references was particularly important, as it provided easily accessible actual % cure data for anyone interested in checking the predictive effectiveness of the ITP diagnostic system.

1. Title:

Number of appropriate words and is related to the objectives of the text and the development of the text.

2. Abstract:

The main objectives of the study are described.

Describes what the ITP diagnostic system consists of, its use and its applications. Is necessary including the methodology, without delving into the methodological details and including a brief conclusion.

The study is of great importance and impact, because the main causes of consultation are chronic pelvic pain.

Include keywords

Reply: The key words are there.

Keywords: diagnostic algorithm; POP;pelvic symptoms; vaginal examination; simulated operations

3. Introduction:

Relevant background is provided to understand the purpose and importance of the

study.

The definitions used are adequate.

In line 73 the abbreviation ITP is repeated.

Reply: The abbreviation of Integral Theory Paradigm, “ITP” was introduced on first usage in the abstract, and again when it appear in the main body (Introduction) of the manuscript in Line 73. It is a necessary repetition.

4. Methods:

The figures and questionnaires are explained in detail and how they are approached.

5. Results.

The videos match the description of the text, the approaches and techniques are appropriate. They are very educational and easy to understand for experts in the area.

Images in the text relate to the citation number.

6. Discussions.

It is necessary to add discussion and compare this system with previous studies.

Reply: The discussion comprises the anatomic rationale which is given at every stage of the paper. There are no previous studies. Peter Petros was the inventor of this diagnostic system.

7. Conclusion.

The conclusion coincides with the development of the text.

References:

Add more uptodate references.

Reply: Thank you. I agree proof is required to show that the diagnostic system is indeed predictive. These were added as APPENDIX D in the references APPENDIX D further references which surgically validate the predictive value of the diagnostic system

Excellent study, with great impact on women to understand the role of important symptoms related to pelvic symptoms. The objectives proposed at the beginning of the study were met, with an adequate methodology that explained step by step the use

of the ITP diagnostic system. It is necessary to include a discussion comparing previous studies and use more up-to-date references. The videos match the description of the text, the approaches and techniques are appropriate. They are very educational and easy to understand for experts in the area. I recommend this article for publication with minimal corrections.