

## Peer Review File

**Article information:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-4420>

### **Review Comments:**

This case reports a patient with a recurrent metastatic GBC after radical surgery and the cancer tissues with low TMB and MSS. CR was achieved by immunotherapy combined with antiangiogenic therapy. The authors should be congratulated for such a favorable outcome. There are some concerns to make the manuscript more impactful.

1. Abstract: As treatment procedure is the key in this case, please add detailed information of dosage and duration. Stage is another essential information authors never should have forgotten.

**Reply 1:** The detailed information of dosage/duration, and stage was added in revised version

Changes in the text: Page 2 line 28, line 32-33

2. Introduction: the last two paragraphs in Introduction, “four cases” and “one case” seem contradictory, please confirm. And I propose to combine the two paragraphs into one.

**Reply 2:** Introduction was seriously revised according to your comments.

Changes in the text: Page 3-4, line 46-87.

3. The patient has a 10-year history of cholecystolithiasis and cholecystitis without any treatment. Does the patient have a medication history? Please confirm.

**Reply 3:** We confirmed. The patient has cholecystolithiasis and cholecystitis without any treatment owing to no obvious discomfort.

Changes in the text: No changes

4. In the Timeline, important medical history, clinical manifestations, and diagnostic evidence should be provided. Besides, follow-up should be provided from April 13, 2020 to date. In addition, it is recommended to add significant information such as

the dosage.

**Reply 4:** Timeline was improved according your comments.

Changes in the text: Figure 1.

5. Please provide follow-up evidence regarding checklist 10b. Please also provide adverse and unanticipated events regarding checklist 10d.

**Reply 5:** Figure 4/5/6 was follow-up evidence (10b). No significant adverse events were observed. The patient expressed a positive and optimistic attitude toward future life (10d). These are added in checklist 10b and 10d.

6. The limitations of this case should be included in the Discussion regarding checklist 11a.

**Reply 6:** Limitations of this case is added in checklist 11a. Our finding is based on this case and need further verification.

7. Table 1 is unnecessary and should be deleted.

**Reply 7:** Table 1 was deleted in the revised version.

8. The present title fails to highlight its main significance. The title should emphasize the CR and time of remission in this case. In addition, relapse and distant metastasis of GBC are common, making the wording "unexpected metastasis" unreasonable.

**Reply 8:** The title was revised in the revised version. the wording "unexpected metastasis" was also revised.

Changes in the text: Page 1, line 1-2.

9. This manuscript needs language editing.

**Reply 9:** Language was edited by language editing company.

Changes in the text: Full text.