<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	of human participants. No experiments were performed.	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID	of human participants. No experiments were performed.	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
origin, genetic modification status.	of human participants. No experiments were performed.	

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
genetic modification status. Provide accession	of human participants. No experiments were performed.	
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
field: Provide species, sex and age where	of human participants. No experiments were performed.	
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID	of human participants. No experiments were performed.	

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data of human participants. No experiments were performed.	√
number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	of numan participants. No experiments were performed.	
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
accession number if available, and source	of human participants. No experiments were performed.	

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Yes. Methods-Study design and participants-Para1.	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	n/a. Individual consent was waived due to the	√
obtained from study participants.	retrospective nature of the study.	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Yes. Results-Baseline characteristics-Para1; Table 1.	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.	n/a. This was a retrospective cohort study.	√

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
by-step protocols are available.	of human participants. No experiments were	
	performed.	

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	n/a. This was a retrospective cohort study.	√
Randomisation	n/a. This was a retrospective cohort study.	√
Blinding	n/a. This was a retrospective cohort study.	√
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Yes. Methods-Study design and participants-Para1.	

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
replicated in laboratory	of human participants. No experiments were	
	performed.	
Define whether data describe technical or biological	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data	√
replicates	of human participants. No experiments were	
	performed.	

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Yes. Methods-Study design and participants-Para1.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data of human participants. No experiments were performed.	√
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	n/a. This retrospective study was based on clinical data of human participants. No experiments were performed.	√

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,	n/a. This study was not subject to dual use research of	√
state the authority granting approval and reference	concern.	
number for the regulatory approval		

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Yes. Methods-Study design and participants-Para1.	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Yes. Methods-Statistical analysis-Para1-3.	
tests.		

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	n/a. The study was based on patients' raw clinical data.	√
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	n/a. The study was based on patients' raw clinical data.	√
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	n/a. The study was based on patients' raw clinical data.	1

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	n/a. No code was used.	√
If code is publicly available, provide accession	n/a. No code was used.	√
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	Yes. The ICMJE guideline was followed and a STROBE	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	checklist was provided.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-1459

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph		
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract				
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found				
Introduction	introduction					
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported				
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses				
Methods						
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper				
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection				
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants				
		(b) Cohort study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case				
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable				
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group				
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias				
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at				
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why				

	1		T
Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	
methods		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) Cohort study —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results	•		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) Cohort study —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study — Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	
		Case-control study — Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done - eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence		
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results		
Other information	Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based		

^{*}Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.