<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		\checkmark
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		√
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		\checkmark
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	res (indicate where provided, section, paragraph,	√
genetic modification status. Provide accession		•
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		~
field: Provide species, sex and age where		•
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		\checkmark
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		√
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		~
accession number if available, and source		N.
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Methods/Para4	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Methods/Para1	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		\checkmark

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		\checkmark
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		\checkmark
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	This is a retrospective analysis.	\checkmark
Randomisation	This is a retrospective analysis.	\checkmark
Blinding	This is a retrospective analysis.	\checkmark
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	No hospital was excluded from this study.	\checkmark
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		1
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		\checkmark
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Methods/Para4	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		\checkmark
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		~
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	,	1

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		\checkmark
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Methods/Para6	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	Methods/Para5	
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		\checkmark
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		\checkmark
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		~
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		\checkmark
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		\checkmark

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		~
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-5429