<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

| Antibodies | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | Reasons for NA |
|--|--|----------|-----------------------|
| For commercial reagents, provide supplier | | √ | No commercial |
| name, catalogue number and RRID, if available. | | | reagents was used |
| Cell materials | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. | | √ | No cell line was used |
| Provide accession number in repository OR | | | |
| supplier name, catalog number, clone number, | | | |
| OR RRID | | | |
| Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of | | 1 | No primary culture |
| origin, genetic modification status. | | , | was used |
| Experimental animals | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, | , | ./ | No experimental |
| genetic modification status. Provide accession | | V | animal was used |
| number in repository OR supplier name, catalog | | | |
| number, clone number, OR RRID | | | |
| Animal observed in or captured from the | | _/ | No experimental |
| field: Provide species, sex and age where | | • | animal was used |
| possible | | | |
| Model organisms: Provide Accession number | | √ | No experimental |
| in repository (where relevant) OR RRID | | , | animal was used |
| Plants and microbes | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession | | √ | No plant was used |
| number if available, and source (including location | | Y | |
| for collected wild specimens) | | | |
| Microbes: provide species and strain, unique | | ./ | No microbe was used |
| accession number if available, and source | | V | |
| Human research participants | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or | Method subjects section, Paragraph 2 | , 4 | |
| equivalent committee(s), provide reference number | (Page 4, Line 91-94; Page 14, Line 335- | | |
| for approval. | 340;) | | |
| Provide statement confirming informed consent | Method subjects section, Paragraph 2 | | |
| obtained from study participants. | (Page 4, Line 93-94; Page 14, Line 340-341:) | | |
| Report on age and sex for all study participants. | Method subjects section, Paragraph 1 | | |
| · · · · · · | (Page 4, Line 82-83) | | |

Design

| Study protocol | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | Reason for NA |
|---|--|----------|--|
| For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript. | | ✓ | Not a clinical trial. |
| Laboratory protocol | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step- by-step protocols are available. | | √ | No lab experiment was done |
| Experimental study design (statistics details) | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out. | , , | · ✓ | |
| Sample size determination | Not carried out | | |
| Randomisation Blinding | | ∨ | observation study observation study |
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria | Method subjects section, Paragraph 1 (Page 4, Line 86-90) | | |
| Sample definition and in-laboratory replication | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory | , , , | · ✓ | No lab experiment was done |
| Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates | | √ | No lab experiment was done |
| Ethics | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. | Method subjects section, Paragraph 2 (Page 4, Line 91-94; Page 14, Line 335-340;) | · | |
| Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. | | ✓ | No experimental animal was used |
| Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. | | ✓ | No specimen or field samples was used. |
| Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC) | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval | | ✓ | No dual use research |

Analysis

| Attrition | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | Reasons for NA |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| State if sample or data point from the analysis is | No sample is excluded. | | |
| excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were | | | |
| determined and specified in advance. | | | |
| | | 1 - | |
| Statistics | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of | Methods, Statistical analysis section | | |
| tests. | | | |
| | (Page 6, Line 129-136) | | |
| Data Availability | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| State whether newly created datasets are available, | | | No newly created |
| including protocols for access or restriction on | | \checkmark | datasets are used. |
| access. | | | |
| If data are publicly available, provide accession | | _ | Data is not publicly available |
| number in repository or DOI or URL. | | | |
| If publicly available data are reused, provide | | | Data is not publicly available |
| accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where | | ✓ | |
| possible. | | | |
| Code Avellabilian | V / 1 | , | |
| Code Availability | Yes (indicate where provided: | n/a | |
| For all newly generated code and software essential | | ✓ | No code/software is used |
| for replicating the main findings of the study: | | | |
| State whether the code or software is available. | | ✓ | No code/software is used |
| If code is publicly available, provide accession | | $\overline{}$ | No code/software is used |
| number in repository, or DOI or URL. | | | |

Reporting

| Adherence to community standards | Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) | n/a |
|--|--|----------|
| MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR. | | ✓ |
| State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript. | TREND reporting checklist is provided. ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication. | |

| Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-6628 | |
|---|--|
| | |

TREND Statement Checklist

| Paper Section/ Topic | Item No | Descriptor | Reported on Page Number/Line Number | Reported on Section/Paragraph |
|-------------------------|------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Title and Abstract | | | | |
| Title and Abstract | 1 | Information on how unit were allocated to interventions | | |
| | | Structured abstract recommended | | |
| | | Information on target population or study sample | | |
| Introduction | | | | |
| Background | 2 | Scientific background and explanation of rationale | | |
| | | Theories used in designing behavioral interventions | | |
| Methods | | | | |
| Participants | 3 | Eligibility criteria for participants, including criteria at different levels in recruitment/sampling plan (e.g., cities, clinics, subjects) | | |
| | | Method of recruitment (e.g., referral, self-selection), including the sampling method if a systematic sampling plan was implemented | | |
| | | Recruitment setting | | |
| | | Settings and locations where the data were collected | | |
| Interventions | 4 | Details of the interventions intended for each study condition and how and when they were actually administered, specifically including: | | |
| | | o Content: what was given? | | |
| | | o Delivery method: how was the content given? | | |
| | | o Unit of delivery: how were the subjects grouped during delivery? | | |
| | | o Deliverer: who delivered the intervention? | | |
| | | o Setting: where was the intervention delivered? | | |
| | | o Exposure quantity and duration: how many sessions or episodes or events were intended to be delivered? How long were they intended to last? | | |

| | | o Time span: how long was it intended to take to deliver the intervention to each unit? | |
|------------------------|----|--|--|
| | | o Activities to increase compliance or adherence (e.g., incentives) | |
| Objectives | 5 | Specific objectives and hypotheses | |
| Outcomes | 6 | Clearly defined primary and secondary outcome measures | |
| | | Methods used to collect data and any methods used to enhance the quality of measurements | |
| | | Information on validated instruments such as psychometric and biometric properties | |
| Sample Size | 7 | How sample size was determined and, when applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping rules | |
| Assignment | 8 | Unit of assignment (the unit being assigned to study condition, e.g., individual, group, community) | |
| Method | | Method used to assign units to study conditions, including details of any restriction (e.g., blocking, stratification, minimization) | |
| | | Inclusion of aspects employed to help minimize potential bias induced due to non-randomization (e.g., matching) | |
| Blinding (masking) | 9 | Whether or not participants, those administering the interventions, and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to study condition assignment; if so, statement regarding how the blinding was accomplished and how it was assessed. | |
| Unit of Analysis | 10 | Description of the smallest unit that is being analyzed to assess intervention effects (e.g., individual, group, or community) | |
| | | If the unit of analysis differs from the unit of assignment, the analytical method used to account for this (e.g., adjusting the standard error estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis) | |
| Statistical Methods | 11 | Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data | |
| | | Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup analyses and adjusted analysis | |
| | | Methods for imputing missing data, if used | |
| | | Statistical software or programs used | |
| Results | | | |
| Participant flow | 12 | Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment, assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a diagram is strongly recommended) | |
| | | o Enrollment: the numbers of participants screened for eligibility, found to be eligible or not eligible, declined to be enrolled, and enrolled in the study | |
| | | | |

| | | o Assignment: the numbers of participants assigned to a study condition | | |
|-------------------------|----|---|-----|---|
| | | o Allocation and intervention exposure: the number of participants assigned to each study condition and the number of participants who received each intervention | | |
| | | o Follow-up: the number of participants who completed the follow- up or did not complete the follow-up (i.e., lost to follow-up), by study condition | | |
| | | o Analysis: the number of participants included in or excluded from the main analysis, by study condition | | |
| | | Description of protocol deviations from study as planned, along with reasons | | |
| Recruitment | 13 | Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up | | |
| Baseline Data | 14 | Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants in each study condition | | |
| | | Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific disease prevention research | | |
| | | Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall and by study condition | | |
| | | Comparison between study population at baseline and target population of interest | | |
| Baseline equivalence | 15 | Data on study group equivalence at baseline and statistical methods used to control for baseline differences | | |
| Numbers analyzed | 16 | Number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis for each study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible | | |
| | | Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses | | |
| Outcomes and estimation | 17 | For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence interval to indicate the precision | | |
| | | Inclusion of null and negative findings | | |
| | | Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through which the intervention was intended to operate, if any | | |
| Ancillary analyses | 18 | Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted analyses, indicating which are prespecified or exploratory | | |
| Adverse events | 19 | Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and confidence intervals) | | |
| | | 1 | l . | 1 |

| DISCUSSION | | | | |
|------------------|----|--|--|--|
| Interpretation | 20 | Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses, sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses, and other limitations or weaknesses of the study | | |
| | | Discussion of results taking into account the mechanism by which the intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative mechanisms or explanations | | |
| | | Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention, fidelity of implementation | | |
| | | Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications | | |
| Generalizability | 21 | Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in the study, and other contextual issues | | |
| Overall Evidence | 22 | General interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence and current theory | | |

From: Des Jarlais, D. C., Lyles, C., Crepaz, N., & the Trend Group (2004). Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: The TREND statement. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94, 361-366. For more information, visit: http://www.cdc.gov/trendstatement/