

# Role of a successful spontaneous breathing trial in ventilator liberation in brain-injured patients

Zhong-Hua Shi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Annemijn H. Jonkman<sup>2,3</sup>, Pieter Roel Tuinman<sup>2,3</sup>, Guang-Qiang Chen<sup>1</sup>, Ming Xu<sup>1</sup>, Yan-Lin Yang<sup>1</sup>, Leo M. A. Heunks<sup>2,3#</sup>, Jian-Xin Zhou<sup>1#</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Intensive Care, Amsterdam UMC, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Research VUmc Intensive Care (REVIVE), Amsterdam UMC, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

*Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: ZH Shi, GQ Chen, LMA Heunks, JX Zhou; (II) Administrative support: None; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: ZH Shi, GQ Chen, YL Yang, M Xu; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: ZH Shi, GQ Chen, YL Yang, M Xu; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: ZH Shi, AH Jonkman, PR Tuinman, LMA Heunks; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

"These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Jian-Xin Zhou. Department of Critical Care Medicine, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 119 South Fourth Ring West Road, Fengtai District, Beijing 100070, China. Email: zhoujx.cn@icloud.com.

**Background:** Spontaneous breathing trials (SBTs) have been shown to improve outcomes in critically ill patients. However, in patients with brain injury, indications for intubation and mechanical ventilation are different from those of non-neurological patients, and the role of an SBT in patients with brain injury is less established. The aim of the present study was to compare key respiratory variables acquired during a successful SBT between patients with successful ventilator liberation.

**Methods:** In this prospective study, patients with brain injury ( $\geq 18$  years of age), who completed a 30-min SBT, were enrolled. Airway pressure, flow, esophageal pressure, and diaphragm electrical activity ( $\Delta$ EAdi) were recorded before (baseline) and during the SBT. Respiratory rate (RR), tidal volume, inspiratory muscle pressure ( $\Delta$ Pmus),  $\Delta$ EAdi, and neuromechanical efficiency ( $\Delta$ Pmus/ $\Delta$ EAdi) of the diaphragm were calculated breath by breath and compared between the liberation success and failure groups. Failed liberation was defined as the need for invasive ventilator assistance within 48 h after the SBT.

**Results:** In total, 46 patients (51.9±13.2 years, 67.4% male) completed the SBT. Seventeen (37%) patients failed ventilator liberation within 48 h. Another 11 patients required invasive ventilation within 7 days after completing the SBT. There were no differences in baseline characteristics between the success and failed groups. In-depth analysis showed similar changes in patterns and values of respiratory physiological parameters between the groups.

**Conclusions:** In patients with brain injury, ventilator liberation failure was common after successful SBT. In-depth physiological analysis during the SBT did not provide data to predict successful liberation in these patients.

Trial registration: The trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (No. NCT02863237).

Keywords: Brain injury; mechanical ventilation; spontaneous breathing trial; ventilator liberation

Submitted Sep 13, 2020. Accepted for publication Dec 18, 2020. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-6407 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-6407

#### Introduction

In critically ill patients with brain injury, mechanical ventilation aims to minimize secondary brain injury (e.g., due to ischemia and edema) by securing oxygenation and preventing hypercapnic acidosis (1-5). However, the time-dependent nature of ventilator-related complications requires timely ventilator liberation (6-10). Protocolized weaning, including the use of a spontaneous breathing trial (SBT), improves outcomes and is recommended in international guidelines (11-15).

Indications for endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation in patients with brain injury are largely different from those of critically ill patients without brain injury (further referred to general critically ill patients) (8,16-18). The predictive value of a successful SBT for ventilator liberation in patients with brain injury is largely unknown. Recent studies have demonstrated higher extubation failure rates after a successful SBT in patients with brain injury compared with general critically ill patients (19-23). After a successful SBT, extubation failure rates between 31% and 46% have been reported in patients with brain injury. In contrast, 10-14% of general critically ill patients completing an SBT failed ventilator liberation (24-27). Reasons for the high failure rates in patients with brain injury may be related to upper airway function or neurological status (19,21,23). Therefore, guidelines specifically recommend assessment for airway protective ability, including suctioning frequency, cough strength, and mental status (11,28). However, the role of impaired respiratory reserve has not been systematically evaluated in this population. This is important, as ventilator reconnection is frequent in tracheostomized patients with brain injury, indicating that factors other than upper airway protection may be involved (29). Therefore, the aim of the current study was to investigate patients with brain injury and to determine if the respiratory physiological parameters during a successful SBT are different between patients with successful liberation versus failed ventilator liberation.

We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/atm-20-6407).

#### Methods

#### Study design and patients

The present prospective study was conducted in the neurocritical intensive care unit (ICU) of the Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. Patients with brain injury (≥18 years old), who

#### Shi et al. Spontaneous breathing trials in brain-injured patients

were mechanically ventilated for >48 h, were screened daily between 9 AM and 10 AM (from Monday to Friday) (Appendix S1). The readiness criteria for an SBT were as follows: (I) intracranial pressure <20 mmHg, or no clinical evidence of elevated intracranial pressure; (II) adequate oxygenation ( $P_{02}/F_{102}$  >200 mmHg or pulse oximetry >95% with  $F_{IO2} \leq 0.5$ ) and low level of ventilator support [positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP)  $\leq 5$  cmH<sub>2</sub>O, and pressure support  $\leq 8 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ ; (III) hemodynamic stability (no vasopressor support and systolic blood pressure between 90 and 160 mmHg); and (IV) no sedatives or intermittent dosing of sedatives. Patients were excluded if they were moribund or brain dead, had spinal cord injury or any contraindications for esophageal catheter placement, or were tracheostomized before or within 48 h after the SBT (as it affects weaning strategies).

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013), and was approved by the institutional ethics board of Beijing Tiantan Hospital (No. KY2016-018-02). Informed consent was obtained from all patients or legal representatives.

#### Study protocol

Patients were ventilated with a Servo-i ventilator (Maquet Critical Care, Sölna, Sweden). Nasogastric catheters for diaphragmatic electrical activity (EAdi) (Maquet Critical Care, Sweden) were inserted to replace the standard nasogastric feeding tube, and esophageal pressure (Pes) monitoring (SensorMedics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA) was conducted. Details for the catheter placement have been described previously (30-35). Baseline data were collected just before the SBT in pressure support ventilation (PSV) mode (inspiratory support: 8 cmH<sub>2</sub>O, PEEP: 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O). Subsequently, patients underwent a 30-min SBT at continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) of 5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O, with no inspiratory pressure support and without changing  $F_{102}$ . At 1, 5, 10, 20, and 30 min after the start of SBT, an end-expiratory occlusion (EEO) maneuver was applied on the ventilator for at least 3 consecutive breathing efforts to determine neuromechanical efficiency. Criteria for terminating the SBT are listed in Table S1 (6).

After completing the SBT, patients were disconnected from the ventilator, either breathing through a T-tube circuit with humidified oxygen or extubated as decided by the clinical team using a screen checklist (Table S2) (36,37). Reconnection to the ventilator support was solely decided by the clinical team, who were unaware of the physiological

data obtained for study purposes (especially data derived from EAdi and Pes). Reasons for resuming mechanical ventilation and/or reintubation were recorded. As per the clinical protocol, reintubation and/or reconnection to the ventilator was performed in patients meeting at least 1 of the following criteria: (I) decreased mental status compared with pre-SBT level of consciousness; (II) peripheral oxygen saturation <90%, despite  $F_{102}$  >0.5; (III) increased respiratory effort, such as tachypnea, accessory respiratory muscle recruitment, or thoracic-abdominal paradox (36). Failed ventilator liberation was defined as the need for invasive ventilator support within 48 h after the SBT, independent of the presence of an artificial airway (tracheostomy or endotracheal tube). The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score was recorded before ventilator disconnection to assess neurological status. The verbal score was counted as 1 for patients with an artificial airway (38). Arterial blood samples and hemodynamic parameters (i.e., non-invasive blood pressure and heart rate) were collected before and at the end of the SBT. Patients were followed up until they were reconnected to ventilation, extubated, tracheostomized, discharged from the hospital, or 28 days after the first successful SBT, whichever came first.

# Data acquisition during SBT

Flow was measured with a heated Fleisch pneumotachograph (Vitalograph, Lenexa, KS, USA) placed between the Y-piece of the ventilator circuit and the endotracheal tube. Two differential pressure transducers (KT 100D-2; KleisTEK di Cosimo Micelli, Monopoli, Italy; range: ±100 cmH<sub>2</sub>O) were used to measure the airway opening pressure (Pao) and the Pes. The transducers were connected proximal to the endotracheal tube (Pao) and to the esophageal catheter (Pes), respectively. Flow and pressures signals were recorded by an ICU-Lab pressure box (ICU Lab, KleisTEK Engineering, Bari, Italy), with a sample frequency of 100 Hz. The pressure transducers were calibrated with a water column and the pneumotachograph with a 1-L calibration syringe (SN: 554-2266; Hans Rudolph, Shawnee, KS, USA) prior to each measurement (30). The EAdi catheter was connected to the Servo-i ventilator. EAdi signals were recorded at a sample frequency of 100 Hz using dedicated software (Servo-tracker version 4.1. Maquet, Sweden). All recordings were saved and synchronized for offline analysis in a software developed for the ICU-Lab monitoring system (DigimaClic-1, ICU-Lab System, KleisTEK, Italy).

#### Data analysis

As per the study design, only patients completing the SBT were included for further analysis. Data were analyzed on a breath-by-breath basis at 6 time points as follows: at baseline (PSV prior to the SBT), and at 1, 5, 10, 20, and 30 min after start of the SBT. These time points were arbitrarily selected, as used previously (39,40). For each time point, 5 consecutive breaths without artifacts (e.g., esophageal contractions) were selected.

Inspiratory time, expiratory time, respiratory rate (RR), minute ventilation, and duty cycle were derived from the flow signal. Tidal volume (Vt) was computed as the time integral of inspiratory flow. Intrinsic PEEP was calculated as the decrease in Pes until the start of inspiratory flow (41). The chest wall recoil pressure (Pcw) was calculated as the product of lung volume and the predicted elastance of the chest wall (4% of vital capacity). The effort of the inspiratory muscles was quantified by calculating the global inspiratory muscle pressure (Pmus) and the inspiratory esophageal pressure–time product (PTPes,insp). Pmus was calculated as the peak difference between Pcw and Pes during inspiration ( $\Delta$ Pmus). PTPes,insp was calculated as the time interval of the difference between Pes and Pcw (Figure S1) (42).

Neural respiratory drive was measured as the inspiratory increase in EAdi from basal activity ( $\Delta$ EAdi). The static neuromechanical efficiency (NMEoccl) of the diaphragm was computed as  $\Delta$ Pao/ $\Delta$ EAdi during an EEO maneuver (31). The NMEoccl was calculated from the first occluded breathing effort. In the presence of artifacts in the EAdi waveform, the second or third breathing effort of the same series was selected (Figure S2). This was acceptable, as we have demonstrated that NMEoccl remains stable during a 20-s occlusion. The dynamic neuromechanical efficiency of the diaphragm was defined as  $\Delta$ Pmus/ $\Delta$ EAdi measured during tidal breathing (Figure S3). As the respiratory centers are located in the brainstem, we performed  $\chi^2$ -test analysis to determine if responses were different between patients with or without brainstem involvement (43).

# Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism version 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Assumption of normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Differences in patient characteristics between two groups were analyzed using the



Figure 1 Flowchart of patient enrollment in the study. MV, mechanical ventilation; SBT, spontaneous breathing trial.

*t*-test, Mann-Whitney U-test, or  $\chi^2$ -test, as appropriate.

To analyze the effects of time and group (failure and success) on each respiratory parameter, a linear mixed model design was used with a fixed effect of time, group, and group-by-time interaction, and a random effect of patient. For nonparametric respiratory parameters, an appropriate mathematical transformation was applied. Posthoc pairwise comparisons of estimated means over time and between groups at each time point were performed after applying Bonferroni correction (34). Sensitivity analyses were performed in a subgroup analysis by defining the failed ventilator liberation as the need for invasive ventilator support within 7 days after the SBT.

For all tests, a 2-tailed P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Values were given as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median (interquartile range) for continuous variables, and as number and percentage for categorical variables. Because of the exploratory nature of this study, convenience sampling was used (39,40).

# Results

During the 12-month study period, 251 patients were screened, and 46 were included for analysis (*Figure 1*).

Reasons for exclusion included mechanical ventilation <48 h (n=82) and early tracheostomy (n=59). Patient characteristics at baseline are reported in Table 1. Seventeen (37%) patients failed ventilator liberation within 48 h after a successful SBT. Of these patients, 4 were reintubated and 13 were reconnected to the ventilator before extubation. Reasons for failing ventilator liberation within 48 h were postextubation upper airway obstruction (n=4), neurological deterioration (n=8), and respiratory-related issues (n=5). Clinical characteristics of patients failing ventilator liberation within 48 h were not different from patients with successful liberation (Table 1). The proportion of patients that remained liberated from mechanical ventilation decreased rapidly within 7 days after the first SBT and remained stable thereafter (Figure 2). In total, 28 patients were reconnected to the ventilator within 7 days after the first SBT. The median time until reconnection was 1.4 (0.4–3) days. Further patient details are presented in Table S3.

# Breathing pattern during SBT in successful and failed liberation

Immediately after the transition from PSV to SBT, Vt decreased and RR increased in both groups, but remained

#### Annals of Translational Medicine, Vol 9, No 7 April 2021

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of all patients in the successful and failed ventilator liberation groups

Variables	All patients (n=46)	Successful liberation (n=29)	Failed liberation (n=17	7) P value
Age (years)	51.9±13.2	54.3±11.7	47.8±11.7	0.104
Sex (male/female)	31/15	18/11	13/4	0.251
Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II	16.2±4.1	15.7±4.4	17.2±3.3	0.262
Time of starting mechanical ventilation after surgery (days)	1.7 (0.4–3.4)	1.9 (0.6–3.7)	1.1 (0.1–1.9)	0.112
Mechanical ventilation duration before SBT (days)	4.6±2.0	4.9±2.2	4.2±1.5	0.278
$P_{a02}/F_{I02}$ ratio at the beginning of SBT	262.8±56.9	266.6±54.8	256.5±61.5	0.568
Glasgow Coma Scale at the beginning of SBT	8.5 (7.0–10.0)	9.0 (8.0–10.0)	8.0 (5.5–10.0)	0.447
Main diagnosis for neurosurgery (n)				0.174
Tumor	33 (71.7)	19 (65.5)	14 (82.4)	
Intracranial vascular malformation or aneurysm	4 (8.7)	3 (10.3)	3 (17.6)	
Intracerebral/subarachnoid hemorrhage	6 (13.0)	4 (13.8)	0 (0)	
Other	3 (6.5)	3 (10.3)	0 (0)	
Anatomic location of the lesion				0.45
Brainstem involvement	10 (21.7)	7 (24.1)	3 (17.6)	
Nonbrainstem involvement	36 (78.3)	22 (75.9)	14 (82.4)	
Primary indication for mechanical ventilation (n)				0.059
Neurological indications	30 (65.2)	16(55.2)	14 (82.4)	
Non-neurological indications	16 (34.8)	13 (44.8)	3 (17.6)	

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or n (%). SBT, spontaneous breathing trial.



**Figure 2** Proportion of patients liberated from mechanical ventilation after a successful spontaneous breathing trial (SBT). Number of patients left at the time of observation is shown below the x-axis. Patients were followed up until they were reconnected to ventilation, extubated, tracheostomized, discharged from the hospital, or 28 days after the first successful SBT, whichever came first.

stable during the rest of the SBT (*Table 2*). Minute ventilation decreased in the successful liberation group only [9.0 (7.7–10.4) to 7.8 (6.1–10.0) L/min, P<0.05]. There was no difference in respiratory pattern between the groups. Other respiratory physiological parameters are shown in Table S4.

# Respiratory muscle effort during SBT in successful and failed liberation

As shown in *Figure 3*, parameters of inspiratory muscle effort including  $\Delta$ Pmus, PTPes,insp, and  $\Delta$ EAdi increased after the transition from PSV to SBT in both groups, but remained stable during the SBT. There were no significant differences between groups.

NMEoccl, a measure for neuromechanical efficiency of the diaphragm, did not change after the transition from PSV to the SBT and remained stable during the SBT. No difference was found in NMEoccl between the two groups (*Figure 4*).

Table 2 Changes in respiratory	parameters during the s	pontaneous breathing	trial in the successful (S	) and failed (F	F) ventilator liberation groups
A /				/ (	,

								P val	ue
Parameters	Baseline	1 min	5 min	10 min	20 min	30 min	Main e	effects	Interaction
							Time	Group	Time*Group
VT, mL									
S	474.5 (352.2–597.1)	403.0 (278.8–524.3)**	437.4 (336.3–541.9)	438.7 (321.0–538.6)	388.0 (340.1–537.4)	446.8 (341.9–533.3)	0.035	0.417	0.731
F	450.9 (395.9–724.7)	390.1 (318.8–561.3)*	415.6 (335.0–600.7)	449.0 (336.9–602.9)	397.9 (348.9–613.5)	397.2 (340.1–616.6)			
RR, min <sup>−1</sup>									
S	19.0 (16.0–22.0)	21.0 (17.0–25.5)*	21.0 (17.0–25.5)	23.0 (14.5–24.5)	21.0 (15.5–25.0)	22.0 (17.0–25.8)	0.055	0.691	0.967
F	19.0 (14.8–25.8)	22.0 (17.0–27.8)*	21.0 (16.0–29.0)	20.0 (17.5–28.5)	21.0 (16.5–29.0)	20.0 (18.0–28.0)			
VE, L/min									
S	9.0 (7.7–10.4)	7.8 (6.4–10.0)*	8.6 (7.1–9.9)	8.3 (7.1–9.8)	8.4 (7.6–10.2)	8.4 (7.8–10.1)	0.051	0.173	0.808
F	10.5 (6.7–12.3)	10.0 (6.9–11.0)	9.7 (7.8–10.7)	9.0 (7.6–11.3)	9.1 (7.3–11.4)	9.5 (7.7–11.3)			
RR/VT, min <sup>-1</sup> ·L <sup>-1</sup>									
S	41.5 (26.0–61.0)	53.0 (30.5–88.0)**	46.0 (30.5–78.5)	43.0 (25.5–83.5)	53.0 (29.0–75.0)	48.0 (32.0–75.0)	0.026	0.391	0.758
F	39.0 (17.8–54.5)	51.5 (26.8–78.3)*	52.0 (24.5–70.0)	50.0 (26.0–66.5)	48.0 (28.0–71.5)	48.0 (29.0–69.0)			

Data are presented as median (interquartile range). No significant differences between the 2 groups were observed at baseline and each time point during the spontaneous breathing trial. Within each group, comparisons were made between the baseline and the first minute of the spontaneous breathing trial. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.001. RR, respiratory rate; VE, minute ventilation; VT, tidal volume.

A subgroup analysis was performed to compare respiratory physiological parameters between patients that were successfully liberated from mechanical ventilation and patients failing ventilator liberation within 7 days. This, however, did not change the results compared with failure within 48 h after successful SBT (Table S5). Subgroup analysis was also performed in patients remaining intubated within 48 h. First, the rate of liberation failure was comparable to that of patients who were extubated (39.4% *vs.* 30.8%, P=0.74). Second, the respiratory physiological variables showed no difference between patients who failed and those were successfully liberated from the ventilator within 48 h (Table S6).

# Arterial blood gas variables and hemodynamic variables

Arterial blood gas and hemodynamic variables are shown in *Table 3*. The arterial HCO3- at the start of the SBT was significantly higher in the successful liberation group compared with the failed liberation group ( $28.4\pm2$  vs.  $26.1\pm2.7$  mmol/L, P=0.001). There were no differences between groups in hemodynamic variables at baseline or during the SBT.

# Clinical outcomes

Clinical outcomes are shown in *Table 4*. There were no significant differences between the failed liberation and successful liberation groups with regard to discharge status, ICU length of stay, and hospital length of stay. At the time of hospital discharge, 40 (87.0%) patients were liberated from the ventilator in a median of 3.4 (0–6.2) days after the first successful SBT. In these patients, the median number of SBTs before final ventilator liberation was 2 [1–5]. Two patients died before ventilator liberation and 4 patients were



Figure 3 Respiratory muscle effort quantified as (A) inspiratory muscle pressure ( $\Delta$ Pmus,insp), (B) inspiratory esophageal pressuretime product (PTPes,insp), and (C) diaphragm electrical activity ( $\Delta$ EAdi) during pressure support ventilation (baseline, gray area) prior to the spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) and during the course of the SBT for the successful (red dots) and failed (black boxes) liberation groups. In 9 patients, Pes-derived parameters could not be analyzed due to the low quality of the signals (1 in the failure group and 8 in the success group). Data are presented as median (interquartile range). \*\*P<0.001, difference between the first minute of SBT and baseline. No significant differences between the 2 groups were observed at any time point.



**Figure 4** Changes in neuromechanical efficiency (NMEoccl) during pressure support ventilation (baseline, gray area) and during the course of the spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) in the successful (red dots) and failed (black boxes) liberation groups. Data are presented as median (interquartile range). No significant differences were observed at any time point within and between the 2 groups.

transferred to a local hospital for end-of-life care.

#### Discussion

In the current study, we demonstrated that, in patients with brain injury completing a 30-min SBT, 37% required invasive ventilator support within 48 h and 61% within 7 days. In-depth analysis of respiratory muscle effort and breathing patterns during the SBT did not provide any predictive information for ventilator liberation failure. Therefore, the clinical usefulness of a successful SBT in this patient category is debatable.

#### Successful SBT and failed ventilator liberation

Our study demonstrated that, in patients with brain injury, ventilator liberation failure within 48 h after a successful SBT was more common (37%) compared with general critically ill patients (24-27,44). In general critically ill patients, Subira *et al.* reported 12% of extubation failure within 48 h after a successful SBT (24), and Burns *et al.* reported that the reintubation rate was 13% in younger patients (age <65 years) and 10.8% in patients aged  $\geq 65$  years (25). The differences in ventilator reconnection may be related to underlying illness (45). Reasons for

#### Page 7 of 13

#### Page 8 of 13

#### Shi et al. Spontaneous breathing trials in brain-injured patients

Table 3 Arterial blood gas variables and hemodynamic variables before and after the spontaneous breathing trial for the successful (S) and filed (F) ventilator liberation groups

				P value			
Variables	Group	SBT start	SBT end	Main effect		Interaction	
			_	Time	Group	(time × group)	
Arterial blood pH	S	7.49±0.03	7.49±0.03	0.051	0.10	0.06	
	F	7.47±0.04	7.48±0.04	0.351	0.13	0.06	
P <sub>aO2</sub> , mmHg	S	103.5±25.4	98.6±30.9	0.400	0 500	0.700	
	F	97.3±28.5	96±34.4	0.499	0.526	0.799	
P <sub>aCO2</sub> , mmHg	S	36.7±5.0	37.2±5.2	0.550	0.000	0.060	
	F	35.6±6.7	34.5±5.9	0.552	0.233	0.269	
Bicarbonate (HCO₃ <sup>-</sup> ), mmol/L	S	28.4±2.0*	28.5±2.3*	0.070	0.004	0.441	
	F	26.1±2.7	26.9±2.6	0.373	0.004	0.441	
P <sub>02</sub> /F <sub>102</sub> ratio	S	258.7±63.5	246.5±77.3	0.400	0.500	0.700	
	F	243.2±71.2	240±86.0	0.499	0.528	0.799	
Mean arterial pressure, mmHg	S	98.2±12.0	99.1±13.0	0.775	0.070	0.400	
	F	98.8±7.2	98.4±8.8	0.775	0.973	0.489	
Heart rate, beats/min	S	89.0±20.6	94.3±15.5	0.050	0.770	0.005	
	F	94.5±12.6	91.4±13.1	0.659	0.776	0.095	

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Comparison between the S and F ventilator liberation groups: \*P<0.05. No significant differences were observed within each group before and after SBT. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial.

Table 4 Clinical outcomes of all	patients in the successful and failed ventilator liberation gr	roups
----------------------------------	--	-------

Outcomes	Patients (n=46)	Successful liberation (n=29)	Failed liberation (n=17)	P value
Received tracheostomy	11 (21.7)	4 (13.8)	7 (41.2)	0.07
Length of stay in ICU (days)	13.5 (11.0–20.0)	14.0 (11.0–18.5)	13.0 (10.5–20.5)	0.793
Length of stay in hospital (days)	34.0 (23.8–54.0)	30.0 (22.0–50.5)	44.0 (28–57.5)	0.175
Successful ventilator liberation at time of discharge	40 (87.0)	26 (89.7)	14.0 (82.4)	0.389
Died on mechanical ventilation	2 (4.3)	1 (3.4)	1 (5.9)	0.608

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%). Four patients (2 in each group) were transferred to the local hospital in their hometown for end-of-life care. ICU, intensive care unit.

resuming ventilator support may be different between general critically ill patients and patients with brain injury. In general critically ill patients, reasons for resuming ventilator support are mainly related to cardiorespiratory function (24,25,46,47). In our study, 4 of 17 (24%) patients were reconnected to a ventilator because of upper airway obstruction and 8 of 17 (47%) patients for neurological reasons. These data are in line with previous studies on patients with brain injury (21,48). Karanjia *et al.* retrospectively investigated the cause of reintubation in 99 patients with brain injury reintubated within 72 h after a successful SBT (48). They found that the primary cause for reintubation was respiratory distress associated with decreased mental status, without signs of aspiration or pneumonia. Given that most patients with brain injury are intubated and mechanically ventilated for neurological

reasons, these results are not surprising. Accordingly, in patients with brain injury, the predictive value of a successful SBT for ventilator liberation appears limited. Possibly, well-known parameters provided by an SBT may not be as relevant in patients with brain injury. Neurological dysfunction may not affect the ability to breathe (tested with SBT), but may affect ventilator liberation success.

We explored if more advanced respiratory physiological parameters collected during the SBT were helpful in predicting ventilator liberation outcome. Similar respiratory parameters have been systematically measured during a 30-min SBT in general critically ill patients (39,40). In these studies, variables of breathing pattern (RR, Vt, minute ventilation, and RR/Vt), EAdi and its derived parameters (neuromechanical and neuroventilatory efficiency) were reliable and early predictors for ventilator liberation outcome. In contrast, we did not find differences in physiological parameters related to breathing pattern or respiratory muscle effort between liberation success and failure patients with brain injury in our study. Interestingly, the values of the respiratory parameters assessed in our study were similar to the values earlier reported in successfully liberated general critically ill patients (39,40). Immediate changes occurred after the transition from PSV to the SBT, but remained relatively stable during the course of the SBT. This indicates that limited cardiorespiratory physiological reserve was not the main reason of ventilator liberation failure. Although the cardiorespiratory reserve and neurorespiratory drive can be assessed during an SBT, it is unlikely that neurological deterioration affects the course of an SBT. Therefore, the clinical usefulness of a successful SBT in patients with brain injury can be challenged or even considered misleading. In patients with brain injury, both neurological and non-neurological features should be evaluated before the decision of ventilator liberation. Therefore, other valid tools to assess underlying neurological conditions need to be developed and prospectively evaluated to predict the success of ventilator liberation.

Neurological status is one of the major concerns before the decision of ventilator liberation is made for patients with brain injury. Investigators have used the GCS to decide on extubation in these patients (49,50). However, the role of the consciousness level on extubation outcome remains controversial (20,38,51,52). Whether a low level of consciousness affects the breathing pattern in brain-injured patients is unclear. In our study, the GCS at the time of ventilator disconnection was similar for successful and failed patients. Moreover, half of the patients with a GCS <8 were successfully liberated from the ventilator. Accordingly, the level of consciousness appears not a main predictor for ventilator liberation outcome in these patients. Studies have demonstrated that together with visual pursuit, adequate

demonstrated that together with visual pursuit, adequate swallowing function may improve ventilator liberation outcome (50), although no recommendations on this topic are available in recent guidelines (28). Therefore, further studies are required to investigate more specific parameters, rather than the level of consciousness, to assess neurological status during the SBTs.

# High rate of liberation failure

Compared with other studies in patients with brain injury, the liberation failure rate in our patients appeared rather high (20,21,50,53). This may be related to the anatomical site of the injury. In our study, 54% (25/46) of the patients had infratentorial lesions and 10 of these patients had lesions that involved the brainstem. Infratentorial lesions include lesions located in the cerebellum and/or brainstem, which puts patients at high risk of respiratory compromise by involving primary neural respiratory centers, lower cranial nerve nuclei, and reticular activating pathways (43,54).

In addition, the type of SBT used may affect extubation outcome. SBT with low inspiratory support reduces patient respiratory effort. However, this technique may overestimate patients' ability to breathe without assistance. Conversely, an SBT with a T-piece provides no inspiratory (and expiratory) support, which more accurately reflects the physiological condition after extubation (55). However, the sudden drop in PEEP may induce cardiac dysfunction (56,57). It has been suggested that, as an inspiratory pressure support, SBT improves extubation outcomes compared with T-piece SBT (24,58). In our study we did not apply inspiratory support, but CPAP only. We chose this strategy as it better reflects breathing effort after extubation (55,57).

#### Strengths and limitations

The present study has several strengths, including the indepth analysis of breathing pattern and respiratory muscle effort during an SBT. This has not been evaluated in earlier studies in patients with brain injury. In addition, half of the patients had an infratentorial lesion, 10 of which had brainstem involvement. This provided an opportunity to explore the impact of the anatomical location on the liberation outcome, especially brainstem injury. Our study suggests that, for patients completing an SBT, the anatomic

#### Page 10 of 13

location of the lesion is not associated with the rate of liberation failure.

However, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, this was a single-center study, possibly challenging the generalizability of the data. Nevertheless, the study was performed in the largest neurological ICU in China, admitting the full spectrum of patients with brain injury. Second, we did not perform a formal sample size analysis. This is reasonable given the explorative nature of the study, and the current sample size was comparable to earlier studies (39,40). The results of the current study are helpful for sample size calculation in future studies with this specific patient population. Third, although all patients completing the SBT were disconnected from the ventilator, not all patients were extubated directly after the SBT. Therefore, the difference between ventilator liberation and extubation should be acknowledged. However, our study showed that the liberation failure rate in patients not extubated was comparable to patients immediately extubated. Moreover, respiratory physiological parameters were not different between the liberation success and failure groups in these subgroups of patients. Breathing without ventilator assistance through an endotracheal tube after a successful SBT is common in our ICU, especially in patients with anatomical injury at the brainstem level. This strategy allows for the recovery of upper airway protective reflexes before the decision of early tracheostomy. The prerequisite for this decision-making period is that patients are awake and mobilized.

#### Conclusions

A successful SBT does not predict ventilator liberation in patients with brain injury, indicating that factors other than respiratory dysfunction are involved in this specific population. Given the high rate of ventilator liberation failure in patients with brain injury, further studies focusing on nonrespiratory parameters to predict liberation success are warranted.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Part of the data have been reported as an abstract at the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine conference, Berlin, 2019.

Funding: None.

#### Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the

#### Shi et al. Spontaneous breathing trials in brain-injured patients

STROBE reporting checklist Available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/atm-20-6407

Data Sharing Statement: Available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/atm-20-6407

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/atm-20-6407). AHJ reports personal fees from Liberate Medical (USA), outside the submitted work. LMH reports personal fees from Liberate Medical and Getinge, outside the submitted work. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013) and was approved by the institutional ethics board of Beijing Tiantan Hospital (No. KY2016-018-02). Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

#### References

- Zauner A, Daugherty WP, Bullock MR, et al. Brain Oxygenation and Energy Metabolism: Part I— Biological Function and Pathophysiology. Neurosurgery 2002;51:289-301; discussion 302.
- Rastogi P, Popp A. Management of Respiratory Dysfunction in the Neurosurgical Patient. Contemporary Neurosurgery 1995;17:1-5.
- Frisvold SK, Robba C, Guerin C. What respiratory targets should be recommended in patients with brain injury and respiratory failure? Intensive Care Med 2019;45:683-6.
- Carney N, Totten AM, O'Reilly C, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Severe Traumatic Brain Injury, Fourth Edition. Neurosurgery 2017;80:6-15.

#### Annals of Translational Medicine, Vol 9, No 7 April 2021

- 5. Rosenfeld JV, Maas AI, Bragge P, et al. Early management of severe traumatic brain injury. Lancet 2012;380:1088-98.
- 6. McConville JF, Kress JP. Weaning patients from the ventilator. N Engl J Med 2012;367:2233-9.
- Boles JM, Bion J, Connors A, et al. Weaning from mechanical ventilation. Eur Respir J 2007;29:1033-56.
- 8. Pelosi P, Ferguson ND, Frutos-Vivar F, et al. Management and outcome of mechanically ventilated neurologic patients. Crit Care Med 2011;39:1482-92.
- Mascia L, Zavala E, Bosma K, et al. High tidal volume is associated with the development of acute lung injury after severe brain injury: an international observational study. Crit Care Med 2007;35:1815-20.
- 10. Kahn JM, Caldwell EC, Deem S, et al. Acute lung injury in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage: incidence, risk factors, and outcome. Crit Care Med 2006;34:196-202.
- MacIntyre NR, Cook DJ, Ely EW Jr, et al. Evidencebased guidelines for weaning and discontinuing ventilatory support: a collective task force facilitated by the American College of Chest Physicians; the American Association for Respiratory Care; and the American College of Critical Care Medicine. Chest 2001;120:375S-95S.
- Schmidt GA, Girard TD, Kress JP, et al. Liberation From Mechanical Ventilation in Critically Ill Adults: Executive Summary of an Official American College of Chest Physicians/American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. Chest 2017;151:160-5.
- 13. Ouellette DR, Patel S, Girard TD, et al. Liberation From Mechanical Ventilation in Critically Ill Adults: An Official American College of Chest Physicians/American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline: Inspiratory Pressure Augmentation During Spontaneous Breathing Trials, Protocols Minimizing Sedation, and Noninvasive Ventilation Immediately After Extubation. Chest 2017;151:166-80.
- Esteban A, Frutos F, Tobin MJ, et al. A comparison of four methods of weaning patients from mechanical ventilation. Spanish Lung Failure Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 1995;332:345-50.
- Ely EW, Baker AM, Dunagan DP, et al. Effect on the duration of mechanical ventilation of identifying patients capable of breathing spontaneously. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1864-9.
- 16. Nyquist P, Stevens RD, Mirski MA. Neurologic injury and mechanical ventilation. Neurocrit Care 2008;9:400-8.
- Stevens RD, Lazaridis C, Chalela JA. The role of mechanical ventilation in acute brain injury. Neurol Clin 2008;26:543-63, x.

- 18. Demling R, Riessen R. Pulmonary dysfunction after cerebral injury. Crit Care Med 1990;18:768-74.
- Namen AM, Ely EW, Tatter SB, et al. Predictors of successful extubation in neurosurgical patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;163:658-64.
- Asehnoune K, Seguin P, Lasocki S, et al. Extubation Success Prediction in a Multicentric Cohort of Patients with Severe Brain Injury. Anesthesiology 2017;127:338-46.
- 21. Godet T, Chabanne R, Marin J, et al. Extubation Failure in Brain-injured Patients: Risk Factors and Development of a Prediction Score in a Preliminary Prospective Cohort Study. Anesthesiology 2017;126:104-14.
- 22. Kutchak FM, Rieder MM, Victorino JA, et al. Simple motor tasks independently predict extubation failure in critically ill neurological patients. J Bras Pneumol 2017;43:183-9.
- Ibrahim AS, Aly MG, Abdel-Rahman KA, et al. Semiquantitative Cough Strength Score as a Predictor for Extubation Outcome in Traumatic Brain Injury: A Prospective Observational Study. Neurocrit Care 2018;29:273-9.
- 24. Subira C, Hernandez G, Vazquez A, et al. Effect of Pressure Support vs T-Piece Ventilation Strategies During Spontaneous Breathing Trials on Successful Extubation Among Patients Receiving Mechanical Ventilation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2019;321:2175-82.
- Burns KEA, Wong JTY, Dodek P, et al. Frequency of Screening for Weaning From Mechanical Ventilation: Two Contemporaneous Proof-of-Principle Randomized Controlled Trials. Crit Care Med 2019;47:817-25.
- 26. Jaber S, Quintard H, Cinotti R, et al. Risk factors and outcomes for airway failure versus non-airway failure in the intensive care unit: a multicenter observational study of 1514 extubation procedures. Crit Care 2018;22:236.
- 27. Fernandez MM, Gonzalez-Castro A, Magret M, et al. Reconnection to mechanical ventilation for 1 h after a successful spontaneous breathing trial reduces reintubation in critically ill patients: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Intensive Care Med 2017;43:1660-7.
- Robba C, Poole D, McNett M, et al. Mechanical ventilation in patients with acute brain injury: recommendations of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine consensus. Intensive Care Medicine 2020.
- 29. Vaschetto R, Frigerio P, Sommariva M, et al. Evaluation of a systematic approach to weaning of tracheotomized neurological patients: an early interrupted randomized controlled trial. Ann Intensive Care 2015;5:54.
- 30. He X, Sun XM, Chen GQ, et al. Use of Cardiac Cycle

# Shi et al. Spontaneous breathing trials in brain-injured patients

# Page 12 of 13

Locating to Minimize the Influence of Cardiac Artifacts on Esophageal Pressure Measurement During Dynamic Occlusion Test. Respir Care 2018;63:169-76.

- Jansen D, Jonkman AH, Roesthuis L, et al. Estimation of the diaphragm neuromuscular efficiency index in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients. Crit Care 2018;22:238.
- Baydur A, Behrakis PK, Zin WA, et al. A simple method for assessing the validity of the esophageal balloon technique. Am Rev Respir Dis 1982;126:788-91.
- 33. Schellekens WM, Heunks LMA. Appropriate positioning of the NAVA catheter. Intensive Care Med 2016;42:633-4.
- Doorduin J, Roesthuis LH, Jansen D, et al. Respiratory Muscle Effort during Expiration in Successful and Failed Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation. Anesthesiology 2018;129:490-501.
- Doorduin J, Sinderby CA, Beck J, et al. The calcium sensitizer levosimendan improves human diaphragm function. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2012;185:90-5.
- 36. Wu YX, Chen H, Zhou JX. Short-term use of remifentanil during endotracheal extubation for prophylactic analgesia in neurosurgical patients after craniotomy (SURE after Craniotomy Study): a study protocol and statistical analysis plan for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open 2014;4:e005635.
- Cai YH, Zeng HY, Shi ZH, et al. Factors influencing delayed extubation after infratentorial craniotomy for tumour resection: a prospective cohort study of 800 patients in a Chinese neurosurgical centre. J Int Med Res 2013;41:208-17.
- Coplin WM, Pierson DJ, Cooley KD, et al. Implications of extubation delay in brain-injured patients meeting standard weaning criteria. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;161:1530-6.
- Dres M, Schmidt M, Ferre A, et al. Diaphragm electromyographic activity as a predictor of weaning failure. Intensive Care Med 2012;38:2017-25.
- 40. Liu L, Liu H, Yang Y, et al. Neuroventilatory efficiency and extubation readiness in critically ill patients. Crit Care 2012;16:R143.
- Brochard L. Intrinsic (or auto-) PEEP during controlled mechanical ventilation. Intensive Care Med 2002;28:1376-8.
- 42. Jubran A, Tobin MJ. Pathophysiologic basis of acute respiratory distress in patients who fail a trial of weaning from mechanical ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:906-15.
- Del Negro CA, Funk GD, Feldman JL. Breathing matters. Nat Rev Neurosci 2018;19:351-67.

- 44. Chittawatanarat K, Orrapin S, Jitkaroon K, et al. An Open Label Randomized Controlled Trial to Compare Low Level Pressure Support and T-piece as Strategies for Discontinuation of Mechanical Ventilation in a General Surgical Intensive Care Unit. Med Arch 2018;72:51-7.
- 45. Vallverdu I, Calaf N, Subirana M, et al. Clinical characteristics, respiratory functional parameters, and outcome of a two-hour T-piece trial in patients weaning from mechanical ventilation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;158:1855-62.
- Epstein SK, Ciubotaru RL. Independent effects of etiology of failure and time to reintubation on outcome for patients failing extubation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;158:489-93.
- Thille AW, Harrois A, Schortgen F, et al. Outcomes of extubation failure in medical intensive care unit patients. Crit Care Med 2011;39:2612-8.
- Karanjia N, Nordquist D, Stevens R, et al. A clinical description of extubation failure in patients with primary brain injury. Neurocrit Care 2011;15:4-12.
- dos Reis HF, Almeida ML, da Silva MF, et al. Association between the rapid shallow breathing index and extubation success in patients with traumatic brain injury. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva 2013;25:212-7.
- 50. Asehnoune K, Mrozek S, Perrigault PF, et al. A multifaceted strategy to reduce ventilation-associated mortality in brain-injured patients. The BI-VILI project: a nationwide quality improvement project. Intensive Care Med 2017;43:957-70.
- Anderson CD, Bartscher JF, Scripko PD, et al. Neurologic Examination and Extubation Outcome in the Neurocritical Care Unit. Neurocritical Care 2011;15:490-7.
- 52. McCredie VA, Ferguson ND, Pinto RL, et al. Airway Management Strategies for Brain-injured Patients Meeting Standard Criteria to Consider Extubation. A Prospective Cohort Study. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2017;14:85-93.
- 53. Navalesi P, Frigerio P, Moretti MP, et al. Rate of reintubation in mechanically ventilated neurosurgical and neurologic patients: evaluation of a systematic approach to weaning and extubation. Crit Care Med 2008;36:2986-92.
- 54. Qureshi AI, Suarez JI, Parekh PD, et al. Prediction and timing of tracheostomy in patients with infratentorial lesions requiring mechanical ventilatory support. Crit Care Med 2000;28:1383-7.
- 55. Sklar MC, Burns K, Rittayamai N, et al. Effort to Breathe with Various Spontaneous Breathing Trial Techniques. A Physiologic Meta-analysis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;195:1477-85.

#### Annals of Translational Medicine, Vol 9, No 7 April 2021

- 56. Lindqvist J, van den Berg M, van der Pijl R, et al. Positive End-Expiratory Pressure Ventilation Induces Longitudinal Atrophy in Diaphragm Fibers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;198:472-85.
- Pinsky MR, Summer WR, Wise RA, et al. Augmentation of cardiac function by elevation of intrathoracic pressure. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol 1983;54:950-5.

**Cite this article as:** Shi ZH, Jonkman AH, Tuinman PR, Chen GQ, Xu M, Yang YL, Heunks LMA, Zhou JX. Role of a successful spontaneous breathing trial in ventilator liberation in brain-injured patients. Ann Transl Med 2021;9(7):548. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-6407  Burns KEA, Soliman I, Adhikari NKJ, et al. Trials directly comparing alternative spontaneous breathing trial techniques: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 2017;21:127.

(English Language Editor: R. Scott)



**Figure S1** Calculation illustration of the pressure generated by global inspiratory muscles ( $\Delta$ Pmus), the inspiratory pressure-time product (PTPpes,insp), and the electrical activity of diaphragm ( $\Delta$ EAdi). The recoil pressure of the chest wall (dotted black curve line) is calculated from the predicted elastance of chest wall (4% vital capacity) and the lung volume. The recoil pressure starts from the onset of the Pes dropping. The broken vertical red line indicates the onset of the decrease in Pes. The following three solid lines indicate the start and end of the inspiration and expiration of one breathing circle. The  $\Delta$ Pmus,insp is the difference between the nadir of the Pes tracing and the chest recoil pressure at the same time (blue arrow). The intrinsic positive end expiratory pressure (PEEPi) is the part between the onset of Pes dropping and the start of the inspiratory flow. The PTPpes,insp is calculated as the area between the chest wall recoil pressure and the esophageal pressure (gray area). The amplitude of electrical activity of the diaphragm ( $\Delta$ EAdi) is the difference between the onset of EAdi rising and the peak of the EAdi (blue arrow).



**Figure S2** Calculation illustration of the neuro-mechanical efficiency during the end-expiratory occlusion (NMEoccl). From top to bottom are the airway pressure (Pao), esophageal pressure (Pes), flow, and electrical activity of diaphragm (EAdi) tracing. The end-expiratory occlusion includes three breathing efforts. The calculation is performed with the fist breath, both the Pes and Pao could be used to calculate the NMEoccl. Amplitude of inspiratory Pes ( $\Delta$ Pes,eeo) and EAdi ( $\Delta$ EAdi,eeo) are same as described in the Fig. S1. The NMEoocl is defined as the  $\Delta$ Pao,eeo divided by the  $\Delta$ EAdi,eeo.



**Figure S3** Calculation illustration of the dynamic neuro-mechanical efficiency (NMEdyn) and neuro-ventilatory efficiency (NVE) during tidal breathing. Inspiratory tidal volume (Vt) is integrated from flow signal during the inspiration. The  $\Delta$ EAdi and  $\Delta$ Pmus calculated as mentioned in Fig. S1. The NMEdyn is the ratio of  $\Delta$ Pmus divided by the  $\Delta$ EAdi. The NVE is the ratio of tidal volume divided by the  $\Delta$ EAdi.

 Table S1 Protocolized criteria for failed spontaneous breathing trial

 Tachypnea: respiratory rate >35 breaths/min for ≥5 min

 Hypoxemia: pulse oximeter <90% despite increasing F<sub>102</sub> to 0.5 for ≥30 s

 Heart rate: >140 beats/min or a 20% change from baseline for ≥1 min

 Hypertension or hypotension: systolic blood pressure >180 or <90 mmHg for ≥1 min</td>

 Agitation, diaphoresis, or anxiety confirmed as a change from baseline and present for >5 min

Table S2 Screening checklist used to determine the patient's suitability for extubation

Question	Answer
1. Awake and alert with cerebral function adequate for patient co-operation or equivalent pre SBT state of consciousness?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
2. Haemodynamic stability (lack of vasopressor support and mean arterial pressure within 10–15% of baseline)?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
3. Adequate recovery of muscle strength?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
4. Normal tidal volumes, normocapnia (end-tidal carbon dioxide 30–45 mm Hg), minimum pulse oximetry >95% with $F_{IO2}$ 0.52	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
5. Intact gag reflex and swallow function (presence of clearly audible cough during suctioning)?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
The answer to all questions must be "yes" in order for extubation to be approved.	

Table S3 Characteristics of subjects in the ventilator failed liberation (A) and successful ventilator liberation (B) groups.
A Subjects failed ventilator liberation (Defined as reconnection to the ventilator or reintubation within 48 hours)

A Subjects la	lied ventilato	r liberation (De	enned as reconnection to the ventilator or reintubation within 4	io nours)		
Patients No.	Age (yr)	Sex (M/F)	Location of lesion	MV indications	Failed extubation or separation (hours)	Reasons for reconnection to MV
1	52	Male	Supratentorial, frontal lobe (right)	Intracerebral hemorrhage, Stroke	12.3	Neurological deterioration
3	52	Male	Infratentorial, petroclival region (left)	Neurogenic pulmonary edema	0.2	Upper airway obstruction after extubation
4	61	Male	Infratentorial, ventral medulla (right)	Respiratory center involvement	0.5	Upper airway obstruction after extubation
5	51	Male	Supratentorial, middle cranial fossa	Coma	29.9	Severe pneumonia
6	55	Male	Infratentorial, cerebellopontine angle (left)	Pulmonary embolism	3.1	Upper airway obstruction after extubation
12	32	Male	Supratentorial, temporal lobe, basal segment region (right)	Intracerebral hemorrhage, Stroke	4.4	Neurological deterioration
13	53	Female	Infratentorial, cerebellum (bilateral)	Post cardiac arrest hypoxic ischemic brain injury	20.2	Pulmonary edema
14	61	Female	Infratentorial, petroclival region (right)	Lung atelectasis	14.0	Severe pneumonia
17	39	Male	Supratentorial, temporal lobe (right)	Cerebral herniation	11.8	Neurological deterioration
18	50	Female	Infratentorial, hypothalamus (right)	Coma	0.9	Neurological deterioration
21	67	Male	Supratentorial, occipital lobe (right)	Cerebral infarction	37.3	Neurological deterioration
25	55	Male	Supratentorial, middle cranial fossa	Acute respiratory distress syndrome	4.8	Severe pneumonia
31	39	Female	Infratentorial, jugular foramen region (right)	Respiratory center involvement	39	Upper airway obstruction after extubation
49	52	Male	Infratentorial, ventral medulla (left)	Respiratory center involvement	1.3	Neurological deterioration
59	33	Male	Infratentorial, ventral medulla (left)	Respiratory center involvement	5.0	Respiratory muscle weakness
64	30	Male	Infratentorial, cerebellum (right)	Respiratory center involvement	4.0	Neurological deterioration
65	30	Male	Supratentorial, frontal, temporal lobe (right)	Intracerebral hemorrhage, Stroke	1.0	Neurological deterioration

B Subjects with successful ventilator liberation (Defined as remained liberated form the ventilator 48 hours after the SBT).

Patient No.	Age (yr)	Sex (M/F)	Location of lesion	MV indications	Time between end of the SBT and the reconnection to MV (hours)	Reasons for reconnection to MV
2	69	Male	Infratentorial, ventral medulla	Neurogenic pulmonary edema	99.9	Hospital acquired pneumonia (Aspiration)
7	72	Male	Infratentorial, dorsal medulla	Respiratory center involvement	192.9	Hospital acquired pneumonia (Aspiration)
8	68	Male	Carotid artery (right)	Cerebral infarction	109.5	Neurologic deterioration
9	65	Male	Middle cerebral artery (right)	Acute respiratory distress syndrome		
10	52	Male	Middle meningeal artery (right)	Coma		
15	37	Male	Supratentorial, frontal, temporal lobe (left)	Lung atelectasis		
16	38	Male	Supratentorial, basal ganglia (left)	Aspiration pneumonia	74.5	Hospital acquired pneumonia (Aspiration)
19	59	Female	Supratentorial, hypothalamus (right)	Hypothalamic dysfunction		
20	73	Female	Supratentorial, parietal, temporal lobe (left)	Postoperative epilepsy		
22	53	Male	Infratentorial, cerebellum (left)	Neurogenic pulmonary edema	53.0	Post-extubation respiratory failure
24	52	Male	Infratentorial, cerebellum (left)	Pneumonia		
26	61	Female	Infratentorial, cerebellopontine angle (right)	Sepsis (central nervous system)	588.2	Septic shock (Catheter-related bloodstream infection)
27	33	Female	Infratentorial, cerebellum	Acute respiratory distress syndrome	75.2	Lung atelectasis
29	59	Male	Infratentorial, midbrain, pons	Respiratory center involvement		
30	36	Male	Infratentorial, ventral medulla	Lung atelectasis		
32	56	Male	Supratentorial, middle cranial fossa	Pulmonary embolism		
33	44	Female	Infratentorial, ventral medulla	Neurogenic pulmonary edema	53.3	Septic shock (Catheter-related bloodstream infection)
34	51	Male	Supratentorial frontal lobe (right)	Non neurological upper airway occlusion	168.0	Hospital acquired pneumonia
41	22	Male	Infratentorial, cerebellopontine angle (right)	Respiratory center involvement	58.5	Septic shock (Catheter-related bloodstream infection)
43	64	Male	Supratentorial, ventricular (right)	Postoperative epilepsy		
45	33	Female	Supratentorial, ventricular (right)	Hydrocephalus, Intracranial hypertension		
46	68	Female	Supratentorial, frontal lobe (left)	Intracranial hypertension		
50	46	Female	Infratentorial, petroclival region (left)	Acute respiratory distress syndrome		
52	50	Male	Infratentorial ventral medulla (left)	Pneumonia		

5553MaleInfratentorial, ventral medulla (left)Respiratory center involvement5772FemaleInfratentorial, cerebellum (right)Acute respiratory distress syndrome93.0Hospital acquired pneumonia	54	66 Female	Female Infratentorial, cerebellopontine angle (left)	Respiratory center involvement	58.0	Neurologic deterioration
57     72     Female     Infratentorial, cerebellum (right)     Acute respiratory distress syndrome     93.0     Hospital acquired pneumonia	55	53 Male	Male Infratentorial, ventral medulla (left)	Respiratory center involvement		
	57	72 Female	Female Infratentorial, cerebellum (right)	Acute respiratory distress syndrome	93.0	Hospital acquired pneumonia
60 57 Male Supratentorial, temporal lobe (right) Pulmonary embolism	60	57 Male	Male Supratentorial, temporal lobe (right)	Pulmonary embolism		
6367FemaleCarotid artery (right)Intracranial hypertension72.0Neurologic deterioration	63	67 Female	Female Carotid artery (right)	Intracranial hypertension	72.0	Neurologic deterioration

Table S4 Respira	tory parameters	s during the SB'	Γ in successful (	S) and failed (I	F) ventilator libe	ration group	s within 48 hou	irs after the SBT
1		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		/	0 1		

			1MIN	5MIN	10MIN		30MIN	P Value			
Variables		Baseline				20MIN		Main Effects Interact		Interaction	
								Time	Group	Time*Group	
Tidal Volume (ml)	S	474.5 (352 2, 597 1)	403.0 (278 8 524 3)+	437.4 (336.3, 541.9) +	438.7 (321.0, 538.6)	388 (340 1 537 4)	446.8 (341 9, 533 3) +	.035	.42	.73	
	F	450.9	390.1	415.6	449	397.9	397.2				
		(395.9, 724.7)	(318.8, 561.3)†	(335.0, 600.7)	(336.9, 602.9)	(348.9, 613.5)	(340.1, 616.6)				
Respiratory rate (Breath/min)	S	19.1	21.4	20.8	22.5	21.0	21.7	.055	.69	.97	
	F	(15.8, 22.2)	(16.8, 25.6)‡	(16.9, 25.6)	(14.3, 24.7)	(15.6, 25)	(16.7, 25.8)				
	F	(14.4, 25.9)	(17, 27.9)†	20.5 (16.5, 28.9)	20.3 (17.3, 28.6)	(16.3, 29)	20.4 (17.9, 28.1)				
Minute ventilation (L)	S	9	7.8	8.6	8.3	8.4	8.4	.051	.17	.81	
		(7.7, 10.4)	(6.4, 10.0)†	(7.0, 9.8)	(7.1, 9.7)	(7.6, 10.1)	(7.8, 10.0) †				
	F	10.5 (6.7, 12.2)	9.9 (6.9, 10.9)	9.7 (7.7, 10.7)	9.0 (7.6, 11.3)	9.1 (7.3, 11.4)	9.5 (7.6, 11.3)				
Inspiratory time (sec)	S	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	1	1.1	.024	.47	.92	
		(0.9, 1.3)	(0.9, 1.2)	(0.9, 1.3)	(0.9, 1.3)	(1, 1.2)	(0.9, 1.2)				
	F	0.9 (0.9, 1.2)	1 (0.9, 1.2)	1 (0.8, 1.3)	1 (0.9, 1.3)	0.9 (0.9, 1.2)	1 (0.9, 1.2)				
Expiratory time (sec)	S	2.1	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.9	.002	.87	.81	
		(1.8, 2.6)	(1.5, 2.3)‡	(1.6, 2.3)	(1.5, 2.5)	(1.6, 2.5)	(1.4, 2.4)				
	F	2.1	1.8	1.8	2	1.8	1.8				
Duty cycle (%)	S	(1.4, 2.9)	(1.3, 2.4)	(1.2, 2.3)	(1.2, 2.3)	(1.2, 2.3)	(1.2, 2.3)	27	79	97	
	0	(31.1, 39.2)	(34.3, 40.0)‡	(33.9, 38.5)	(33.2, 40.7)	(34.2, 39.6)	(32.7, 41.3)	.21	.15	.01	
	F	33.7	37.9	36.1	36.7	36	36.2				
	0	(29.0, 39.4)	(31.9, 40.6)†	(31.1, 40.3)	(30.4, 41.6)	(31.1, 41.8)	(32.2, 42.1)	006	20	76	
RR/VT (Breath∙min <sup>-1</sup> ∙L <sup>-1</sup> )	5	41.5 (25.8, 60.9)	52.9 (30.5, 87.8)‡	46.1 (30.4, 78.5) †	43.3 (25.6, 83.5) †	52.5 (29.2, 75.2)	48 (32.2, 74.9)	.026	.39	.76	
	F	38.6	51.2	52.3	49.7	48.4	47.7				
		(17.6, 54.5)	(26.7, 78.1)†	(24.5, 70.0)	(25.7, 66.1)	(27.9, 71.5)	(28.8, 69.1)				
PEEPi (cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	S	1.0 (0.4, 1.6)	1.3 (0.6, 2)	1.0 (0.5, 2.1)	1.1 (0.5, 1.6)	0.9 (0.4, 1.8)	1.0 (0.3, 1.3)	.06	.42	.97	
	F	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.7	0.8	0.6				
		(0.1, 1.6)	(0.5, 2)	(0.5, 1.5)	(0.3, 1.7)	(0.5, 1.2)	(0.1, 1.4)				
PTP per breath (cmH <sub>2</sub> O•sec)	S	4.1 (1 7 6 4)	7.1 (4 2 8 5)+	7	8.1 (5.9, 10.0)	7.3	8.1 (5.7, 10)	.76	.34	.58	
	F	4.7	7.5	8.4	8.2	8.5	7.4				
	·	(2.4, 7.4)	(5, 10.8)‡	(6.2, 11.6)	(5.6, 12.4)	(5.4, 11.6)	(5.7, 10.7)				
PTP per liter (cmH <sub>2</sub> O•sec•L <sup>-1</sup> )	S	7.4	17.1	17.0	18.2	18.0	18.0	.70	.94	.83	
	E	(4.5, 11.2)	(11.9, 22.4)‡	(12.9, 21.3)	(13.4, 22.6)	(13.6, 22.7)	(14.9, 22.6)				
	Г	(6.1, 10.3)	(13.2, 23.7)‡	(12.3, 22.7)	(15.8, 22.4)	(15.2, 21)	(15.8, 19.9)				
NVE (ml/µV)	S	208.6	67.9	64.9	63.2	72.2	66.1	.58	.89	.58	
	_	(119.5, 324.2)	(37.4, 125.3)‡	(44.0, 110.2)	(45.1, 115.8)	(41.4, 105.4)	(36.9, 115.2)				
	F	101.9 (64.0, 294.2)	53.0 (39.1, 191.3)	57.5 (29.5, 116.3)	55.1 (33.5, 138.9)	54. <i>7</i> (30.1, 149.8)	50.1 (38.1, 97.9)				
NMEdyn	S	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	.24	.12	.81	
(cmH <sub>2</sub> O/ μV)		(0.8, 2.0)	(0.8, 1.8)	(0.9, 1.7)	(0.9, 2.5)	(1, 2.4)	(0.9, 1.8)				
	F	1.5 (0.8, 3.7)	1.5 (1.1, 4.2)	1.2 (1.0, 4.0)	1.5 (1.0, 3.6)	1.2 (1.0, 4.2)	1.7 (1.0, 3.0)				
∆Pmus (cmH₂O)	S	6.0	8.7	10.0	11.3	10.8	11.2	.003	.11	.78	
		(1.8, 7.8)	(6.2, 11.5)‡	(8.3, 12.2)	(8.1, 13.2)	(8.0, 12.9) †	(8.4, 13.3) †				
	F	7.9 (3.6, 10, 1)	10.3 (8.1 16.5)+	11 (8.8, 16, 1)	13.4 (8 9 15 6)	12.5 (8.6, 18.5)	11.7 (8 7 18 1)				
PTP per min (cmH₂Q∙min)	S	60.4	142.3	150.2	142.3	167.1	162.7	.60	.22	.58	
	0	(38.9, 112.5)	(96.7, 187.7)‡	(103.5, 180)	(111.7, 197.7)	(113.9, 194.4)	(124.5, 195.7)			.00	
	F	95.6	176.0	175.1	167.5	164.3	167.8				
	0	(36.1, 154.9)	(121.2, 239.9) <del>‡</del>	(121.5, 227.4)	(130.5, 221.2)	(136.0, 238.7)	(125.1, 213.9)	20	01	0.4	
	3	(1.3, 4.4)	(3.4, 10.3)‡	(3.8, 9.9)	(3.7, 10.9)	(3.7, 9.7)	(3.5, 12.3)	.29	.21	.04	
	F	5.4	8.3	10.2	9.4	10.1	8.7				
	~	(2.2, 8.0)	(3.0, 12.4)	(4.3, 14.6)	(4.8, 13.2)	(4.0, 15)	(5.0, 13.4)	a -		- <i>i</i>	
ινινιεοοcι (cmH₂O/ μV)	5	2.8 (1.5, 4.8)	2.0 (1.4, 5.1)	2.0 (1.3, 3.9)	2.0 (1.1, 3.3)	2.4 (1.4, 3.8)	2.0 (1.6, 4.5)	.89	./5	.34	
	F	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.8	2.1				
		(1.5, 4.6)	(1.8, 3.6)	(1.2, 4)	(1.2, 5.2)	(1.3, 6.6)	(1.1, 4.1)				

Data are presented as median (interquartile range). No significant differences between two groups were observed at baseline and each time points during the spontaneous breathing trial. Within each group, comparison between the baseline and the first minute of the spontaneous breathing trial: p < 0.05, p < 0.001. And comparison between the first minute after the start of the SBT and other time points after it: P < 0.05, p < 0.001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; RR, respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume; PEEPi, intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure; PTP, pressure-time-product; NVE, neuro-ventilatory efficiency; NMEdyn, dynamic neuro-mechanical efficiency;  $\Delta$ Pmus, global inspiratory muscles pressure;  $\Delta$ EAdi, electrical activity of the diaphragm; NMEoocl, static neuro-mechanical efficiency.

Table S5 Respiratory parameters during	g the SBT in successful (S) and failed (F) ventile	ator liberation groups within 7 days after the SBT

		Baseline	1MIN	5MIN	10MIN	20MIN	30MIN	P Value		
Variables								Main Effects		Interaction
							-	Time	Group	_ Time*Group
Tidal Volume (ml)	S	474.5 (352.2, 597.1)	403.0 (278.8, 524.3)‡	437.4 (336.3, 541.9)†	438.7 (321, 538.6)	388.0 (340.1, 537.4)	446.8 (341.9, 533.3)	.006	.65	.74
	F	450.9 (395.9, 724.7)	390.1(318.8, 561.3)‡	415.6 (335, 600.7)	449.0 (336.9, 602.9)	397.9 (348.9, 613.5)	397.2 (340.1, 616.6)			
Respiratory rate (Breath/min)	S	19.1 (15.8, 22.2)	21.4 (16.8, 25.6)‡	20.8 (16.9, 25.6)	22.5 (14.3, 24.7)	21.0 (15.6, 25.0)	21.7 (16.7, 25.8)	.05	.26	.42
	F	19.1 (14.4, 25.9)	22.1 (17, 27.9)†	20.5 (16.5, 28.9)	20.3 (17.3, 28.6)	20.8 (16.3, 29.0)	20.4 (17.9, 28.1)			
Minute ventilation (L)	S	9.0 (7.7, 10.4)	7.8 (6.4, 10.0)	8.6 (7.0, 9.8)	8.3 (7.1, 9.7)	8.4 (7.6, 10.1)	8.4 (7.8, 10.0)	.02	.50	.89
	F	10.5 (6.7, 12.2)	9.9 (6.9, 10.9)	9.7 (7.7, 10.7)	9 (7.6, 11.3)	9.1 (7.3, 11.4)	9.5 (7.6, 11.3)			
Inspiratory time(sec)	S	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)	1.1 (0.9, 1.2)	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)	1.0 (0.9, 1.3)	1.0 (1.0, 1.2)	1.1 (0.9, 1.2)	.017	.27	.87
	F	0.9 (0.9, 1.2)	1.0 (0.9, 1.2)	1.0 (0.8, 1.3)	1.0 (0.9, 1.3)	0.9 (0.9, 1.2)	1 (0.9, 1.2)			
Expiratory time(sec)	S	2.1 (1.8, 2.6)	1.8 (1.5, 2.3)†	1.9 (1.6, 2.3)	1.8 (1.5, 2.5)	1.8 (1.6, 2.5)	1.9 (1.4, 2.4)	.001	.54	.26
	F	2.1 (1.4, 2.9)	1.8 (1.3, 2.4)†	1.8 (1.2, 2.5)	2 (1.2, 2.3)	1.8 (1.2, 2.5)	1.8 (1.2, 2.3)			
Duty cycle (%)	S	32.7 (31.1, 39.2)	36.8 (34.3, 40.0)†	36.9 (33.9, 38.5)	37.1 (33.2, 40.7)	36.6 (34.2, 39.6)	37.8 (32.7, 41.3)	.22	.55	.32
	F	33.7 (29.0, 39.4)	37.9 (31.9, 40.6)†	36.1 (31.1, 40.3)	36.7 (30.4, 41.6)	36 (31.1, 41.8)	36.2 (32.2, 42.1)			
RR/VT	S	41.4 (25.8, 60.9)	52.9 (30.5, 87.8)‡	46.1 (30.4, 78.5)	43.3 (25.6, 83.5) †	52.5 (29.2, 75.2)	48.0 (32.2, 74.9)	.030	.88	.43
(Breath∙min⁻¹∙L⁻¹)	F	38.6 (17.6, 54.5)	51.2 (26.7, 78.1)‡	52.3 (24.5, 70)	49.7 (25.7, 66.1)	48.4 (27.9, 71.5)	47.7 (28.8, 69.1)			
PEEPi (cmH₂O)	S	1.0(0.4, 1.6)	1.3 (0.6, 2.0)	1.0 (0.5, 2.1)	1.1 (0.5, 1.6)	0.9 (0.4, 1.8)	1.0 (0.3, 1.3)	.030	.60	.84
	F	1.0 (0.1, 1.6)	1.2 (0.5, 2.0)	1.1 (0.5, 1.5)	0.7 (0.3, 1.7)	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	0.6 (0.1, 1.4)			
PTP per breath (cmH <sub>2</sub> O•sec)	S	4.1 (1.7, 6.4)	7.1 (4.2, 8.5)†	7.0 (5.6, 9.3)	8.1 (5.9, 10.0)	7.3 (5.6, 9.7)	8.1 (5.7, 10.0)	.54	.66	.72
	F	4.7 (2.4, 7.4)	7.5 (5.0, 10.8)‡	8.4 (6.2, 11.6)	8.2 (5.6, 12.4)	8.5 (5.4, 11.6)	7.4 (5.7, 10.7)			
PTP per liter (cmH <sub>2</sub> O•sec•L <sup>-1</sup> )	S	7.4 (4.5, 11.2)	17.1 (11.9, 22.4)‡	17 (12.9, 21.3)	18.2 (13.4, 22.6)	18 (13.6, 22.7)	18 (14.9, 22.6)	.54	.71	.92
	F	7.4 (6.1, 10.3)	16.7 (13.2, 23.7)‡	18.2 (12.3, 22.7)	18.2 (15.8, 22.4)	17.7 (15.2, 21)	17.7 (15.8, 19.9)			
NVE (ml/µV)	S	208.6 (119.5, 324.2)	67.9 (37.4, 125.3)	64.9 (44.0, 110.2)	63.2 (45.1, 115.8)	72.2 (41.4, 105.4)	66.1 (36.9, 115.2)	.55	.45	.62
	F	101.9 (64.0, 294.2)	53.0 (39.1, 191.3)	57.5 (29.5, 116.3)	55.1 (33.5, 138.9)	54.7 (30.1, 149.8)	50.1 (38.1, 97.9)			
NMEdyn	S	1.5 (0.8, 2.0)	1.2 (0.8, 1.8)	1.2 (0.9, 1.7)	1.2 (0.9, 2.5)	1.2 (1.0, 2.4)	1.1 (0.9, 1.8)	.25	.26	.82
(cmH₂O/ μV)	F	1.5 (0.8, 3.7)	1.5 (1.1, 4.2)	1.2 (1.0, 4.0)	1.5 (1.0, 3.6)	1.2 (1.0, 4.2)	1.7 (1.0, 3.0)			
∆Pmus (cmH₂O)	S	6.0 (1.8, 7.8)	8.7 (6.2, 11.5)‡	10.0 (8.3, 12.2)	11.3 (8.1, 13.2) †	10.8 (8.0, 12.9)	11.2 (8.4, 13.3)	.001	.23	.65
	F	7.9 (3.6, 10.1)	10.3 (8.1, 16.5)‡	11.0 (8.8, 16.1)	13.4 (8.9, 15.6)	12.5 (8.6, 18.5)	11.7 (8.7, 18.1)			
PTP per min (cmH <sub>2</sub> O•min)	S	60.4 (38.9, 112.5)	142.3 (96.7, 187.7)‡	150.2 (103.5, 180.0)	142.3 (111.7, 197.7)	167.1 (113.9, 194.4)	162.7 (124.5, 195.7)	.47	.29	.93
	F	95.6 (36.1, 154.9)	176 (121.2, 239.9)‡	175.1 (121.5, 227.4)	167.5 (130.5, 221.2)	164.3 (136, 238.7)	167.8 (125.1, 213.9)			
∆EAdi (μV)	S	2.4 (1.3, 4.4)	5.8 (3.4, 10.3)†	6.5 (3.8, 9.9)	6.3 (3.7, 10.9)	5.8 (3.7, 9.7)	6.8 (3.5, 12.3)	.39	.87	.47
	F	5.4 (2.2, 8)	8.3 (3, 12.4)‡	10.2 (4.3, 14.6)	9.4 (4.8, 13.2)	10.1 (4.0, 15.0)	8.7 (5.0, 13.4)			
NMEoocl	S	2.8 (1.5, 4.8)	2.0 (1.4, 5.1)	2.0 (1.3, 3.9)	2.0 (1.1, 3.3)	2.4 (1.4, 3.8)	2.0 (1.6, 4.5)	.69	.40	.81
(cmH <sub>2</sub> O/ μV)	F	2.0 (1.5, 4.6)	2.0 (1.8, 3.6)	1.7 (1.2, 4.0)	1.7 (1.2, 5.2)	1.8 (1.3, 6.6)	2.1 (1.1, 4.1)			

Data are presented as median (interquartile range). No significant differences between two groups were observed at baseline and each time points during the spontaneous breathing trial. Within each group, comparison between the baseline and the first minute of the spontaneous breathing trial: † P < 0.05,  $\ddagger P < 0.001$ . And comparison between the first minute after the start of the SBT and other time points after it: † P < 0.05,  $\ddagger P < 0.001$ . SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; RR, respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume; PEEPi, intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure; PTP, pressure-time-product; NVE, neuro-ventilatory efficiency; NMEdyn, dynamic neuro-mechanical efficiency;  $\Delta$ Pmus, global inspiratory muscles pressure;  $\Delta$ EAdi, electrical activity of the diaphragm; NMEoocl, static neuro-mechanical efficiency.

Early and delayed extubation after the first SBT

Within 48 hours after the first SBT, 13 (28.3%) subjects were extubated, and 33 (71.7%) subjects were remained breathing through a T-tube circuit with humidified oxygen. The rate of failed ventilator liberation in subjects extubated and subjects without extubation were not different (4/13 (30.8%) vs. 13/33 (39.4%), respectively, P=0.74).

Table S6 Respiratory parameters during the SBT in successful (S) and failed (F) ventilator liberation groups within 48 hours after the SBT in subjects remaining intubated within 48 hours

			1MIN	5MIN	10MIN	20MIN	30MIN	P Value		
Variables		Baseline						Main Effects		Interaction
								Group	Time*Group	Time*Group
Tidal Volume (ml)	S	511.2(376.7-592.8)	440.2(284.8-525) ‡	454.4(349.8-550)	445.0(347.8-539.9)	462.1(340.7-540.2) †	485.6(364.8-535.7) †	<.001	.83	.57
	F	450.9(400.8-686.7)	370.0(309.5-517.5) ‡	412(335-571.9)	392.7(330.1-583.9)	397.9(348.9-613.5)	388.8(340.1-616.6) †			
Respiratory rate (Breath/min)	S	18.7(16-22.2)	20.8(17-23.1) †	20.2(17.6-22.3)	20.6(15.1-23.4)	20.8(16.7-24)	20.0(16.9-24.4)	.44	.17	.72
	F	20.4(16.5-27)	23.3(18.7-29) †	24.0(17.1-29.6)	23.1(17.8-29.1)	22.9(18.1-29.3)	20.9(18.5-29.9)			
Minute ventilation (L)	S	9.2(8.2-10.5)	7.9(6.4-10.1)	9.4(7.5-9.9)	8.5(7.3-10.1)	8.9(7.8-10.3)	8.7(7.9-10.6) †	.002	.23	0.91
	F	10.5(7.2-12.1)	10.3(7.0-11.5) †	10.1(7.7-10.8)	10.0(7.6-11.7)	10.5(7.8-11.9)	10.6(7.6-11.4)			
Inspiratory time(sec)	S	1.14(0.97-1.29)	1.13(1.01-1.23)	1.13(0.98-1.35)	1.08(0.92-1.4)	1.05(0.98-1.25)	1.09(1.02-1.38)	.18	.09	.28
	F	0.91(0.89-1.18)	0.93(0.84-1.12)	0.95(0.83-1.16)	0.98(0.85-1.17)	0.94(0.84-1.15)	0.97(0.86-1.14)			
Expiratory time(sec)	S	2.13(1.87-2.48)	1.85(1.58-2.22) †	1.9(1.77-2.23)	1.80(1.60-2.42)	1.83(1.59-2.28)	1.91(1.49-2.29)	.08	.28	.51
	F	2.05(1.32-2.61)	1.58(1.2-1.95) †	1.54(1.17-2.2)	1.64(1.17-2.23)	1.7(1.16-2.05)	1.71(1.12-2.17)			
Duty cycle (%)	S	32.7(32.2-39.7)	36.6(32.2-40)	37.0(34.5-38.4)	36.9(33.6-41.1)	36.7(35.3-39.7)	37.9(33.6-41.6)	.62	.49	.75
	F	35.9(29.8-40.1)	39.1(34.8-41.4) †	37.7(33.3-40.6)	38.8(31.7-42.1)	38.6(33.3-42.1)	36.5(33.9-42.4)			
RR/VT (Breath∙min <sup>-1</sup> ∙L <sup>-1</sup> )	S	41.0(26-60.6)	49.4(29.6-80.2) ‡	42.4(33.9-69.2) †	43.0(27.3-76.1)	43.1(31.3-73.5)	46.8(32.4-59.8)	.017	.85	.59
	F	40.4(21.4-55.4)	62.2(34.5-84.5) ‡	52.3(30.7-80.2)	54.8(29.2-71.8)	48.4(29.4-74)	47.7(28.9-71)			
PEEPi (cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	S	1.0(0.4-1.5)	1.3(0.6-1.9)	1.0(0.5-1.9)	1.1(0.3-1.6)	0.9(0.3-1.7)	0.7(0.2-1.1)	.08	.72	.83
	F	1.1(0.0-1.7)	1.2(0.5-2)	1.1(0.6-1.5)	1.3(0.2-2)	0.7(0.5-1.2)	0.5(0.1-1.2)			
PTP per breath (cmH <sub>2</sub> O•sec)	S	4.1(1.4-6.4)	7.5(5-8.5) ‡	7.1(6.5-9.3)	8.1(5.9-10)	7.7(5.8-9.7)	8.4(7.4-10.5)	.021	.84	.52
	F	5.0(2.9-7.7)	7.1(4.3-10.2) †	8.4(3.9-11.6)	7.7(5-12.2)	8.5(5.6-11.6)	7.4(5.7-10.7) †			
PTP per liter (cmH <sub>2</sub> O•sec•L <sup>-1</sup> )	S	7.4(3.5-10.8)	17.1(11.5-19.4) ‡	17.0(12.8-21.0)	19.0(13.1-21.0)	18.0(12.9-22.1)	19.0(12.4-21.9)	.27	.82	.79
	F	8.5(5.5-12.3)	16.1(12.5-23.3) ‡	17.8(11.2-22.7)	18.6(13.7-23.2)	17.7(11.9-23.1)	17.5(14.1-19.9)			
NVE (ml/µV)	S	203.9(127.8-343.5)	76.7(39.9-136.7)	72.3(48.3-127.5)	80.7(56.6-119.1)	86.4(46.8-126.4)	79.8(51.6-115.4)	.70	.56	.54
	F	101.9(61-232.4)	48.6(40.1-161.6) †	57.5(27.4-116.3)	45.8(31-138.9)	41.5(27.5-149.8)	50.1(34.6-97.9)			
NMEdyn (cmH₂O/ μV)	S	1.6(1-2.1)	1.2(0.9-2.4)	1.2(1-2.8)	1.3(1-3)	1.4(1-3)	1.2(0.9-2.4)	.029	.42	.80
	F	1.2(0.8-4.1)	1.4(1.1-3.9)	1.3(1.1-4)	1.6(1-3.6)	1.2(1-4.2)	1.6(0.9-2.8)			
∆Pmus (cmH₂O)	S	6.4(2.6-7.8)	8.8(6.2-11.3) †	10(8.9-12.2)	11.4(8.1-13.2)	11.1(7.7-12.9)	11.6(9.1-14.1) †	.002	.17	.50
	F	7.9(4.6-11.2)	9.1(7.8-15.9)	11.1(7.7-16.1)	13.7(8.6-15.6)	13.8(9.7-18.5)	12(9-17.3)			
PTP per min (cmH₂O∙min)	S	76.8(29.4-112.5)	142.3(91.1-186.9) ‡	154.8(142-174.2)	177.7(104.5-199.9)	172.1(117.2-206.2)	172.2(134.5-199.7) †	.071	.29	.30
	F	101.8(50.1-156.7)	198.6(98.9-237.2) ‡	178.7(121.5-227.4)	186.1(134.0-235.2)	182.0(152.8-268.3)	177.3(130.7-213.9)			
ΔEAdi (μV)	S	2.3(1.1-4.4)	5.9(2.8-8.5)	6.6(3.5-8.5)	4.5(3.7-10.1)	5.5(3.5-9.4)	6.0(3.2-11.7)	.17	.10	.48
	F	5.4(2.5-7.6)	8.1(3.8-11.0) †	9.3(4.3-13.7)	9.4(4.8-12.2)	10.1(4.0-15.5)	8.7(5.0-11.2)			
NMEoocl	S	3.2(1.7-4.4)	2.1(1.5-6.9)	2.0(1.4-4.5)	2.2(1.3-3.3)	2.7(1.7-4.9)	2.4(1.6-4.7)	.83	.48	.31
(cmH <sub>2</sub> O/ µV)	F	1.8(1.5-4.4)	2.0(1.9-3.3)	1.7(1.3-4.0)	1.6(1.2-5.2)	1.9(1.3-6.6)	2.3(1.2-4.1)			

Data are presented as median (interquartile range). No significant differences between two groups were observed at baseline and each time points during the spontaneous breathing trial. Within each group, comparison between the baseline and the first minute of the spontaneous breathing trial: P < .05, P < .001. And comparison between the first minute after the start of the SBT and other time points after it: P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. And comparison between the first minute after the start of the SBT and other time points after it: P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT, spontaneous breathing trial; P < .05, P < .001. SBT

© Annals of Translational Medicine. All rights reserved.

# Appendix S1

Definition of the brain-injured patients screened:

Potential candidates for this study are brain-injured patients including:

- Traumatic brain injury (TBI, including contusion, brain hemorrhages, shearing lesions, and subdural and epidural hematomas)
- Stroke
- Global cerebral ischemia (for example, after cardiac arrest)
- Infections of the brain
- Brain tumor (including post neurosurgical status)