<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Page 8 Line 143-144	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		Not
Provide accession number in repository OR		available
supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		Not
origin, genetic modification status.		available
Everymental animals	Vac (indicate where provided, costion (personal)	-
Experimental animals Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a Not
genetic modification status. Provide species, strain, sex, age,		available
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		available
number, clone number, OR RRID		
		Net
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where		Not available
possible		available
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		Not
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		available
		available
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		Not
number if available, and source (including location		available
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		Not
accession number if available, and source		available
		available
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Page 7 Line 112-113	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Page 7 Line 114-115	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Fig. 2	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.	Page 6 Line 91-92	
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		Not
by-step protocols are available.		available
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		Not available
Randomisation	Page 7 Line 109-111	
Blinding	Page 7 Line 109-111	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Page 7 Line 116-123	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was		Not
replicated in laboratory		available
Define whether data describe technical or biological		Not
replicates		available
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Page 7 Line 112-113	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		Not available
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		Not available
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		Not
state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		available

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Page 7 Line 116-123	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Page 9-11 Line 155-214	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	supplementary file	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	Page 9 Line 166-167	
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		Not available
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Page 9-11 Line 155-214	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	Page 27 Line 503-505	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and	Page 5 Line 88-89	
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-195