

Item	Item No	RECOMMENDATION	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph				
Title	1	Provide as accurate and concise a description of the content of the article as possible.	Page 1	Title Page				
Abstract	2	Provide an accurate summary of the background, research objectives, including details of the species or strain of animal used, key methods, principal findings and conclusions of the study.	Page 2-3 / line 41-71	Abstract				
INTRODUCTION	INTRODUCTION							
Background	3	 a. Include sufficient scientific background (including relevant references to previous work) to understand the motivation and context for the study, and explain the experimental approach and rationale. b. Explain how and why the animal species and model being used can address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the study's relevance to human biology. 	Page 4-6 / Line 78-133	Introduction Para 1-3				
Objectives	4	Clearly describe the primary and any secondary objectives of the study, or specific hypotheses being tested.	Page 6 / Line 129-133	Introduction Para 3				
METHODS								
Ethical statement	5	Indicate the nature of the ethical review permissions, relevant licences (e.g. Animal [Scientific Procedures] Act 1986), and national or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals, that cover the research.	Page 6 / line 136-138	Materials and Methods Para 1				
Study design	6	 For each experiment, give brief details of the study design including: a. The number of experimental and control groups. b. Any steps taken to minimise the effects of subjective bias when allocating animals to treatment (e.g. randomisation procedure) and when assessing results (e.g. if done, describe who was blinded and when). c. The experimental unit (e.g. a single animal, group or cage of animals). A time-line diagram or flow chart can be useful to illustrate how complex study designs were carried out. 	Page 6-7 / line 140-154 Page 7-9 / line 156-204 Page 9 / Line 206-221	Experimental Design Para 2,3 Optimization of Local FK506 Implant Design Para 3-6 Immunological Monitoring Para 7-8				
Experimental procedures	7	 For each experiment and each experimental group, including controls, provide precise details of all procedures carried out. For example: a. How (e.g. drug formulation and dose, site and route of administration, anaesthesia and analgesia used [including monitoring], surgical procedure, method of euthanasia). Provide details of any specialist equipment used, including supplier(s). b. When (e.g. time of day). c. Where (e.g. home cage, laboratory, water maze). d. Why (e.g. rationale for choice of specific anaesthetic, route of administration, drug dose used). 	Page 6-7 / line 140-154	Experimental Design Para 2,3 Detailed in Reference #17 Figure 2				

Experimental Design
As per standard IACUC policies
223-230 Statistical Analysis Para 9
Experimental Design Optimization of Local FK506 Implant Design
Immunological Monitoring
223-230 Statistical Analysis
-145 Experimental Design
236-300 Results Figure 1-7
N/A
-256 Figure 1
1

DISCUSSION				
Interpretation/ scientific implications	18	 a. Interpret the results, taking into account the study objectives and hypotheses, current theory and other relevant studies in the literature. b. Comment on the study limitations including any potential sources of bias, any limitations of the animal model, and the imprecision associated with the results². c. Describe any implications of your experimental methods or findings for the replacement, refinement or reduction (the 3Rs) of the use of animals in research. 	Page 13-16 / Line 304-399	Discussion
Generalisability/ translation	19	Comment on whether, and how, the findings of this study are likely to translate to other species or systems, including any relevance to human biology.	Page 16-17 / Line 401-407	Conclusion
Funding	20	List all funding sources (including grant number) and the role of the funder(s) in the study.	Page 17-18 / Line 419-431	Acknowledgements

From:

Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments

Carol Kilkenny¹, William J Browne², Innes C Cuthill³, Michael Emerson⁴ and Douglas G Altman⁵

¹The National Centre for the Replacement, Refinement and Reduction of Animals in Research, London, UK, ²School of Veterinary Science, University of Bristol, Bristol, Bristol, UK, ⁴National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, UK, ⁵Centre for Statistics in Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.



References:

- 1. Kilkenny C, Browne WJ, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG (2010) Improving Bioscience Research Reporting: The ARRIVE Guidelines for Reporting Animal Research. PLoS Biol 8(6): e1000412. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000412
- 2. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, the CONSORT Group (2010) CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ 340:c332.

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-313

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copy editing and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.