<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Not available	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	Not available	11/a
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of	Not available	
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	Not available	, u
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the	Not available	
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number	Not available	
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession	Not available	-
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique	Not available	
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Not available	, u
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Not available	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Not available	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration	Not available	
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	Not available	
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done , or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Methods/ paragraph 1.1	
Randomisation	Not available	
Blinding	Methods/ paragraph 1.4	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Methods/ paragraph 1.4	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	Methods/ paragraph 1.4	
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological	Methods/ paragraph 1.4	
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Not available	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details	Not available	
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if	Not available	
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,	Not available	
state the authority granting approval and reference		
state the authority granting approval and reference		

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were	Results/ paragraph 2.1	
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Methods/ paragraph 1.5	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	Not available	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	Not available	
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	Not available	
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Not available	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	Not available	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-4297