<u>M</u>aterials <u>D</u>esign <u>A</u>nalysis <u>R</u>eporting (MDAR)
Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section /paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	/	N/A The study didn't use any antibodies.

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section /paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	/	N/A The study didn't use cell materials.
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	/	N/A The study didn't use cell materials.

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section /paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	/	N/A The study didn't use experimental animals.
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	/	N/A The study didn't use experimental animals.
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) **OR** RRID	/	N/A The study didn't use experimental animals.

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section /paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	/	N/A The study didn't use plants and microbes.
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	/	N/A The study didn't use microbes.

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section	n/a

Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Page 4, line 117-121; Methods (IPFP and synovium samples) / paragraph 1	/
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Page 4, line 120-121; Methods (IPFP and synovium samples) / paragraph 1	/
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	supplementary Table 3	/

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number **OR** cite DOI in manuscript.	/	N/A The study is basic research.

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	/	N/A The study is basic research.

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done**, or** if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	/	N/A The study is basic research.
Randomisation	/	N/A The study is basic research.
Blinding	/	N/A The study is basic research.
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	/	N/A The study is basic research.

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Page 6, line 198-199; Statistical analysis / paragraph 1	/
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Page 6, line 198-199; Statistical analysis / paragraph 1	/

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Page 4, line 117-121; Methods (IPFP and synovium samples) / paragraph 1	/

Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	/	N/A The study didn't use experimental animals.
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Page 4, line 110-114; Methods (I PFP and synovium samples) / paragraph 1	/

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	**Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)**	**n/a**
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	/	N/A The study is not subject to dual use research of concern.

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	/	N/A

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Page 6, line 195-197; Statistical analysis / paragraph 1	/

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	/	N/A The study didn't include newly created datasets.
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	/	N/A The study didn't use publicly available data.
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	/	N/A The study didn't use publicly available data.

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	/	N/A
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	/	N/A

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg.,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-5739