<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		NO
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		NO
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		NO
origin, genetic modification status.		

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		NO
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		NO
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		NO

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		NO
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		NO

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		NO
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent		NO
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		NO

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		NO
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	res (marcate where provided, section, paragraph)	NO
by-step protocols are available.		140
a, step protesses are aranasies	<u>I</u>	
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		NO
Randomisation		NO
Blinding		NO
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	The inclusion criteria in this study were as follows: (1)	
	situated in the same anatomic site; (2) had similar	
	morphological characteristics, and (3) examined by	
	same scanning tool and had high enough quality to	
	detect the aneurysms.	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	res (maicate where provided, section, paragraph)	NO
replicated in laboratory		110
Define whether data describe technical or biological		NO
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of		NO
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		NO
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		NO
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		NO
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Duel Hee Beasarch of Conserve (DUBS)	West Continued and the second	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		NO
state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		
number for the regulatory approval		

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		NO
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a	l
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of		NO	l
tests.		Į l	l

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		NO
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		NO
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		NO
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		NO
If code is publicly available, provide accession		NO
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-5939