

The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: author checklist

The ARRIVE Essential 10

These items are the basic minimum to include in a manuscript. Without this information, readers and reviewers cannot assess the reliability of the findings.

ltem		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Study design	1	For each experiment, provide brief details of study design including:	Methods p4
		a. The groups being compared, including control groups. If no control group has been used, the rationale should be stated.	line 18-20
		b. The experimental unit (e.g. a single animal, litter, or cage of animals).	Methods p4 line 8-10
Sample size	2	a. Specify the exact number of experimental units allocated to each group, and the total number in each experiment. Also indicate the total number of animals used.	Methods p4 line 18-20
		b. Explain how the sample size was decided. Provide details of any <i>a priori</i> sample size calculation, if done.	According to the preivous animial studies.
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	3	a. Describe any criteria used for including and excluding animals (or experimental units) during the experiment, and data points during the analysis. Specify if these criteria were established <i>a priori</i> . If no criteria were set, state this explicitly.	Methods p4 line 12-13
oritoria		b. For each experimental group, report any animals, experimental units or data points	Methods p4 line 22-23
		not included in the analysis and explain why. If there were no exclusions, state so. c. For each analysis, report the exact value of <i>n</i> in each experimental group.	Methods p4 line 18-20
Randomisation	4	State whether randomisation was used to allocate experimental units to control and treatment groups. If done, provide the method used to generate the randomisation sequence.	Methods p4 line 18
		 Describe the strategy used to minimise potential confounders such as the order of treatments and measurements, or animal/cage location. If confounders were not controlled, state this explicitly. 	There are no potential confounders in this study.
Blinding	5	Describe who was aware of the group allocation at the different stages of the experiment (during the allocation, the conduct of the experiment, the outcome assessment, and the data analysis).	Methods p4 line 20-22
Outcome measures	6	a. Clearly define all outcome measures assessed (e.g. cell death, molecular markers, or behavioural changes).	Methods p5 line 9,16,33;p6 line 3,8,23,29;
		 For hypothesis-testing studies, specify the primary outcome measure, i.e. the outcome measure that was used to determine the sample size. 	There are no hypothesis testing studies in our data.
Statistical methods	7	Provide details of the statistical methods used for each analysis, including software used.	Methods p7 line3-4
		b. Describe any methods used to assess whether the data met the assumptions of the statistical approach, and what was done if the assumptions were not met.	There are statistcal differences between control and siRAN treatment group.
Experimental animals	8	a. Provide species-appropriate details of the animals used, including species, strain and substrain, sex, age or developmental stage, and, if relevant, weight.	Methods p4 line 8;line17.
		b. Provide further relevant information on the provenance of animals, health/immune status, genetic modification status, genotype, and any previous procedures.	Methods p4 line 11-12
Experimental procedures	9	For each experimental group, including controls, describe the procedures in enough detail to allow others to replicate them, including:	Methods p5 line 26-29
procedures		a. What was done, how it was done and what was used.	Methods p5 line 29
		b. When and how often.	Methods p5 line 27-28
		c. Where (including detail of any acclimatisation periods).	Methods p5 line 26-31.
D II.	10	d. Why (provide rationale for procedures).	
Results	10	For each experiment conducted, including independent replications, report: a. Summary/descriptive statistics for each experimental group, with a measure of	Methods p7 line1
		variability where applicable (e.g. mean and SD, or median and range). b. If applicable, the effect size with a confidence interval.	There is no effect size in this study.

The Recommended Set

These items complement the Essential 10 and add important context to the study. Reporting the items in both sets represents best practice.

Item		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Abstract	11	Provide an accurate summary of the research objectives, animal species, strain and sex, key methods, principal findings, and study conclusions.	Abstract p1 line28-34;p2 line 1-17.
Background	12	 a. Include sufficient scientific background to understand the rationale and context for the study, and explain the experimental approach. 	Abstract p1 line 29-30
		 Explain how the animal species and model used address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the relevance to human biology. 	Abstract p1 line 31-32
Objectives	13	Clearly describe the research question, research objectives and, where appropriate, specific hypotheses being tested.	Abstract p1 line 30
Ethical statement	14	Provide the name of the ethical review committee or equivalent that has approved the use of animals in this study, and any relevant licence or protocol numbers (if applicable). If ethical approval was not sought or granted, provide a justification.	Methods p4 line5-8; Footnote p11, line 24-27
Housing and husbandry	15	Provide details of housing and husbandry conditions, including any environmental enrichment.	Methods p4 line8-10
Animal care and monitoring	16	Describe any interventions or steps taken in the experimental protocols to reduce pain, suffering and distress.	Methods p4 line14-16
		b. Report any expected or unexpected adverse events.	No
		c. Describe the humane endpoints established for the study, the signs that were monitored and the frequency of monitoring. If the study did not have humane endpoints, state this.	Methods p4 line12-14
Interpretation/ scientific	17	a. Interpret the results, taking into account the study objectives and hypotheses, current theory and other relevant studies in the literature.	Discussion p9 line 16-24;
implications		b. Comment on the study limitations including potential sources of bias, limitations of the animal model, and imprecision associated with the results.	Discussion p10 line 20-21
Generalisability/ translation	18	Comment on whether, and how, the findings of this study are likely to generalise to other species or experimental conditions, including any relevance to human biology (where appropriate).	Conclusion p11 line 7-10
Protocol registration	19	Provide a statement indicating whether a protocol (including the research question, key design features, and analysis plan) was prepared before the study, and if and where this protocol was registered.	A protocol was prepared before the study without registration.
Data access	20	Provide a statement describing if and where study data are available.	Footnote p11 line 22
Declaration of interests	21	a. Declare any potential conflicts of interest, including financial and non-financial. If none exist, this should be stated.	Footnote p11 line 20
		 List all funding sources (including grant identifier) and the role of the funder(s) in the design, analysis and reporting of the study. 	Acknowledgments p11 line 13-17.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-517

