#### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

#### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Yes, the supplier names and catalogue numbers of	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	commercial reagents were provided (see section	
	Methods).	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		N/A. No cell lines but primary culture was used in our study.
<b>Primary cultures:</b> Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	Yes. Human, male or female, no genetic modification (see Table 1).	

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		N/A. NO
genetic modification status. Provide accession		animals
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog		were
number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		involved
		in our
		study.
Animal observed in or captured from the		N/A. NO
field: Provide species, sex and age where		animals
possible		were
		observed
		in our
		study.
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		N/A. NO
in repository (where relevant) <b>OR</b> RRID		model
		organisms
		were
		involved
		in our
		studv.

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		N/A. NO
number if available, and source (including location		plants
for collected wild specimens)		were
, ,		involved
		in our
		study.
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		N/A. NO
accession number if available, and source		microbes
		were
		involved
		in our
		study.

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The experimental protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the former Guangzhou General Hospital of Guangzhou Military Command (NO.2017-3-6) (see page 5, lines 19-21).	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.  Report on age and sex for all study participants.	All study participants gave informed consent to undergo sampling (see page 5, lines 21-22).  OK (see Table 1).	

## Design

<del></del>		
Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		N/A. NO
number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		clinical
		trials
		were
		involved
		in our
		study.
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	Citation details were provided in Methods.	,
by-step protocols are available.	·	
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	See Table 1.	
Randomisation	Teeth in each group were divided randomly into	
	three groups for gene expression analysis,	
	immunohistochemical test, and cell culture (see	
	page 5, lines 13-15).	
Blinding		N/A. No
		blinding
		was used
		in our
		experime-
		nt.
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Inclusion: affected teeth of diabetic patients with	
	periodontitis, periodontitis patients without	
	systemic disease, and healthy premolars or	
	buried third molars extracted during orthodontic	
	procedures were collected.	
	None of the study participants had systemic	
	diseases or a long-term medication history except	
	diabetes, and none of them had received	
	periodontal treatment within the previous 3	
	months (see page 5, the first paragraph of	
	Materials).	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	Three.	
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological	Both.	
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	The experimental protocol was approved by the	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects	
committee(s), provide reference number for	Research of the former Guangzhou General	
committee(s), provide reference number for	nescuren of the former dualigation deficial	
approval.	Hospital of Guangzhou Military Command	

Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	The sample were the periodontal tissues extracted from the root surface of the affected tooth and healthy premolars or buried third molars extracted during orthodontic procedures according to the needs of clinical treatment, which might be discarded normally, and all study participants gave informed consent to undergo sampling. The experimental protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the former Guangzhou General Hospital of Guangzhou	N/A. No experime ntal animals were involved in the study.
	Military Command (NO.2017-3-6) (see page 5, the first paragraph of Materials).	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Voc (indicate where provided section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a N/A. Our
state the authority granting approval and reference		study is
number for the regulatory approval		not
manuscritor the regulatory approval		subject to
		dual use
		research
		of
		concern.

# <u>Analysis</u>

State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
		N/A. No
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		sample or
determined and specified in advance.		data point
		from the
		analysis
		was
		excluded.
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Differences between two groups were evaluated	
tests.	by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, and the	
	data of more than two groups were analyzed by	
	one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by	
	Dunnett's test (see page 10, Statistical analysis).	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		N/A. No
including protocols for access or restriction on		newly
access.		created
		datasets
		were
		involved
		in this
		paper.
If data are publicly available, provide accession		<b>N/A.</b> The
number in repository or DOI or URL.		data are
		not
		publicly
If publicly available data are reused, provide		available.
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		<b>N/A.</b> No publicly
possible.		available
possible.		data were
		reused.
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Code Availability For all newly generated code and software essential		
Code Availability  For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
For all newly generated code and software essential		<b>N/A.</b> No
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		<b>N/A.</b> No newly
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		newly
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		-
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		newly generated
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		newly generated code and
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		newly generated code and software
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		newly generated code and software were involved in this
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:  State whether the code or software is available.		newly generated code and software were involved
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:  State whether the code or software is available.  If code is publicly available, provide accession		newly generated code and software were involved in this study.
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:  State whether the code or software is available.		newly generated code and software were involved in this study.

in this study.

### Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with	, '	
the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-6		