

The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: author checklist

The ARRIVE Essential 10

These items are the basic minimum to include in a manuscript. Without this information, readers and reviewers cannot assess the reliability of the findings.

Item		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Study design	1	For each experiment, provide brief details of study design including:	Line 122-125
		 The groups being compared, including control groups. If no control group has been used, the rationale should be stated. 	
		b. The experimental unit (e.g. a single animal, litter, or cage of animals).	Line 123
Sample size	2	a. Specify the exact number of experimental units allocated to each group, and the total number in each experiment. Also indicate the total number of animals used.	Line 123-124
		b. Explain how the sample size was decided. Provide details of any <i>a priori</i> sample size calculation, if done.	Estimation of sample size based on the law of diminishing returns.
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	3	a. Describe any criteria used for including and excluding animals (or experimental units) during the experiment, and data points during the analysis. Specify if these criteria were established <i>a priori</i> . If no criteria were set, state this explicitly.	In the process, we included 6-8 weeks male rats and did not set criteria for excluding. Line 109-110.
0.100114		b. For each experimental group, report any animals, experimental units or data points	no exclusions
		not included in the analysis and explain why. If there were no exclusions, state so. c. For each analysis, report the exact value of <i>n</i> in each experimental group.	Figure 2,3,4
Randomisation	4	State whether randomisation was used to allocate experimental units to control and treatment groups. If done, provide the method used to generate the randomisation sequence.	Line 125
		 Describe the strategy used to minimise potential confounders such as the order of treatments and measurements, or animal/cage location. If confounders were not controlled, state this explicitly. 	Line 123-125.
Blinding	5	Describe who was aware of the group allocation at the different stages of the experiment (during the allocation, the conduct of the experiment, the outcome assessment, and the data analysis).	No blinding was set this study.
Outcome measures	6	a. Clearly define all outcome measures assessed (e.g. cell death, molecular markers, or behavioural changes).	Section Result.
		 For hypothesis-testing studies, specify the primary outcome measure, i.e. the outcome measure that was used to determine the sample size. 	Section Method.
Statistical methods	7	Provide details of the statistical methods used for each analysis, including software used.	Line 252-258.
		b. Describe any methods used to assess whether the data met the assumptions of the statistical approach, and what was done if the assumptions were not met.	Line 252-258.
Experimental animals	8	a. Provide species-appropriate details of the animals used, including species, strain and substrain, sex, age or developmental stage, and, if relevant, weight.	Line 122-123.
		b. Provide further relevant information on the provenance of animals, health/immune status, genetic modification status, genotype, and any previous procedures.	Line 122
Experimental procedures	9	For each experimental group, including controls, describe the procedures in enough detail to allow others to replicate them, including:	Line 122-125.
procedures		a. What was done, how it was done and what was used.	Fig S1, line 665-667.
		b. When and how often.	Line 125-126.
		c. Where (including detail of any acclimatisation periods).d. Why (provide rationale for procedures).	As following Jones B's study.
Results	10	For each experiment conducted, including independent replications, report:	Line 255
		a. Summary/descriptive statistics for each experimental group, with a measure of variability where applicable (e.g. mean and SD, or median and range).	This study, we displayed the data as
		b. If applicable, the effect size with a confidence interval.	means ± SEM.

The Recommended Set

These items complement the Essential 10 and add important context to the study. Reporting the items in both sets represents best practice.

Item		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Abstract	11	Provide an accurate summary of the research objectives, animal species, strain and sex, key methods, principal findings, and study conclusions.	Section Abstract, Line 20-50.
Background	12	 a. Include sufficient scientific background to understand the rationale and context for the study, and explain the experimental approach. 	Section Introduction, line 63-100.
		 Explain how the animal species and model used address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the relevance to human biology. 	Line 96-100
Objectives	13	Clearly describe the research question, research objectives and, where appropriate, specific hypotheses being tested.	Line 96-100.
Ethical statement	14	Provide the name of the ethical review committee or equivalent that has approved the use of animals in this study, and any relevant licence or protocol numbers (if applicable). If ethical approval was not sought or granted, provide a justification.	Line 122-123.
Housing and husbandry	15	Provide details of housing and husbandry conditions, including any environmental enrichment.	Line 125-126.
Animal care and monitoring	16	 Describe any interventions or steps taken in the experimental protocols to reduce pain, suffering and distress. 	Line 138-140.
		b. Report any expected or unexpected adverse events.c. Describe the humane endpoints established for the study, the signs that were monitored and the frequency of monitoring. If the study did not have humane endpoints, state this.	There were no expected adverse events in the process of study.
			Line 152
Interpretation/ scientific	17	a. Interpret the results, taking into account the study objectives and hypotheses, current theory and other relevant studies in the literature.	Section Discussion.
implications		b. Comment on the study limitations including potential sources of bias, limitations of the animal model, and imprecision associated with the results.	Line 423-432.
Generalisability/ translation	18	Comment on whether, and how, the findings of this study are likely to generalise to other species or experimental conditions, including any relevance to human biology (where appropriate).	Line 416-422.
Protocol registration	19	Provide a statement indicating whether a protocol (including the research question, key design features, and analysis plan) was prepared before the study, and if and where this protocol was registered.	Line 131-133.
Data access	20	Provide a statement describing if and where study data are available.	Section Result
Declaration of interests	21	a. Declare any potential conflicts of interest, including financial and non-financial. If none exist, this should be stated.	Line 452–453.
		 b. List all funding sources (including grant identifier) and the role of the funder(s) in the design, analysis and reporting of the study. 	Line 444-448.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-542

