NOTE: Please save this file locally before filling in the table, DO NOT work on the file within your internet browser as changes will not be saved. Adobe Acrobat Reader (available free here) is recommended for completion.

The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: author checklist

The ARRIVE Essential 10

These items are the basic minimum to include in a manuscript. Without this information, readers and reviewers cannot assess the reliability of the findings.

Item		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Study design	1	For each experiment, provide brief details of study design including: a. The groups being compared, including control groups. If no control group has been used, the rationale should be stated. b. The experimental unit (e.g. a single animal, litter, or cage of animals).	These name for each group was descripted in the part of “Method/Line 154”. Such descriptions were written in Method/L154.
Sample size	2	a. Specify the exact number of experimental units allocated to each group, and the total number in each experiment. Also indicate the total number of animals used. b. Explain how the sample size was decided. Provide details of any *a priori* sample size calculation, if done.	Yes, the numbers of mice were written in the part of "Method/Line154". The tumor sample size was determined by vernier caliper measurement. Result/Line216.
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	3	a. Describe any criteria used for including and excluding animals (or experimental units) during the experiment, and data points during the analysis. Specify if these criteria were established *a priori.* If no criteria were set, state this explicitly. b. For each experimental group, report any animals, experimental units or data points not included in the analysis and explain why. If there were no exclusions, state so. c. For each analysis, report the exact value of *n* in each experimental group.	Such description was written in the part of “Method/Line146” about the mice involved in the research. There were no exclusions for each experimental group. Method/Line153-164. n=5 for each group. Method/Line153-164.
Randomisation	4	a. State whether randomisation was used to allocate experimental units to control and treatment groups. If done, provide the method used to generate the randomisation sequence. b. Describe the strategy used to minimise potential confounders such as the order of treatments and measurements, or animal/cage location. If confounders were not controlled, state this explicitly.	Yes, randomisation method was used in these experiments. Method/Line 153-164. There were 2 groups, the mice were identified by the ear-tag. Method/Line153.
Blinding	5	Describe who was aware of the group allocation at the different stages of the experiment (during the allocation, the conduct of the experiment, the outcome assessment, and the data analysis).	21 days after tumor were injected to mice. Method/Line162.
Outcome measures	6	a. Clearly define all outcome measures assessed (e.g. cell death, molecular markers, or behavioural changes). b. For hypothesis-testing studies, specify the primary outcome measure, i.e. the outcome measure that was used to determine the sample size.	Tumor size, western blot and immunofluorescence were used for testing tumor of mice. Method/Line165-199. In vivo imaging and the volume of the tumor. Result/Line215-216.
Statistical methods	7	a. Provide details of the statistical methods used for each analysis, including software used. b. Describe any methods used to assess whether the data met the assumptions of the statistical approach, and what was done if the assumptions were not met.	IPP6.0 software were used. T-test. Method/Line200-203. Yes, the data meet the assumptions of statistical approach. Method/Line200-203.
Experimental animals	8	a. Provide species-appropriate details of the animals used, including species, strain and substrain, sex, age or developmental stage, and, if relevant, weight. b. Provide further relevant information on the provenance of animals, health/immune status, genetic modification status, genotype, and any previous procedures.	The associated messages about mice were descripted in the part of “Construction of Notch1 knockout mice. MethodLine 142-152”. The associated messages about mice were descripted in the part of “Construction of Notch1 knockout mice. Method/Line142-152”.
Experimental procedures	9	For each experimental group, including controls, describe the procedures in enough detail to allow others to replicate them, including: a. What was done, how it was done and what was used. b. When and how often. c. Where (including detail of any acclimatisation periods). d. Why (provide rationale for procedures).	The associated methods were descripted in the part of “Method/Line157-165”. The associated methods were descripted in the part of “Method/Line157-165”. The associated methods were descripted in the part of “Method/Line157-165”. The associated methods were descripted in the part of “Method/Line157-165”.
Results	10	For each experiment conducted, including independent replications, report: a. Summary/descriptive statistics for each experimental group, with a measure of variability where applicable (e.g. mean and SD, or median and range). b. If applicable, the effect size with a confidence interval.	The association were clearly written in the part of “Method/Line153-164 and Method/Line200-203”. Yes.

The Recommended Set

These items complement the Essential 10 and add important context to the study. Reporting the items in both sets represents best practice.

Item		Recommendation	Section/line number, or reason for not reporting
Abstract	11	Provide an accurate summary of the research objectives, animal species, strain and sex, key methods, principal findings, and study conclusions.	Yes, we have provided associated messages ir
Background	12	a. Include sufficient scientific background to understand the rationale and context for the study, and explain the experimental approach. b. Explain how the animal species and model used address the scientific objectives and, where appropriate, the relevance to human biology.	Such description were clearly in MS. Method/Line 141-203. Our method of tumor-bearing-mice were classical and trustworthy. Method/Line153-163.
Objectives	13	Clearly describe the research question, research objectives and, where appropriate, specific hypotheses being tested.	Yes, these were cleared detailed in the MS. Ab
Ethical statement	14	Provide the name of the ethical review committee or equivalent that has approved the use of animals in this study, and any relevant licence or protocol numbers (if applicable). If ethical approval was not sought or granted, provide a justification.	Yes, the associated ethical documents were provided. Footnote/Line 327-332.
Housing and husbandry	15	Provide details of housing and husbandry conditions, including any environmental enrichment.	Yes, we could provide. Method/Line144-145.
Animal care and monitoring	16	a. Describe any interventions or steps taken in the experimental protocols to reduce pain, suffering and distress. b. Report any expected or unexpected adverse events. c. Describe the humane endpoints established for the study, the signs that were monitored and the frequency of monitoring. If the study did not have humane endpoints, state this.	Isoflurane gas anesthesia were used for reducing mice' pain. Method/Line160-161. none None, there were no humane endpoints in our study.
Interpretation/ scientific implications	17	a. Interpret the results, taking into account the study objectives and hypotheses, current theory and other relevant studies in the literature. b. Comment on the study limitations including potential sources of bias, limitations of the animal model, and imprecision associated with the results.	These descriptions appeared in the part of "Results/Line251-320". Limitations: the numbers of mice for each group should be added. Method/Line 155.
Generalisability/ translation	18	Comment on whether, and how, the findings of this study are likely to generalise to other species or experimental conditions, including any relevance to human biology (where appropriate).	none
Protocol registration	19	Provide a statement indicating whether a protocol (including the research question, key design features, and analysis plan) was prepared before the study, and if and where this protocol was registered.	A protocol was prepared before the study without registration
Data access	20	Provide a statement describing if and where study data are available.	Yes our study were available. Footnote/Line 31
Declaration of interests	21	a. Declare any potential conflicts of interest, including financial and non-financial. If none exist, this should be stated. b. List all funding sources (including grant identifier) and the role of the funder(s) in the design, analysis and reporting of the study.	none Funding was added in the end of this MS. Footnote/Line 312.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-1041

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section may be used as an alternative reference.