### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

## **Materials**

Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a <b>n/a</b> n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
	11/ 4
	n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
	n/a
-	n/a
-	n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
-	n/a
-	n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Footnote/ Paragraph.3	
Methods/ Paragraph.1	
Methods/ Paragraph.1	
	·   Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)   ·   ·   Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)   Footnote/ Paragraph.3   Methods/ Paragraph.1

## Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration	Methods/ Paragraph.1	
number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		n/a
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Methods/ Paragraph.1	
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Methods/ Paragraph.2-3	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	Methods/Paragraph.3-5	
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological	Methods/Paragraph.3-5	
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Methods/ Paragraph.1	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		N/A
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		N/A
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		N/A
state the authority granting approval and reference		

# Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		n/a
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics	Ves (indicate where provided, costion (never work)	
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
tests.	Methods/Paragraph.6	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		n/a
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		n/a
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		n/a
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential	Tes (indicate where provided, section, paragraphy	11/ 4
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession		n/a
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

## **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-2926