

| Section and Topic | Item # | Checklist item | Location where item is reported | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| TITLE | | | | |
| Title | 1 Identify the report as a systematic review. | | | |
| ABSTRACT | - | | | |
| Abstract | 2 | See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist. | See Abstracts checklist below | |
| INTRODUCTION | | | | |
| Rationale | 3 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge. | Introduction (pg6, lines 95, 96) | |
| Objectives | 4 | Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses. | Introduction (pg6, lines 102-107) | |
| METHODS | | | | |
| Eligibility criteria | 5 | Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses. | Methods (pg7, lines 125-135) | |
| Information sources | 6 | Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted. | Methods (pg6, lines 117) | |
| Search strategy | 7 | Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used. | Methods (pg7, lines 118-120), Supplements Appendix (pg2-7) | |
| Selection process | Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | | Methods (pg7, lines 139, 140; pg8 lines 141, 142) | |
| Data collection process | 9 | Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | | |
| Data items | 10a | List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect. | Methods (pg8, lines 148, 149) | |
| | 10b | List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any | Methods | |



| Section and Topic | Item # | Checklist item | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---|---|
| | | assumptions made about any missing or unclear information. | is reported (pg8, lines 145-147) |
| Study risk of bias assessment | 11 | Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | Methods (pg8, lines 152-158) |
| Effect measures | 12 | Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results. | Methods (pg8, line 161-163; pg9, line 164) |
| Synthesis methods | 13a | Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)). | Methods (pg7, lines 131-134) |
| | 13b | Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions. | Methods (pg8, line 160-163; pg9, line 164-169) |
| | 13c | Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses. | Methods (pg8, line 161) |
| | 13d | Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used. | Methods (pg8, line 161-163; pg9, line 164-169) |
| | 13e | Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression). | Methods (pg9, lines 168, 169) |
| | 13f | Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results. | Discussion (pg16, lines 328, 329) |
| Reporting bias assessment | 14 | Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases). | Methods (pg7, lines 135-138; pg9, lines 168, 169) |
| Certainty assessment | 15 | Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome. | Methods (pg9, lines 167-169) |
| RESULTS | | | |



| Section and Topic | Item # | I Chacklist Itam | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Study selection | 16a | Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram. | Results (pg9, lines 173-176) | | | |
| | 16b | Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded. | N/A (no such studies found) | | | |
| Study characteristics | 17 | Cite each included study and present its characteristics. | Supplements Appendix (pg13-33) | | | |
| Risk of bias in studies | 18 | (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots. | | | | |
| Results of individual studies | 19 | | Supplements Appendix (pg13-22) | | | |
| Results of syntheses | 20a | For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies. | Results (pg9, lines 196-209; pg10 lines 210-214), Supplements Appendix (pg13-22) | | | |
| | 20b | Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect. | Results (pg11 line 232; pg12, lines 233-255; pg13, lines 256-258), Supplements Appendix (pg8-12) | | | |
| | 20c | Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results. | Results (pg12, lines 240-255; pg13, lines 256, 258), Supplements Appendix (pg8-12) | | | |



| Section and Topic | Item # | Checklist item | Location where item is reported | |
|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 20d | Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results. | N/A (showed no difference) | |
| Reporting biases | 21 | Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed. | Results (pg7, lines 178, 179) | |
| Certainty of evidence | 22 | Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed. | Results (pg12 lines 233-255; pg13, lines 256-259), Supplements Appendix (pg8-12) | |
| DISCUSSION | - | | | |
| Discussion | 23a | Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence. | Discussion (pg13, lines 262-269) | |
| | 23b | Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review. | Discussion (pg16, lines 333-346; pg17, lines 347-353) | |
| | 23c | Discuss any limitations of the review processes used. | Discussion (pg16, lines 345, 346) | |
| | 23d | Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research. | Discussion (pg17, lines 365-368) | |
| OTHER INFORMA | TION | | | |
| Registration and protocol | 24a | Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered. | Methods (pg6, lines 114, 115) | |
| | 24b | Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared. | Methods (pg6, lines 114, 115) | |
| | 24c | Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol. | N/A (no amendments were done) | |
| Support | 25 | Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review. | Meta-Data (pg21, line | |



| Section and Topic | Item # | Checklist item | Location where item is reported |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| | | | 439) |
| Competing interests | 26 | Declare any competing interests of review authors. | Meta-Data (pg23, lines 466-468) |
| Availability of data, code and other materials | 27 | Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review. | Supplements Appendix (pg2-7, 13-22) |

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

For more information, visit: http://www.prisma-statement.org/



| Section and Topic | Item # | Checklist item | Reported (Yes/No) |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|
| TITLE | - | | |
| Title | 1 | Identify the report as a systematic review. | Yes (pg1, lines 3, 6) |
| BACKGROUND | • | | |
| Objectives | 2 | Provide an explicit statement of the main objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses. | Yes (pg3, lines 44-48) |
| METHODS | ÷ | | |
| Eligibility criteria | 3 | Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review. | Yes (pg3, lines 51-53) |
| Information sources | 4 | Specify the information sources (e.g. databases, registers) used to identify studies and the date when each was last searched. | Yes (pg3, lines 50-51) |
| Risk of bias | 5 | Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies. | Yes (pg3, lines 53-55) |
| Synthesis of results | 6 | Specify the methods used to present and synthesise results. | Yes (pg3, lines 55, 56) |
| RESULTS | <u>.</u> | | |
| Included studies | 7 | Give the total number of included studies and participants and summarise relevant characteristics of studies. | Yes (pg3, line 57) |
| Synthesis of results | 8 | Present results for main outcomes, preferably indicating the number of included studies and participants for each. If meta-analysis was done, report the summary estimate and confidence/credible interval. If comparing groups, indicate the direction of the effect (i.e. which group is favoured). | Yes (pg3, lines 57-62) |
| DISCUSSION | <u> </u> | | |
| Limitations of evidence | 9 | Provide a brief summary of the limitations of the evidence included in the review (e.g. study risk of bias, inconsistency and imprecision). | Yes (pg4, lines 63-66 |
| Interpretation | 10 | Provide a general interpretation of the results and important implications. | Yes (pg4, lines 63-67) |
| OTHER | . | | |
| Funding | 11 | Specify the primary source of funding for the review. | Yes (pg21, line 442) |
| Registration | 12 | Provide the register name and registration number. | Yes (pg3, line 49) |

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-22-618
*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version.