STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	4 / line 59	Abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	4 / lines 53-72	Abstract
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	6-7 / lines 77-99	Intro paragraphs 1-2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	7 / lines 107-108	Intro paragraph 3
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	7 / lines 113-114	Methods paragraph 1
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	7-8 / lines 113-125	Methods paragraph 1
Participants	6	(a) ***Cohort study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up ***Case-control study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls ***Cross-sectional study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	8 / lines 123-125	Methods paragraph 1
		(b) ***Cohort study***—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed ***Case-control study***—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N/A	N/A
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	8-10 / lines 140-169	Methods paragraph 3-5
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	8-10 / lines 140-169	Methods paragraph 3-5
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8 / lines 130-133	Methods paragraph 2
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	7 / line 114	Methods paragraph 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	10 / lines 171-188	Methods paragraph 6

Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	10 / lines 171-188	Methods paragraph 6
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	10 / lines 179-188	Methods paragraph 6
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	10 / lines 186-187	Methods paragraph 6
		(d) ***Cohort study***—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed ***Case-control study***—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed ***Cross-sectional study***—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	N/A	N/A
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A	N/A
Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	11 / line 191	Results paragraph 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A	N/A
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A	N/A
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	10 / line 191-194	Results paragraph 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1-3	"prefer not to answer"
		(c) ***Cohort study***—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	N/A	N/A
Outcome data	15*	***Cohort study***—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	N/A	N/A
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	N/A	N/A
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	11 / lines 195-201, Table 2	Results paragraph 2
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	12 / lines 219-232	Results paragraphs 3-4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Table 2	Table 2
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A	N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12 / lines 219-228	Results paragraphs 3-4
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12 / lines 235-247	Discussion paragraph 1
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	14-15 / lines 269-285	Discussion paragraph 4

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	15 / lines 287-295	Conclusion
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	14 / line 279	Discussion paragraph 4
Other information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	2 / line 37-39 and 16 / line 300	Ethical Statements and Acknowledgments

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/mhealth-21-54
*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.