<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		Х
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		X
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.		X

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		X
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		X
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		X

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		X
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		X

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Technical University of Munich (no. 254/15). The study is documented at ClinicalTrials.gov under identifier NCT02493634.	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	All patients and parents have signed the written consent to participate in this trial.	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	12 female, 13 male, age 14.1 – 79 years	

D

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration	The study is documented at ClinicalTrials.gov under	
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.	identifier NCT02493634.	
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		X
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	The aim was to show user satisfaction, which we defined as procedural success (primary endpoint) of 96%. The test hypotheses was "H0: rate = 0.65" and "H1: rate=24/25". For a sample size n of 25 and alpha=0.05; Power of 0.9 (beta=0.1) to decide in favor for the rate 24/25, if is the actual rate (calculated by R).	
Randomisation		Х
Blinding		Х
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		
Inclusion criteria	Patients with CHD and clinical indication for CMR and conventional diagnostic or interventional cardiac catheterization were included. A body weight of \geq 40 kg was required to allow the introduction of an introducer of \geq 5 French.	
Exclusion criteria	All patients with major surgery in the last 6 weeks, a history of a severe bleeding disorder, contraindication to CMR, contraindications to guidewire procedures, such as evidence of active infection, or an ongoing pregnancy were excluded.	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	(X
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological		X
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	The study was approved by the ethical committee of	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	the Technical University of Munich (no. 254/14). The	
committee(s), provide reference number for	study is documented at ClinicalTrials.gov under	
approval.	identifier NCT02493634.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		Х
	I and the second	1
for approval.		
for approval. Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of		X

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		Х

authority approving study; if none were required,

explain why.

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		X
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	The study was designed as a post-market clinical follow-	
tests.	up trial. Descriptive statistics was used only.	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		X
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		Х
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		Х
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		Х
If code is publicly available, provide accession		Х
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cdt-20-575	