

Pregnancy outcomes in patients with structural heart disease: a single center experience

Eun-Young Choi^{1,2}, Eun Sun Kim¹, Jung-Yoon Kim², Min-Kyung Song³, Seong-Ho Kim², Chung Il Noh²

¹Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, Kangwon National University, Gangwon-do, Korea; ²Department of Pediatrics, Sejong General Hospital, Gyeonggi-do, Korea; ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sejong General Hospital, Gyeonggi-do, Korea *Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: ES Kim; (II) Administrative support: SH Kim, CI Noh; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: MK Song; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: EY Choi, JY Kim; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: JY Kim; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Eun Sun Kim, MD, PhD. Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, Kangwon National University, 1, Kangwondaehak-gil, Chuncheon-si, Gangwon-do 24341, Korea. Email: naivesun1@hanmail.net; symptom02@naver.com.

Background: In women, pregnancy is a period of relatively drastic hemodynamic change in a short period of time. Most pregnant women adapt well to these gradual hemodynamic changes. However, in women with congenital heart disease or other structural heart disease, adaptation to these sudden hemodynamic changes is difficult, and heart failure or arrhythmia can get aggravated. This study shares our experiences on the outcomes of pregnancy in patients with structural heart disease.

Methods: From January 2007 to December 2016, we reviewed the medical records of all pregnant women with structural heart disease who received obstetric care at the Sejong General Hospital.

Results: During the study period, 103 pregnancies were observed in 79 women with structural heart disease. Of the 103 pregnancies, 55 were primiparous and 48 were multiparous. Echocardiography performed before pregnancy revealed that 52 patients had moderate to severe valvular regurgitation and 38 patients had moderate to severe valvular stenosis; 22 patients had mechanical valves and 5 patients had pulmonary hypertension. Overall, there were 9 maternal cardiac events, 7 obstetric events and 19 neonatal events. Pulmonary embolic events occurred only in 1 case; 77 deliveries were made, and 26 pregnancies did not last. Among 77 deliveries, 55 patients delivered by cesarean section (C/S) (71.43%). C/S in 16 of 55 patients was performed due to the maternal hemodynamic risk.

Conclusions: Overall complications associated with pregnancy in women with structural heart disease were very high at 28.16%. However, it is hoped that maternal and neonatal outcomes will be improved through careful observation and preparedness for anticipated complications.

Keywords: Cardiovascular outcome; congenital heart disease; pregnancy; valvular heart disease

Submitted Sep 15, 2020. Accepted for publication Dec 23, 2020. doi: 10.21037/cdt-20-786 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cdt-20-786

Introduction

In women, pregnancy is a period of relatively drastic hemodynamic changes in a short period of time (1,2). These gradual hemodynamic changes have a significant effect on the cardiovascular system. However, most women of childbearing age are relatively young, and in the absence of cardiovascular disease can adapt well to these sudden hemodynamic changes. However, during pregnancy the cardiac output increases, and changes in hormones and in the autonomic nervous system can lead to heart failure or arrhythmia (3-7). However, not much is known about the pregnancy outcomes of pregnancy in patients with congenital heart disease (8-16). Sejong general hospital is the secondary referral center for cardiovascular disease, and many patients with congenital heart disease are referred

here. This study was conducted to analyze the outcomes of pregnancy in adult congenital heart disease or specific structural heart disease.

We present the study in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ cdt-20-786).

Methods

Study subjects and period

From January 2007 to December 2016, we reviewed medical records of all pregnant women with congenital heart disease or specific structural heart disease among women who received obstetric care at the Sejong General Hospital. Patients having arrhythmia, but without congenital heart disease or specific structural heart disease were excluded from this study. We also excluded cases of hypertension and peripartum cardiomyopathy in the absence of any structural diseases of the heart.

Collected data

The patient's data of underling disease, history of pervious surgery and treatment, current medications, and vital symptoms and signs were retrieved through the medical records. Since the echocardiograms had been performed by different physicians, two pediatric cardiologists (EY Choi, JY Kim) re-analyzed the echocardiograms to re-evaluate the degree of regurgitation and stenosis of the cardiac valves, and the function of the ventricles. To evaluate the hemodynamic status before pregnancy, echocardiographic images performed within 2 years before pregnancy were used. Right ventricular (RV) dysfunction was defined as fractional area shortening (FAC) less than 35% and left ventricular (LV) dysfunction as less than 55% of ejection fraction (EF). The degree of valvular regurgitation and stenosis were classified as mild, moderate, and severe; moderate and severe lesions were considered as hemodynamically significant. Data of the gestational age, birth weight, Apgar score, and presence of the heart disease in the babies were also retrieved from the medical records.

Definition of events

In cases of maternal cardiac death, symptomatic arrhythmia during pregnancy, deterioration of New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class in two or more grades, pulmonary edema, stroke or cerebrovascular accident, and ventricular dysfunction in the postpartum period were defined as cardiac events. Ventricular dysfunction in the postpartum period was defined as a 10% or more reduction in LV EF or 10% or more reduction in RV FAC than prepregnancy exams within 6 months of delivery.

Maternal pregnancy induced hypertension, postpartum hemorrhage, non-cardiac death, and pre-eclampsia were defined as obstetric events.

Preterm infants less than 37 weeks of gestation, birth weight less than 10th percentile of that for the gestational age, fetal death, neonatal death, Apgar score less than 5 and congenital heart disease in neonates with hemodynamic significance were considered as neonatal events.

Statistical analysis

Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were performed to confirm the association of cardiac, obstetric and neonatal events with the hemodynamic status of each mother. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical statement

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national guidelines on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). The study has been approved by the institutional committees (No. 1753), and the informed consents were waived due to the retrospective nature.

Results

During the study period, 105 pregnancies were observed in 80 women with structural heart disease. Among these, 103 pregnancies were observed in 79 patients, while 2 pregnancies lost to follow-up. Since the same women was pregnant several times, the number of pregnancy was indicated as the number of events. Of the 103 pregnancy events, 55 were primiparous and 48 were multiparous.

In the echocardiography performed before pregnancy, the most common hemodynamically significant valve lesions were mitral valve stenosis (MS) at 30 events (29.13%). Tricuspid valve regurgitation (TR) was present in 24 events (23.30%) and mitral valve regurgitation (MR) in 23 events (22.30%). A mechanical valve was present in 22 events (21.36%) and pulmonary arterial hypertension was present

Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy, Vol 11, No 1 February 2021

 Table 1 Clinical characteristics of 103 pregnancies with structural heart disease

Characteristics Numbers Parity 31.65 years (17-42 years) Parity Primipara Primipara 55 (53.40%) Multipara 48 (46.60%) NVHA functional class 1 I 92 (89.32%) II 10 (9.71%) III 10 (9.71%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 0 Q 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Nodified WHO class 1 I 10.97%) II 10.97%) II 10.97%) I 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) I 10.97%) II 10.97%) II 10.97%) II 10.97%) IV 3 (29.1%) IN 23 (22.3%) IV 3 (29.1%) Partified WHO class 23 (22.3%) IV 3 (29.1%) INO 23	heart disease	
Parity 55 (53.40%) Multipara 48 (46.60%) NVHA functional class 48 (46.60%) NVHA functional class 92 (89.32%) I 92 (89.32%) II 10 (9.71%) II 10 (9.71%) III 1 (0.97%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 9 (8.74%) 0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) I 10.097%) I 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) IV 3 (2.13%) IV 3 (2.23%) INPOS 23/30 (22.33%) (2.13%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Heart failure medication <td>Characteristics</td> <td>Numbers</td>	Characteristics	Numbers
Primipara 55 (53.40%) Multipara 48 (46.60%) NYHA functional class 92 (89.32%) I 92 (89.32%) I 10 (9.71%) II 10 (9.71%) II 10 (9.71%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 0 0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 1 (0.97%) 1 3 (2.33%) 1 23 (22.33%) 1 23 (22.33%) 1 20.8 (19.42%/7.77%) 1 5 (4.85%) 1 5 (4.85%)	Maternal mean age	31.65 years (17-42 years)
Multipara 48 (46.60%) NYHA functional class 92 (89.32%) I 92 (89.32%) II 10 (9.71%) II 10 (9.71%) II 1 (0.97%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 0 0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Kodified WHO class 1 I 8 (7.77%) II 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II 3 (22.33%) IV 3 (22.33%) IV 3 (22.33%) IV 3 (21.30%/0.97%) IR/NS 23/30 (22.33%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Keth ventricular dysfunction** 5 (3.23%) Fight ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%)	Parity	
NYHA functional class I 92 (89.32%) II 10 (9.71%) III 1 (0.97%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 1 I 8 (7.77%) II 10.97%) IV 3 (2.91%) INF/INS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) IR/INS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) INF/INS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) INF/INS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) INF 5 (4.85%) INF 5 (4.85%) INF 5 (4.85%) INF 5 (4.85%)<	Primipara	55 (53.40%)
I 92 (89.32%) II 10 (9.71%) III 1 (0.97%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class I 8 (7.77%) II 10.97%) II 68 (66.02%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) IV 3 (2.91%) IR 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) IR 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Import of the pregnonor 5 (4.85%) Import of the pregnonor	Multipara	48 (46.60%)
II 10 (9.71%) III 10 (9.71%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 0 0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 1 I 8 (7.77%) II 1 (0.97%) IV 3 (2.91%) V 3 (2.91%) V 3 (2.91%) PR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	NYHA functional class	
III 1 (0.97%) IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 0 0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 1 I 8 (7.77%) II 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) V 3 (2.91%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Right ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%)	1	92 (89.32%)
IV 0 (0%) CARPREG risk score 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 1 I 8 (7.77%) II 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) IR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.33%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 5 (4.85%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%)	II	10 (9.71%)
CARPREG risk score 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 1 I 8 (7.77%) II 1 (0.97%) II-III 68 (66.02%) IV 3 (2.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy 7 TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	III	1 (0.97%)
0 40 (38.83%) 1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 8 (7.77%) I 8 (7.77%) I 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II-III 68 (66.02%) IV 3 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Femodynamic state before pregnancy 7 TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	IV	0 (0%)
1 54 (52.43%) 2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 8 (7.77%) I 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II-III 68 (66.02%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy 7 TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	CARPREG risk score	
2 9 (8.74%) Modified WHO class 8 (7.77%) I 1 (0.97%) II 1 (0.97%) II-III 68 (66.02%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy 7 TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Indechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Ieft ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	0	40 (38.83%)
Modified WHO class I 8 (7.77%) II 1 (0.97%) II-III 68 (66.02%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy 7 TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	1	54 (52.43%)
I 8 (7.77%) II 1 (0.97%) II-III 68 (66.02%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	2	9 (8.74%)
II 1 (0.97%) II-III 68 (66.02%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy 7 TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	Modified WHO class	
II-III 68 (66.02%) III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy 7 TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	1	8 (7.77%)
III 23 (22.33%) IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnance TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	II	1 (0.97%)
IV 3 (2.91%) Hemodynamic state before pregnancy TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	-	68 (66.02%)
Hemodynamic state before pregnancy TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction* 5 (4.85%) Right ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	III	23 (22.33%)
TR/TS 24/1 (23.30%/0.97%) MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction** 5 (4.85%) Right ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	IV	3 (2.91%)
MR/MS 23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%) PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction* 5 (4.85%) Right ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	Hemodynamic state before pregnancy	у
PR/PS 8/8 (7.77%/7.77%) AR/AS 20/8 (19.42%/7.77%) Mechanical valve 22 (21.36%) Pulmonary hypertension 5 (4.85%) Left ventricular dysfunction* 5 (4.85%) Right ventricular dysfunction** 23 (22.33%) Heart failure medication 37 (35.92%) Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	TR/TS	24/1 (23.30%/0.97%)
AR/AS20/8 (19.42%/7.77%)Mechanical valve22 (21.36%)Pulmonary hypertension5 (4.85%)Left ventricular dysfunction*5 (4.85%)Right ventricular dysfunction***23 (22.33%)Heart failure medication37 (35.92%)Arrhythmia treatment4 (3.88%)	MR/MS	23/30 (22.3%, 29.1%)
Mechanical valve22 (21.36%)Pulmonary hypertension5 (4.85%)Left ventricular dysfunction*5 (4.85%)Right ventricular dysfunction**23 (22.33%)Heart failure medication37 (35.92%)Arrhythmia treatment4 (3.88%)	PR/PS	8/8 (7.77%/7.77%)
Pulmonary hypertension5 (4.85%)Left ventricular dysfunction*5 (4.85%)Right ventricular dysfunction**23 (22.33%)Heart failure medication37 (35.92%)Arrhythmia treatment4 (3.88%)	AR/AS	20/8 (19.42%/7.77%)
Left ventricular dysfunction*5 (4.85%)Right ventricular dysfunction**23 (22.33%)Heart failure medication37 (35.92%)Arrhythmia treatment4 (3.88%)	Mechanical valve	22 (21.36%)
Right ventricular dysfunction**23 (22.33%)Heart failure medication37 (35.92%)Arrhythmia treatment4 (3.88%)	Pulmonary hypertension	5 (4.85%)
Heart failure medication37 (35.92%)Arrhythmia treatment4 (3.88%)	Left ventricular dysfunction*	5 (4.85%)
Arrhythmia treatment 4 (3.88%)	Right ventricular dysfunction**	23 (22.33%)
	Heart failure medication	37 (35.92%)
Anticoagulation treatment 24 (23.30%)	Arrhythmia treatment	4 (3.88%)
	Anticoagulation treatment	24 (23.30%)

Table 1 (continued)

Table 1 (continued)

Characteristics	Numbers				
Results of pregnancies					
NFVD	17 (16.50%)				
PVD	5 (4.85%)				
NFC/SD	53 (51.46%)				
Preterm C/S delivery	2 (1.94%)				
Therapeutic D&C	11 (10.68%)				
Missed abortion	11 (10.68%)				
Ectopic pregnancy	4 (3.88%)				

*, RV dysfunction means right ventricular fractional area less than 35%. **, LV dysfunction means left ventricular ejection fraction less than 55%. TR, tricuspid valve regurgitation; TS, tricuspid valve stenosis; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; MS, mitral valve stenosis; PR, pulmonary valve regurgitation; PS, pulmonary vale stenosis; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; AS, aortic valve stenosis; NFVD, normal full-term vaginal delivery; PVD, preterm vaginal delivery; NFC/SD, normal full-term cesarean delivery; C/S, cesarean section; D&C, dilatation and curettage.

in 5 events (4.85%). Of the 15 patients with mechanical valves, 12 had mechanical mitral valve, 2 had mechanical aortic valve, and one had mechanical aortic valve and mitral valve. Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the pregnant women and the NYHA functional class, which is commonly used for functional classification of cardiac patients, and the cardiac disease in pregnancy (CARPREG) risk score and modified WHO class, which are used to assess the risk in pregnant women. In the pre-pregnancy state, 5 patients had LV dysfunction: 2 of dilated cardiomyopathy, congenital MR without surgery, postoperative congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries and postoperative Marfan syndrome. On the other hand, there were 21 patients with RV dysfunction. There were 5 repaired atrial septal defect (ASD) patients, 4 repaired ventricular septal defect (VSD), 2 repaired tetralogy of Fallot (TOF), 2 repaired double outlet right ventricle (DORV), and 2 unrepaired ASD. In addition, there were 1 patient each repaired Ebstein's anomaly, unrepaired Marfan, rheumatic MS post percutaneous mitral valvuloplasty, repaired congenital MR, repaired congenital aortic valve regurgitation (AR), and repaired pulmonary

Table 2 Maternal hemodynamic factors determined to perform Cesarean section

	,	1
Patient	Initial heart disease	Hemodynamics before delivery
2	DORV	VSD leak, moderate TR, moderate PS, moderate PR, moderate AR, LV EF 56%
12	Rheumatic MS	Mechanical MV, severe MS, moderate AR, mild AS, enlarged LA, atrial fibrillation
16	Rheumatic MS	Severe TR, moderate MS, moderate MR, biatrial enlargement
21	HCMP	Recurrent atrial flutter event, mechanical AV, mild AS, mild MR
23	Rheumatic MS	Moderate MS, moderate MR, moderate AR
25	Ebstein's anomaly	Unrepaired state, severe TR, severely cardiomegaly
35	Congenital MR	Severe MR, severe LAE & LVE
36	Marfan syndrome	MVP & MAP state, mild MR, LV EF 40–50%
42	ASD	ASD partial closure state, severe pulmonary hypertension
50	Rheumatic MS	Severe MS, moderate MR, marked LAE
51	Bicuspid AV, PDA	Ascending aorta and total arch replacement with graft state, small PDA leak, LVH
52	Congenital MR	MVP & MAP state, moderate MS, moderate MR
54	Rheumatic MS	Post PMV state, moderate MS, moderate MR, mild AS, small ASD
60	Congenital MR, ASD	Severe MR, LV diastolic dysfunction, moderate sized ASD, biatrial enlargement
78	DCMP	LV EF 30-35%, LV diastolic dysfunction, mild MR
81	HCMP	Severe LVOTO, mild MR

DORV, double outlet right ventricle; VSD, ventricular septal defect; TR, tricuspid valve regurgitation; PS, pulmonary valve stenosis; PR, pulmonary valve regurgitation; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; LV EF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MS, mitral valve stenosis; MV, mitral valve; AS, aortic valve stenosis; LA, left atrium; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; HCMP, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; AV, aortic valve; LAE, left atrial enlargement; LVE, left ventricular enlargement; MVP, mitral valve plasty/repair; MAP, mitral valve annuloplasty; ASD, atrial septal defect; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; PMV, percutaneous mitral valvuloplasty; DCMP, dilated cardiomyopathy; LVOTO, left ventricular outflow tract obstruction.

valve stenosis (PS). Six patients had hemodynamically significant arrhythmia before pregnancy. There underlying disease were rheumatic MS in 3 patients, VSD in 2 patients, and 1 hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCMP). All of them had already undergone corrective surgery, and left atrial enlargement was severe due to the remaining valve lesions.

Of 103 pregnancies, 77 resulted in delivery. There were 4 fetal death in utero (FDIU), 1 pair of natural twins, and 1 fetus had severe fetal hydrops which required induced delivery for therapeutic termination. Thus, 73 live births were recorded. Of these, 40 were boys and 33 girls, and the average weight was 3.05 kg (range, 1.96–3.85 kg). There were 55 Cesarean section (C/S) deliveries among the 77 deliveries; thus, the proportion of surgery was high. Of these, 16 cases were delivered under C/S considering the maternal cardiac risk rather than an obstetrical indication. In our hospital, the obstetric indications for choosing a C/S are previous C/S history, failure to progress during labor, fetal mal-presentation, abnormal placentation, etc. The pre-partum cardiac risk factors of mothers who choose C/S by medical staff were very diverse, and are presented in *Table 2*. The 26 pregnancies did not last. Among them, 11 were spontaneous abortions, 4 were abortions due to tubal pregnancy, and 11 abortions were performed considering the maternal hemodynamic condition.

Table 3 shows the frequency of maternal underlying heart disease and pregnancy outcomes. There were 9 maternal cardiac events, 7 obstetric events, and 19 neonatal events. In 1 case, an obstetric event and neonatal event occurred simultaneously. In 5 cases, both cardiac and neonatal events were seen. Thus, 29 out of 103 pregnancy events had one or more obstetric, cardiac, and neonatal events, resulting in a very high rate of complications at 28.16%. Among the obstetric events, ectopic pregnancy was the most common in 4 cases. Pre-eclampsia, pregnancy induced hypertension and postpartum bleeding occurred in 1 case each. Neonatal

Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy, Vol 11, No 1 February 2021

	_	Pregnancy outcomes			
Underline heart disease (numbers of patients)	Total pregnancy event	Obstetric event (7 events)	Cardiac event (9 events)	Neonatal event (19 events)	
Repaired VSD (n=14)	14	4	0	1	
Unrepaired VSD (n=2)	2	0	0	0	
VSD, Eisenmenger state (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Repaired ASD (n=8)	12	0	0	0	
Unrepaired ASD (n=2)	3	0	0	1	
Repaired PDA (n=2)	2	0	1	0	
Repaired TOF (n=3)	5	1	0	0	
Repaired AVSD (n=2)	3	0	0	2	
Repaired DORV (n=2)	2	0	1	1	
Repaired Ebstein anomaly (n=1)	2	0	0	0	
Unrepaired Ebstein (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Repaired PA IVS (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Repaired complete TGA (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Repaired congenitally corrected TGA (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Repaired congenital MS (n=1)	1	0	0	1	
Repaired rheumatic MS (n=5)	5	0	2	1	
Unrepaired rheumatic MS (n=2)	6	0	0	0	
Rheumatic MS post PMV (n=4)	4	0	2	1	
Repaired congenital MR (n=8)	10	0	2	5	
Unrepaired congenital MR (n=2)	2	0	0	1	
Repaired rheumatic MR (n=3)	7	1	0	0	
Unrepaired rheumatic MR (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Repaired congenital AR (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Unrepaired rheumatic AR (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Repaired congenital PS (n=2)	2	0	0	0	
Repaired bicuspid AV (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Unrepaired bicuspid AV (n=1)	2	0	0	1	
Operated HCMP (n=1)	1	0	1	1	
Unrepaired HCMP (n=2)	3	0	0	1	
Unrepaired DCMP (n=2)	2	1	0	1	
Operated Marfan syndrome (n=1)	1	0	0	0	
Unrepaired Marfan (n=1)	2	0	0	0	
Unrepaired myocardial bridge (n=1)	1	0	0	1	

Table 3 Maternal underline heart disease and pregnancy outcomes

VSD, ventricular septal defect; ASD, atrial septal defect; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; AVSD, atrioventricular septal defect; DORV, double outlet right ventricle; PA IVS, pulmonary atresia with intact ventricular septum; TGA, transposition of the great arteries; MS, mitral valve stenosis; PMV, percutaneous mitral valvuloplasty; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; PS, pulmonary vale stenosis; AV, aortic valve; HCMP, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; DCMP, dilated cardiomyopathy.

Table 4 Summary of 9 cases with maternal cardiac events				
Underline heart disease	Maternal hemodynamic status	Cardiac event	Delivery and neonatal outcome	
Fallot type DORV	PR, PS, TR, RV dysfunction, AR	Postpartum severe hypertension	38+0 weeks C/S, male, 3.35 kg, neonatal low Apgar score [3–9]	
PDA	Good postoperative state	Postpartum LV dysfunction	39+0 weeks C/S, female, 3.5 kg	
HCMP	Mechanical aortic valve, recurrent atrial flutter	Frequent arrhythmia events during pregnancy	35+1 weeks, C/S, male, 2.32 kg	
Congenital MR	Mechanical MV, MS	Mechanical valve thrombosis and acute pulmonary edema	37+2 weeks FDIU after emergent maternal cardiac surgery	
Congenital MR	Residual MS	MS progression, postpartum tachycardia, postpartum hypertension	38+0 weeks, NFSD, male, 2.65 kg	
Rheumatic MS	Post PMV state, MS, atrial fibrillation, pulmonary hypertension, RV dysfunction	MS progression, PMV was needed at 7 months of pregnancy, MVR was done after delivery but died from cardiac arrest two months after surgery	35+0 weeks, PSD, male, 1.96 kg	
Rheumatic MS	Mechanical MV, AR	TIA events occur twice during pregnancy	38+1 weeks, C/S, male, 2.4 kg	
Rheumatic MS	Post PMV state, MS	Pulmonary edema after delivery	39+0 weeks, C/S, female, 2.66 kg	
Rheumatic MS	Bioprosthetic MV, MS, marked left atrial enlargement with atrial fibrillation	MS progression	37+4 weeks, C/S, female, 3.05 kg	

Table 4 Summary of 9 cases with maternal cardiac events

DORV, double outlet right ventricle; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; HCMP, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; MS, mitral valve stenosis; RV, right ventricle; MV, mitral valve; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; PMV, percutaneous mitral valvuloplasty; MVR, mitral valve replacement; TIA, transient ischemic attack; C/S, cesarean section; FDIU, fetal death in utero; PSD, preterm spontaneous delivery; NFSD, normal full-term spontaneous delivery.

events included 6 preterm infants, 6 small for gestational age infants, 2 infants with low Apgar scores less than 5, and 4 intrauterine fetal deaths. Surgical correction for VSD and atrioventricular septal defect (AVSD) was required in 1 infant each. 6 of 9 patients with cardiac events had hemodynamic problems of the mitral valve. In 1 case among the 9 cardiac events, intrauterine fetal death occurred during the maternal cardiac surgery under cardiopulmonary bypass. Another mother underwent induction of labor and maternal heart surgery; however, she did not recover and died about 2 months later. *Table 4* summarizes the 9 cases in which cardiac events occurred.

Chi-square tests or Fisher's exact test were performed to predict obstetric, cardiovascular and neonatal events. The results are shown in *Table 5*. The risk of neonatal event was 5.571 times higher when the mother was administered a beta-blocker before pregnancy. However, no statistically significant correlation was found between other hemodynamic characteristics and pregnancy outcomes.

Discussion

Of the 103 pregnancies included in this study, 29 (28.16%) had poor pregnancy-related outcomes. In this study, 8.34% (9/103) of the cases had maternal cardiac events. Previously published studies had reported that the incidence of maternal cardiac events is 10% to 30% (8,13,16). The reason for the lower maternal cardiac events compared to other studies is that the patients with severe heart disease such as Fontan or systemic right ventricles were not included.

Among the 29 adverse outcomes, there were 23 cases of NYHA functional class I, 14 cases of CARPREG risk score 0, and 8 cases of modified WHO class I. These results suggest that it is difficult to accurately predict the risk factor of poor pregnancy outcome in patients with structural heart disease, by following the general classification that is currently used. Although pregnancy is not a medical condition, it is challenging for women with structural or functional abnormalities of the heart, since gradual hemodynamic changes occur in a short

Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy, Vol 11, No 1 February 2021

Madalah	Obstetric event		Cardiovascular event		Neonatal event	
Variable	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
TR	0.539 (0.061–4.625)	1.000	0.386 (0.046–3.252)	0.681	0.358 (0.076–1.683)	0.231
PR	0.926 (0.875–0.980)	1.000	1.554 (0.169–14.260)	0.532	0.655 (0.076–5.683)	1.000
TS	0.931 (0.884–0.982)	1.000	0.912 (0.858–0.969)	1.000	0.824 (0.753–0.901)	1.000
PS	2.119 (0.223–20.155)	0.442	1.554 (0.169–14.260)	0.532	0.655 (0.076–5.683)	1.000
RV dysfunction*	0.913 (0.853–0.977)	0.344	0.993 (0.192–5.144)	1.000	0.992 (0.292–3.371)	0.990
MR	0.913 (0.853–0.977)	0.344	0.888 (0.821–0.960)	0.202	0.992 (0.292–3.371)	0.990
AR	0.675 (0.077–5.950)	1.000	1.206 (0.231–6.302)	1.000	1.232 (0.358–4.246)	0.747
MS	0.385 (0.044–3.343)	0.670	3.450 (0.857–13.881)	0.118	0.923 (0.298–2.863)	0.890
AS	2.119 (0.223–20.155)	0.442	0.095 (0.848–0.966)	1.000	0.811 (0.735–0.893)	0.345
LV dysfunction**	3.833 (0.369–39.865)	0.302	0.908 (0.853–0.967)	1.000	3.417 (0.528–22.117)	0.209
Mechanical valve	0.914 (0.854–0.977)	0.341	1.974 (0.452–8.623)	0.398	2.156 (0.703–6.614)	0.172
Anticoagulation	0.911 (0.851–0.976)	0.196	2.960 (0.727–12.058)	0.208	1.861 (0.614–5.645)	0.268
Diuretics	0.449 (0.052–3.908)	0.673	2.470 (0.611–9.978)	0.237	1.524 (0.509–4.564)	0.450
Betablocker	1.167 (0.129–10.547)	1.000	4.200 (0.907–19.458)	0.085	5.571 (1.599–19.414)	0.004

Table 5 Risk assessment for predicting pregnancy outcome

*, RV dysfunction means right ventricular fractional area less than 35%. **, LV dysfunction means left ventricular ejection fraction less than 55%. TR, tricuspid valve regurgitation; PR, pulmonary valve regurgitation; TS, tricuspid valve stenosis; PS, pulmonary valve stenosis; RV, right ventricle; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; MS, mitral valve stenosis; AS, aortic valve stenosis; LV, left ventricle; OR, odds ratio.

period during this phase. In the case of heart disease, various degrees of valvular stenosis, valvular regurgitation, arrhythmia, or ventricular dysfunction might remain after surgery. Therefore, adequate preparedness before pregnancy is very important for anticipated complications (6,8,13,14). Unfortunately, in this study, there were only 47 cases (45.63%) who underwent prenatal consultations with cardiologists regarding the risks related to pregnancy. In the absence of antenatal counseling for pregnancy, we had to perform therapeutic abortion in 11 cases, following consultation and counseling about contraception. There was no medical event associated with therapeutic abortion. However, counselling about the appropriate method of contraception is important for the health of the patient (12,13,15-17).

In this study, we tried to investigate the relationship between specific hemodynamic impairment and obstetric, cardiovascular and neonatal events. However, as shown in *Table 5*, it was difficult to find a clear association except for the increased risk of neonatal events due to maternal intake of beta-blockers. It is known that intake of beta-blockers during pregnancy can cause uteroplacental insufficiency and inhibit fetal growth (18). Among the patients included in the study, beta blocker was taken from before pregnancy in 13 pregnancy events, including carvedilol in 5 patients, atenolol in 4 patients, and bisoprolol in 4 patients. The increase in heart rate and stroke volume during pregnancy increases the burden of the heart. However, in during pregnancy, there is a decrease in the heart burden, due to the decrease in the vascular resistance of the body. Because we do not have many patients, it is difficult to make hasty generalizations. However, most maternal cardiac events occurred mainly in the presence of mitral valve lesions, suggesting that the right ventricle is relatively well-adapted to the volume overload during pregnancy. Table 4 shows 9 cases of cardiac event, of which 5 cases had significant MS before pregnancy. Of course, 1 surgically ligated patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) patient with no residual hemodynamic problems was included, but the underlying disease was mitral valve lesions in 6 cases.

The number of adults with congenital heart disease is steadily increasing, due to an improvement in the surgical

Valve lesions	Repaired CHD	Unrepaired CHD	Postoperative heart disease except CHD	Post PMV state or medical follow up state	
TR (n=24)	11	1	4	8	
TS (n=1)	0	0	1	0	
PR (n=8)	8	0	0	0	
PS (n=8)	8	0	0	0	
MR (n=23)	8	3	1	11	
MS (n=30)	14	0	6	10	
AR (n=20)	7	2	3	8	
AS (n=8)	1	0	2	5	

Table 6 Moderate to severe valve lesions and underlying heart disease before pregnancy

CHD, congenital heart disease; PMV, percutaneous mitral valvuloplasty; TR, tricuspid valve regurgitation; TS, tricuspid valve stenosis; PR, pulmonary valve regurgitation; PS, pulmonary valve stenosis; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; MS, mitral valve stenosis; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; AS, aortic valve stenosis.

outcomes of congenital heart disease. As a result, the number of women with congenital heart disease reaching fertility is also increasing (1,12,14-17,19-22). There have been some studies on pregnancy outcomes in such patients with various hemodynamic impairments and receiving various types of medical care. However, congenital heart disease or structural heart disease involves a wide spectrum of conditions, and the hemodynamic status after correction varies widely among individuals. In a total of 72 pregnancy events, at least one moderate to severe valve reflux or stenosis was observed before pregnancy, and details are provided in Table 6. Among them, 44 events were patients with repaired congenital heart disease. Hence, classifying the patient's hemodynamic status is very difficult. Unfortunately, in this study, it was not possible to conclude how much specific valve lesions affect the pregnancy outcome, but it may be possible if data from more patients are accumulated.

Of the 103 pregnancy events, there were 11 events of missed abortions and 11 events were performed therapeutic abortion through maternal risk assessment after pregnancy. The main reasons were uncontrolled arrhythmia, severe valve lesions, and severe pulmonary hypertension, in the case of waiting for cardiac surgery, but 5 cases were due to the increased fetal risk due to uncontrolled anticoagulation. Of these, there was only one case of receiving enough prenatal counseling. Unfortunately, out of 103 events, only 47 events (45.63%) received counseling about cardiovascular disease and associated risk factor before pregnancy. In the future, the authors hope that more active prenatal counseling and preparation can improve pregnancy outcome and reduce

the risk of maternal health. If there were enough counseling about the risks that mothers may face associated pregnancy before pregnancy, as well as counseling and education about contraceptive therapy, we think they could have reduced the number of abortions and managed more safely. We believe that careful management of pregnant women with structural heart disease can be achieved by actively involving the cardiologists in the planning of pregnancy, ante-natal care, and delivery.

Study limitations

This study was conducted at secondary center where about 500 congenital heart surgeries are performed annually. Since our hospital is not a tertiary referral center, there is a limitation in inferencing the data, because all women undergoing open heart surgery and follow-up observation at our hospital, do not receive obstetric care at our institution. Moreover, there were no high-risk patients with single ventricle or systemic right ventricle in this study. In addition, it includes not only congenital heart disease but also rheumatic valvular heart disease or cardiomyopathy, which may confuse the interpretation of the results. Also, because the number of patients included in the study was small, it was impossible to assess the risk according to the patients' hemodynamic status.

Conclusions

Overall complications associated with pregnancy in women

with structural heart disease are very high, 28.16%. However, it is hoped that maternal and neonatal outcomes will be improved through careful monitoring and preparedness for anticipated complications.

Acknowledgments

We really appreciated Yeonok Lee (PhD. in statisitcs) who helped in analyzing the data. And we would like to thank Editage (www.editage.co.kr) for English language editing. In the 62nd session of the Korean Society of Cardiology, part of this paper was presented. *Funding*: None.

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors presented the study in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist. Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cdt-20-786

Data Sharing Statement: Available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/cdt-20-786

Peer Review File: Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ cdt-20-786

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/cdt-20-786). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national guidelines on human experiments and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). The study has been approved by the institutional committees (No. 1753), and informed consents were waved due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the

original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- 1. Bhatt AB, DeFaria Yeh D. Pregnancy and adult congenital heart disease. Cardiol Clin 2015;33:611-23.
- 2. Greutmann M, Pieper PG. Pregnancy in women with congenital heart disease. Eur Heart J 2015;36:2491-9.
- Lu CW, Wu MH, Wang JK, et al. Preconception counseling for women with congenital heart disease. Acta Cardiol Sin 2015;31:500-6.
- Wald RM, Sermer M, Colman JM. Pregnancy in young women with congenital heart disease: Lesion-specific considerations. Paediatr Child Health 2011;16:e33-7.
- 5. Warnes CA. Pregnancy and delivery in women with congenital heart disease. Circ J 2015;79:1416-21.
- 6. Uebing A, Steer PJ, Yentis SM, et al. Pregnancy and congenital heart disease. BMJ 2006;332:401-6.
- Harris IS. Management of pregnancy in patients with congenital heart disease. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2011;53:305-11.
- Franklin WJ, Gandhi M. Congenital heart disease in pregnancy. Cardiol Clin 2012;30:383-94.
- 9. Ruys TP, Cormette J, Roos-Hesselink JW. Pregnancy and delivery in cardiac disease. J Cardiol 2013;61:107-12.
- Connolly HM. Pregnancy in women with congenital heart disease. Curr Cardiol Rep 2005;7:305-9.
- Khan A, Kim YY. Pregnancy in complex CHD: focus on patients with Fontan circulation and patients with a systemic right ventricle. Cardiol Young 2015;25:1608-14.
- Hayward RM, Foster E, Tseng ZH. Maternal and fetal outcomes of admission for delivery in women with congenital heart disease. JAMA cardiol 2017;2:664-71.
- Siu SC, Sermer M, Colman JM, et al. Prospective multicenter study of pregnancy outcomes in women with heart disease. Circulation 2001;104:515-21.
- 14. Rao S, Ginns JN. Adult congenital heart disease and pregnancy. Semin Perinatol 2014;38:260-72.
- 15. Simpson LL. Maternal cardiac disease: update for the clinician. Obstet Gynecol 2012;119:345-59.
- Thakkar AN, Chinnadurai P, Lin CH. Adult congenital heart disease: magnitude of the problem. Curr Opin Cardiol 2017;32:467-74.
- 17. Canobbio MM, Warnes CA, Aboulhosn J, et al. Management of pregnancy in patients with complex congenital heart disease: A scientific statement for

Choi et al. Pregnancy outcomes in structural heart disease

healthcare professionals from the American heart association. Circulation 2017;135:e50-87.

- Pieper PG, Balci A, Aamoudse JG, et al. Uteroplacental blood flow, cardiac function, and pregnancy outcome in women with congenital heart disease. Circulation 2013;128:2478-87.
- 19. Song YB, Park SW, Kim JH, et al. Outcomes of pregnancy in women with congenital heart disease: a single center experience in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2008;23:808-13.

Cite this article as: Choi EY, Kim ES, Kim JY, Song MK, Kim SH, Noh CI. Pregnancy outcomes in patients with structural heart disease: a single center experience. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2021;11(1):81-90. doi: 10.21037/cdt-20-786

- 20. Ntiloudi D, Zegkos T, Bazmpani MA, et al. Pregnancy outcome in women with congenital heart disease: A singlecenter experience. Hellenic J Cardiol 2018;59:155-9.
- Khairy P, Ouyang DW, Femandes SM, el al. Pregnancy outcomes in women with congenital heart disease. Circulation 2006;113:517-24.
- 22. Ouyang DW, Khairy P, Fernandes SM, et al. Obstetric outcomes in pregnant women with congenital heart disease. Int J Cardiol 2010;144:195-9.

90