<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	In the present study, no antibodies are used.	Х
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	In the present study no cell lines are used.	х
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of	In the present study no primary cultures are used.	x
origin, genetic modification status.		

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	In the present study no laboratory animals are used.	х
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the	In the present study no animal are observed in or	Х
field: Provide species, sex and age where	captured from the field.	
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number	In the present study no model organisms are used.	х
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	In the present study no plants are used.	x
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	In the present study no microbes are used.	х

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number	Page 3, Method, line 100-102	
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Page 4, Method, line 105	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Page 6, Result line 153-154	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.	Our present study was an observational study.	х
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step- by-step protocols are available.	Our present study was an observational study.	x
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.	Our present study was an observational study.	х
Sample size determination	Our present study was an observational study.	х
Randomisation	Our present study was an observational study.	х
Blinding	Our present study was an observational study.	х
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Page4/5 Method, line 103-109	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Our present study was an observational study.	х
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Our present study was an observational study.	х
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Page 3, Method, line 100-102	•
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Out present study did not involve experimental animals.	х
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Our present study did not involve specimen or field samples.	х
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	Our study is not a subject to dual use.	х

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	No data was excluded.	х
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Page 6, Method, line 134-149	
tests.		

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	There are no newly created datasets.	х
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession	The data are not publicly available.	х
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide	There are no publicly available data used.	х
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential	The present study do not include any codes or	х
for replicating the main findings of the study:	software.	
State whether the code or software is available.	The present study do not include any codes or	х
If code is publicly available, provide accession	The present study do not include any codes or	Х
number in repository, or DOI or URL.	software.	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		х
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	journal follows ICMJE recommendations for	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with	publication.	
the manuscript.		

 $\textbf{Article information:} \ \underline{\text{http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cdt-20-844}}$