

Ki-67, topoisomerase II α and miR-221 have a limited prostate cancer risk stratification ability on a medium-term follow-up: results of a high-risk radical prostatectomy cohort

Giancarlo Marra^{1,2}, Marco Oderda², Giorgio Calleris²^, Alessandro Marquis²^, Federica Peretti², Andrea Zitella², Marco Moschini¹, Rafael Sanchez-Salas¹, Robert Jeffrey Karnes³, Burkhard Kneitz⁴, Martin Spahn⁴, Donatella Pacchioni⁵, Paolo Gontero²

¹Department of Urology and Department of Pathology, Institut Mutualiste Montsouris, Paris, France; ²Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin and San Giovanni Battista Hospital, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy; ³Department of Urology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; ⁴Department of Urology and Paediatric Urology, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ⁵Department of Pathology, University of Turin and San Giovanni Battista Hospital, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: G Marra, M Oderda, A Zitella, P Gontero; (II) Administrative support: G Marra, A Zitella; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: G Marra, A Zitella; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: G Marra, M Oderda, A Zitella; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Dr. Giancarlo Marra. Division of Urology, Department of Surgical Sciences, San Giovanni Battista Hospital, Città della Salute e della Scienza and University of Turin, C.so Bramante 88/90, 10126 Turin, Italy. Email: drgiancarlomarra@gmail.com.

Background: Currently, no biomarkers are able to differentiate lethal from relatively indolent prostate cancer (PCa) within high-risk diseases. Nonetheless, several molecules are under investigation. Amongst them, topoisomerase-II-alpha (*TOPIIA*), *Ki67* and *miR-221* showed promising results. Our aim was to investigate their prognostic role in the context of biochemical recurrence (BCR), clinical recurrence (CR) and PCa-related death (PcD).

Methods: We included 64 consecutive cM0 high-risk PCa [prostate specific antigen (PSA) >20 ng/mL or Gleason Score (GS) >7 or cT >2] undergoing radical prostatectomy (RP). Changes in miR-221 expression and alternative splicing were determined using microarrays. Immunohistochemical determination of Ki67 and TOPIIa were performed using monoclonal antibody MIB-1 and 3F6 respectively. Cox proportional-hazards regression models were used to predict BCR and CR as multivariate analysis. BCR and CR were defined as three consecutive rises in PSA and PSA >0.2 ng/mL and histologically-proven local recurrence or imaging positive for distant metastasis respectively.

Results: We included 64 men. Mean pre-operative PSA was 26.53 (range, 1.3–135); all GSs were \geq 7 and pT was \geq T3 in 78.13%. Positive margins and lymph-nodes were present in 42.19% and 32.81% respectively. At a mean follow-up of 5.7 years (range, 1.8–12.5), 42.18% experienced BCR (n=27), 29.68% CR (n=19) and 7.81% PcD (n=5). On univariate analysis positive nodes (<0.01), seminal vesicle invasion (0.02) and *miR-221* downregulation (P=0.03), but not *Ki67* and *TOPILA* (both P>0.5) were associated with BCR whereas only PSA (P<0.01), seminal vesicle invasion (P<0.01) and positive nodes (both P<0.01) were linked to CR. No parameters predicted PcD (all P>0.05) or BCR and CR on multivariate analysis (all P>0.05 - miR-221 HR 0.776; 95% CI: 0.503–1.196 for BCR and HR 0.673; 95% CI: 0.412–1.099 for CR). Limitation of the study include its small sample size and limited follow-up.

Conclusions: *TOPIIA*, *Ki-67* and *miR-221* may not predict BCR, CR or PcD in high-risk PCa patients who underwent RP at a medium-term follow-up. Longer follow-up and larger cohorts are needed to confirm our findings.

Keywords: Risk stratification; radical prostatectomy (RP); miR-221; Ki-67; topoisomerase IIa

^ ORCID: Giorgio Calleris, 0000-0003-3831-1632; Alessandro Marquis, 0000-0002-6808-148X.

Submitted Jul 18, 2021. Accepted for publication Feb 09, 2022. doi: 10.21037/tau-21-628 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-21-628

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) remains the commonest non-skin cancer solid neoplasm amongst men, with the majority of diseases being discovered at an early indolent stage (1,2). However, due to its high incidence, it represents the second cause of male cancer-related deaths, claiming the need of a prognostic marker able to better identify aggressive diseases, potentially lethal, especially if undertreated (1).

Currently amongst the top four causes of cancer related deaths, PCa is the only neoplasm lacking such a tool, unlike breast, colorectal and non-small cell lung cancer, for which targeted therapies in selected subgroups of patients led to significant survival improvements (1,3-5).

In the intrinsic heterogeneity of PCa, high risk cancers are indeed the most relevant subgroup in which a potential biomarker may be tested to verify its predicting ability. To date, PSA, tumour stage and GS remain the major instruments to predict the risk of recurrence after radical prostatectomy (RP). Despite their proved ability to differentiate low-, intermediate- and high-risk diseases, no tools exist to further define aggressive diseases. Risk stratification is currently based on clinical parameters only, leading to a clinically defined uniform risk class in which, however, risk of dying for PCa competing causes after radical treatment can significantly differ from one case to another, with reported 10-year rates ranging from 9% up to 61% (6,7): this claims an absolute need of accounting for inter-individual outcomes to enhance disease-free and cancer-specific survival. With this aim, several biomarkers are currently under investigation. Hence, prognostic markers able to predict either the risk of biochemical recurrence (BCR) and clinical recurrence (CR) or the risk of PCa-related death (PcD) would revolutionize the treatment allocation pathway, allowing more precise riskbased discrimination.

MicroRNAs (miRNA) are non-coding RNA molecules able to regulate gene expression by hybridizing with target mRNA complementary sequences. Their alteration has been shown to occur in both *in vivo* and *in vitro* cancerous cells, making them ideal cancer biomarkers and/or therapeutic targets (8,9). Above all, *miR-221* has been suggested as a potential PCa biomarker, being inversely associated with the risk of PCa recurrence (8,9).

Many microRNAs have been studied concerning PCa, including miR-21 (8,10), miR-141 (8), miR-205 (11), miR-214 (11), miR-222 (10,12,13) and others. Nonetheless, bioavailability is not always promising for their introduction in clinical practice and evidence is sometimes contrasting. Amongst them, miR-221 shows the most promising evidence, based on PCa cells in vitro studies which suggest its involvement in several molecular pathways such as androgen-dependent cell growth and development of CRPC phenotype (12,14,15), PCa migration and invasion (16,17), and inhibition of several cyclin-dependent kinases complexes, including those inducing apoptosis (13,18). Furthermore, miR-221 levels can be obtained from blood, prostatic tissue and prostatic secretion, thus providing easily available samples (19). Disagreement characterises the early clinical evidence. Three studies found no association amongst miR-221 downregulation and either BCR or CR (10,20), whilst four demonstrated significant correlations (8,9,16,17).

Amongst molecules that have been incorporated in models predicting the risk of PcD, *nuclear-based protein Ki-67*, expressed in cells undergoing proliferation as an expression of DNA synthesis, and *DNA binding enzyme topoisomerase-2a* (*TOPILA*) also appear as promising tools (21,22). The former yielded good correlation with BCR and enhanced D'Amico risk stratification predicting ability of post-RP outcomes (21) and the latter correlated with risk of systemic PCa progression (22).

In the present study we report the results concerning the analysis of miR-221, and, given previous studies from others and our group reporting promising results, Ki-67and *TOPIIA* prognostic role in men with high risk PCa who underwent RP. We present the following article in accordance with the REMARK reporting checklist (available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-21-628/rc) (23).

Methods

Participants

After receiving institutional review and ethics board approval, we retrospectively collected data of 64 consecutive patients who underwent RP with extended lymph node dissection for high risk PCa at San Giovanni Battista Hospital, Turin, Italy, between 2003 and 2011. Patients were followed up with PSA measurements every three months for the first year, every six months in the following four years and yearly thereafter. Clinical visits were performed every six months for the first two years and then yearly. In case of PSA rise and/or suspicion of recurrence cases were discussed at a multidisciplinary meeting including Urologists, Oncologists, Radiologists, Radiotherapists and Nuclear Medicine Specialists and subsequently managed (imaging/staging) and/or treated (surveillance/adjuvant/salvage treatments) depending on the panel's recommendation, in line with the EAU guidelines for prostate cancer.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were presence of high risk PCa, confirmed by the RP specimen and defined according to D'Amico Criteria [Gleason Score (GS) \geq 8 and/or prostate specific antigen (PSA) >20 ng/mL and/or cT \geq 3a]. Neo-adjuvant and adjuvant hormonal therapy as well as adjuvant radiotherapy were not considered as exclusion criteria.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the institutional ethics board of San Giovanni Battista Hospital (No. 112/106/70/2017) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived.

Measures

All men received a negative pre-operative clinical staging including PSA, digital rectal examination, abdominal computed tomography (CT) and bone scans. Other recorded clinical variables included age, height, weight, BMI, follow-up PSA measurements, number and percentage of positive nodes (confirmed by histological analysis), cTNM, Charlson Comorbidity Index and American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) score.

Outcomes

BCR and CR were defined as three consecutive rises in PSA and PSA being >0.2 ng/mL after RP and histologically proven local recurrence or bone or CT scan distant metastasis respectively. PcDs were verified by phone interviews.

Our primary endpoint was to evaluate *miR-221*, *Ki-67* and *TOPILA* their prognostic role in the context of BCR, CR and PcD by comparing the expression of each marker

in those experiencing BCR and/or CR and/or PcD versus those who did not.

Pathological processing and markers analysis

Pathological macro-dissection was performed according to the Stanford protocol. After initial diagnostic evaluation all RP specimens were reviewed by a senior uro-pathologist and index lesions (defined as the largest cancer focus and/or focus with the highest GS) were contoured. No immunostaining was performed at this stage. After pathological examination, paraffin-embedded tissue was analysed for *miR-221*, *Ki-67* and *TOPILA* levels.

RNA extraction and miR-221 analysis

Changes in miRNA expression levels, alternative splicing, or expression of mRNAs were determined using microarrays (e.g., GeneChip[®] Exon Arrays, Affymetrix) and quantitative RT-PCR methods.

Total RNA Extraction Kit (Applied Biosystems) was used to extract totalRNA as described previously (9). Quality and concentration of extracted RNA was determined with a BioAnalyzer (Agilent). cDNA was synthesized from total RNA with stem-loop reverse transcription primers for miR-221 according to the TaqMan MicroRNA Assay protocol. TaqMan microRNA assay kits and an Applied Biosystems 7900HT system were used for quantification of microRNA in tissue samples according to the manifacturer's protocol (Applied Biosystems). For normalization and subsequent calculation of relative miR expression we used *miR-151-3p* expression and the comparative DCt-method. Mean Ct was determined from triplicate PCRs.

Immunohistochemical analysis: Ki-67 and TOPIIA determination

Immunostaining was performed as previously described (21,22). The monoclonal antibody MIB-1 (Immunotech, Marseilles, France; 1:400 dilution) using a standard avidinbiotin complex method to determine cells positivity was used for *Ki*-67 staining.

An automatic stainer (BioTek, Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) was used for slides processing. Hematoxylin dilution was used as nuclear counterstain. MIB-1 percentage of positive nuclear area (MIB-1 index) was obtained with the Quantitative Proliferation Index program of the CAS 200 image analyser. Total optical density of nuclei expressing the antigen that reacts with MIB-1 (the brown chromogen diaminobenzidine) was

Variable	n	Median [IQR]		
PSA pre-op (ng/mL)	64	19.5 [1.3–135.0]		
Age (years)	64	69 [53–79]		
Height (cm)	56	171.5 [157–185]		
Weight (Kg)	56	75.5 [54–100]		
BMI	56	24.8 [18.7–36.3]		
ASA Score	56	2 [1–4]		
Charlson Score	63	1 [0–7]		

PSA, prostate specific antigen; BMI, body mass index; ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists.

divided by the total optical density of all measured nuclear images.

Monoclonal antibodies 3F6 (Novacastra, Benton Lane, UK, 1:100 dilution) was used for *TOPILA* immunostaining. Dako Advance polymer-based detection system (Dako, Carpenteria, CA, USA) was used for stain performance. Slide Scanner and Immunostaining Score Software (Bacus Laboratories, Inc., Lombard, IL, USA) were used for slides scanning and to obtain measurements of the 3F6 (total and immune-reactive nuclear area of the invasive tumour component within the index lesions).

MIB-1 and 3F6 immunostaining were expressed as the percentage of invasive tumour total nuclear area that stained positively [labeling index (LI)]. After digital imaging analysis all slides were visually reassessed by dedicated cytotechnologists in relation to the MIB-1 and 3F6 LIs to guarantee correct quantification. Ki-67 and TOPIIA were defined as the percentage of PCa cells nuclear area displaying MIB-1 36F staining, irrespectively of their intensity.

Statistical analysis

Different distributions of all independent prognostic variables recorded were compared according to presence or absence of BCR, CR and PcD using Wilcoxon nonparametric test for dichotomic and Mann Whitney U-test for continuous variables.

For univariate analysis Kaplan-Meyer curves were plotted for each independent variable (age, PSA, GS, positive and percentage of positive nodes, surgical margins status, height, weight, BMI, Charlson Score, *Ki-67, topoisomerase II* and *miR-221*) according to BCR, CR and PcD respectively.

Marra et al. Prognostic role of top IIa, Ki-67 and mi-R221

Cox proportional-hazards regression models to predict Biochemical and clinical recurrence (CR) as multivariate analysis. Statistics were conducted using SAS ver. 9.4 for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) software package. P values of ≤ 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Baseline clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1, whereas Table 2 reports baseline pathological features. Mean pre-operative PSA and age were 26.5 (range, 1.3-135) and 68 (range, 53-79), with clinical stage being organ confined in 82.3% (n=51) and biopsy Gleason Score (bGS) being ≥ 7 in 92.1% (n=58). RP specimen pathological features were extracapsular extension ($\geq pT3$) in 78.1% (n=50) (pT4=3.1%, n=2 and SVI =39.1%, n=25), all being GS \geq 7. Rates of positive surgical margins and lymph-nodes were 42.2% (n=27) and 32.8% (n=21) respectively. Additional PCa treatments including adjuvant and neo-adjuvant ADT and radiotherapy are displayed in Table 3. At a mean followup of 5.7 years (range, 1.8–12.5), 42.2% of the cohort (n=27) experienced BCR, and 29.7% had CR (n=19), of whom 7 locally and 12 in distant sites; overall death was 9.4% (n=6), 5 men died because of PCa (PcD =7.8%) and 1 for other causes. Kaplan-Meyer curves for BCR and CF are shown in Figure 1. On univariate analysis (Table 4) number of positive nodes (<0.01), positive nodes status (P<0.01), seminal vesicle invasion (0.02) and miR-221 downregulation (P=0.03) were significant predictors of BCR. However, only PSA (P<0.01), seminal vesicle invasion (P<0.01) and positive nodes number and status (both P<0.01) were able to predict CR. Ki-67 and TOPIIA levels were not associated with enhanced risk of BCR and/or CR (all P>0.5). Amongst investigated parameters, none was able to predict PcD (all P>0.05). On multivariate analysis for BCR and CR (Table S1), none of the investigated variables was able to independently predict PCa outcomes (all P>0.05).

Discussion

In the current study we evaluated *miR-221*, *Ki-67* and *TOPIIA* prognostic ability in a high risk PCa cohort with a mean follow-up of 5.7 years. *miR-221* was able to predict BCR but not CR and PcD, whereas no correlation of the latter three with *Ki-67* and *TOPIIA* levels were found.

To date, PSA, tumour stage and GS remain the major instruments to predict the risk of recurrence after RP. Despite their proved ability to differentiate low,

 Table 2 Pathological characteristics of the study cohort

Variable	n (%)
Clinical stage	62 (96.9)
T1	15 (24.19)
T2	36 (58.06)
Т3	11 (17.74)
Pathological stage	64 (100.0)
T1	3 (4.69)
T2	11 (17.19)
Т3	48 (75.0)
T4	2 (3.13)
Biopsy Gleason Score	63 (98.4)
≤6	5 (7.9)
7	26 (41.3)
8	17 (27.0)
9	15 (23.8)
10	0 (0.0)
Pathological Gleason Score	64 (100.0)
≤6	0 (0.0)
7	20 (31.7)
8	22 (34.9)
9	22 (34.9)
10	0 (0.0)
Seminal vesicle invasion	64 (100.0)
Yes	25 (39.06)
No	39 (60.94)
Surgical margins	64 (100.0)
Positive	27 (42.19)
Negative	37 (57.81)
Pathological lymph nodes	64 (100.0)
Positive	21 (32.81)
Negative	43 (67.19)
Removed (median, range)	18 (0–62)
Positive (median, range)	0 (0–31)

Table 3 Adjuvant, neo-adjuvant and salvage therapies in the study cohort

Variable	n (%)	
Neo-adjuvant ADT	64 (100.0)	
Yes	11 (17.19)	
No	53 (82.81)	
Adjuvant-treatment	64 (100.0)	
ADT		
Yes	24 (37.5)	
No	40 (62.5)	
Radiotherapy		
Yes	23 (35.94)	
No	41 (64.06)	

ADT, androgen deprivation therapy.



Figure 1 Kaplan-Meyer curves for biochemical recurrence (A) and clinical recurrence (B) free survival.

M. 2-11.	Biochemical r	ecurrence, mean (SI	D)/n (%)	Clinical recurrence, mean (SD)/n (%)			
Variable	No	Yes	Р	No	Yes	Р	
Clinical features							
PSA (ng/mL)	20.98 (25.4)	34.14 (29.5)	0.06	17.59 (14.6)	47.69 (38.9)	<0.01	
cT≥3	4 (6.2)	2 (3.2)	0.11	5 (8.1)	1 (1.6)	0.42	
Biopsy GS ≥8	17 (27.0)	15 (23.8)	0.51	20 (31.7)	12 (19.0)	0.20	
Age (years)	66.81 (6.6)	69.59 (6.1)	0.09	67.2 (6.5)	69.84 (6.2)	0.14	
Height (cm)	171.78 (6.4)	171.12 (6.8)	0.72	170.74 (6.6)	173.11 (6.4)	0.21	
Weight (kg)	76.84 (9.8)	76.12 (11.4)	0.80	76.53 (10.5)	76.56 (10.9)	0.99	
ASA score	2.37 (0.7)	2.208 (0.6)	0.43	2.21 (0.7)	2.5 (0.6)	0.14	
BMI (Kg/m ²)	26.11 (3.7)	25.98 (3.6)	0.68	26.3 (3.7)	25.53 (3.4)	0.84	
Charlson	1.52 (1.6)	1.78 (2.1)	0.86	1.43 (1.6)	2.10 (2.3)	0.32	
Biomarkers							
∆ miR-221	2.62 (1.3)	1.69 (1.6)	0.03	2.39 (1.4)	1.92 (1.7)	0.31	
TOPIIA staining (%)	0.92 (1.2)	1.23 (1.8)	0.62	1.03 (1.4)	1.13 (1.8)	0.76	
Ki-67 staining (%)	2.47 (2.5)	3.50 (4.8)	0.99	3.04 (3.7)	2.58 (3.6)	0.33	
Radical prostatectomy patholo	ogy						
GS ≥8	24 (37.5)	20 (31.2)	0.43	29 (45.3)	15 (23.4)	0.25	
Stage ≥ pT3	30 (46.9)	21 (32.8)	0.75	34 (53.1)	17 (26.6)	0.21	
SVI	10 (15.6)	15 (23.4)	0.02	12 (18.75)	13 (20.3)	<0.01	
Positive margins	12 (18.7)	15 (23.4)	0.06	16 (25.0)	11 (17.2)	0.10	
Lymph node status							
Removed	21.27 (14.1)	19.04 (11.1)	0.73	19.16 (12.3)	22.5 (13.7)	0.47	
Positive	1.43 (5.8)	3.04 (6.6)	<0.01	0.22 (0.5)	6.0 (9.7)	<0.01	
pN+ patients	5 (7.8)	16 (25.0)	<0.01	8 (12.5)	13 (20.3)	<0.01	
Additional treatments							
Neoadjuvant ADT	4 (6.25)	7 (10.94)	0.11	6 (9.4)	5 (7.8)	0.2085	
Adjuvant ADT	7 (10.9)	17 (26.6)	<0.01	10 (15.6)	14 (21.9)	<0.01	
Adjuvant RT	11 (17.9)	12 (18.7)	0.23	13 (20.3)	10 (15.6)	0.07	
Adjuvant ADT and/or RT	15 (23.4)	17 (26.6)	0.08	17 (26.6)	15 (23.4)	<0.01	
Salvage treatment	4 (6.2)	15 (23.4)	<0.01	8 (12.5)	11 (17.2)	<0.01	

Table 4 Univariate analysis for biochemical and clinical recurrence

PSA, prostate specific antigen; GS, Gleason Score; ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index; SVI, Seminal vesicle invasion; RT, radiotherapy; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy.

intermediate and high-risk diseases, no tools exist to further define aggressive PCa. Amongst those with the greatest malignant potential, we are not able to predict which patients will remain PCa-free and which patients will develop recurrence after primary curative treatment, possibly benefitting from adjuvant or more aggressive first line approaches. As shown in our cohort, with 42.18% BCR, 29.68% CR and 7.81% PcD, high risk PCa yields

significant progression rates. Reported 5 years BCR and PcD range from 37 up to 65% and from 1 up to 7% respectively (24): this claims an absolute need of accounting for inter-individual outcomes to enhance disease-free and cancer specific survival. With this aim, several biomarkers are currently under investigation.

Ki-67 and *TOPIIA* have been assessed in several malignancies, including breast (25-27), lung (28,29) and other major neoplasms (30-33). *TOPIIA* is involved with cell proliferation, with a well-characterized role in the DNA repair. Increased *TOPIIA* expression has been associated with enhanced risk of PCa progression through an increase in androgen-related signalling (34). Conversely, whilst being associated with cell proliferation, the biological function of *Ki-67* remains unknown.

Many series highlighted *TOPIIA* high levels correlate with GS (35-40), preoperative PSA (37,40) and surgical stage (36); a few of these works also proved its independent ability in predicting BCR (22,35,37), and, in two cases, its association with a decreased survival after PCa radical treatment (22,35).

Ki-67 was recently validated as an independent predictor of BCR in a large multi-centre cohort of 3,123 men (HR =1.19; P=0.019) (41). Smaller studies proved its ability in predicting PcD as well (21,42). A work from the Mayo Clinic found *Ki*-67 as an independent predictor of PcD; each 1% increase in *Ki*-67 expression related to a 12% growth in cancer-specific death (P<0.001) (21).

As in the current study, Karnes and colleagues tested both *TOPIIA* and *Ki-67* in prognostic models (22). However, in their work, the staining of *TOPIIA* and *Ki-67* were significantly different for PCa experiencing systemic progression after RP compared to those who did not (P<0.001).

Further sub-analysis assessed prognostic ability in relation to *ERG*, an oncogenic protein which binds other proteins to modulate cytoskeleton organization, cell migration and protein degradation. *ERG* gene rearrangement with common recurrent transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) causes its overexpression. This seems to be an early step of PCa genesis and, although its role remains unclear, it has been associated with higher GS, stage and PCa aggressiveness (22,43).

In men without *ERG* translocation, *TOPIIA* had a significantly better prognostic ability than *Ki-67*. On the contrary, when *ERG* translocation was present, *TOPIIA* and *Ki-67* had no predictive value, suggesting their prognostic role may vary depending on *ERG* status (22). This has been

recently confirmed in a large RP cohort (43). Although GS, pre-op PSA and positive lymph nodes were associated with a higher risk of biochemical and/or CR, in our study, no significant correlations with BCR, CF and PcD were found for either Ki-67 or TOPIIA. Indeed, the ERG status may account as an important influencing factor. In this sense the relatively small number of our validation cohort may even have been reduced by the presence of ERG(+) men, on whom Ki-67 and TOPIIA do not show any significant predictive ability. Unfortunately, we did not assess ERG translocation and no concrete statements can be made regarding this hypothesis. It is our opinion that future studies on Ki-67 and TOPIIA need to consider ERG status to account for possible biases deriving from its role, and to further clarify its mechanism of action. Low cost and rapid assessment, especially for Ki-67, are certainly considerable advantages that may derive from their largescale use if prognostic ability is confirmed by future research. A retrospective analysis of ERG translocation has been planned in our cohort and will likely shed light on our current results.

Differently from Ki-67 and TOPIIA, miRNA are relatively younger molecules, whose association with cancer was first suggested in 2002 for chronic lymphocytic leukemia (44). Many microRNAs have been studied concerning PCa, including miR-21 (8,10), miR-141 (8), miR-205 (11), miR-214 (11), miR-222 (10,12,13) and others. Amongst them, miR-221 shows the most promising evidence, based on PCa cells in vitro studies which suggest its involvement in several molecular pathways such as androgen-dependent cell growth and development of CRPC phenotype (12,14,15), PCa migration and invasion (16,17), and inhibition of several cyclin-dependent kinases complexes, including those inducing apoptosis (13,18). Furthermore, miR-221 levels can be obtained from blood, prostatic tissue and prostatic secretion, thus providing easily available samples (19).

Disagreement characterises the early clinical evidence, with some finding no association amongst *miR-221* downregulation and either BCR or CR (10,20), whilst others demonstrating significant correlations (8,9,16,17,45). Nonetheless, works yielding negative results suffer from methodological limitations. In one study mean follow-up was shorter than 2 years, yielding to low BCR rates (20), whereas in the other, a significant proportion of men had GS ≤ 6 and no PSA or clinical and pathological TNM information being available.

In our study, miR-221 downregulation was significantly

Marra et al. Prognostic role of top IIa, Ki-67 and mi-R221

associated with BCR on univariate analysis only but not when accounting for multiple risk factors on multivariate analysis. Also, *miR-221* was not able to predict CR and PcD.

Although it has been shown that different procedural methods such as macro-dissection or miRNA extraction may influence results (20,46), this occurrence is unlikely as we adopted the same study protocol and miRNA extraction of others showing *miR-221* significantly and well predicts adverse PCa outcomes (9,16). Conversely, other reasons may contribute to the overall absence of significant results in our cohort.

Despite approaching six years, our follow-up was relatively short and the number of patients was low overall and compared to others (9,16). Low CR and PCa death rates may have also contributed to obtain non-significant results. Overall, well established risk factors such as GS, pathological stage, number of positive nodes, positive surgical margins and PSA did not have any correlation with biochemical or CR on multivariate analysis, arguing for the need of a longer follow-up and for a higher number of patients. Other possible limitations that may have hampered the results comprise the absence of hereditary PCa records and the inclusion of men undergoing neo-adjuvant, adjuvant and salvage treatments, which may modify RP histological features (47). Furthermore, considering all three investigated molecules appear to be involved in the androgenic pathway (9,22,34), it is not known which effect hormone treatments may have had on their expression. As these are frequently used in high-risk cohorts, the effect of salvage therapies on future biomarker levels also needs to be elucidated in the future.

Finally, the assessment of a high-risk cohort is a point of strength of this study. In this group, RP alone has not proved superior to observation in reducing mortality (48). This still represents an unsolved issue as we are not able to discriminate patients who will possibly progress and die because of PCa, even after RP has been performed. Rather than a diagnostic marker that risks to enhance overtreatment, we need a prognostic marker able to predict the outcomes.

Currently, we are performing analysis using a large highrisk cohort with a >10 years follow-up to evaluate Ki-67, TOPIIA and miR-221 ability in predicting PcD and metastasis. New analysis of the current cohort with has also been planned as a longer follow-up is indeed needed to draw stronger conclusions (9). Also, efforts must be made in increasing our genomic knowledge of PCa, as it is for breast and other cancers. In this sense "real-world" clinicalgenomic database and platforms are being created and are already available to enhance high risk and advanced PCa characterisation, paving the way towards precision oncology and personalised care (49,50).

Conclusions

TOPILA and *Ki-67* did not yield any ability in predicting BCR, CR or PcD in high-risk PCa patients who underwent RP. *miR-221* was able to predict BCR on univariate analysis only, and did not show any prognostic ability in regard to CR and PcD. Negative results may derive from a relatively short follow-up and a low number patients and events in our cohort. Further large, well-designed studies with appropriate follow-up are ongoing and needed to evaluate the ability of the investigated biomarkers in predicting risk of BCR, CR and PcD after RP in high-risk PCa.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the REMARK reporting checklist. Available at https://tau. amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-21-628/rc

Data Sharing Statement: Available at https://tau.amegroups. com/article/view/10.21037/tau-21-628/dss

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-21-628/coif). GM's work at Institut Mutualiste Montsouris has been funded by a grant from the European Urology Scholarship Programme (EUSP). MO reports that he received honoraria from Janssen, Ferring, and GSK. PG reports that he received honoraria from Ferring, Arquer, Ipsen, and Astellas. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the institutional ethics board of San Giovanni Battista Hospital

(No. 112/106/70/2017) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, et al. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 2014;64:9-29.
- Cooperberg MR, Moul JW, Carroll PR. The changing face of prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8146-51.
- Slamon DJ, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, et al. Use of chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. N Engl J Med 2001;344:783-92.
- 4. Karapetis CS, Khambata-Ford S, Jonker DJ, et al. K-ras mutations and benefit from cetuximab in advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1757-65.
- Costa DB, Shaw AT, Ou SH, et al. Clinical Experience With Crizotinib in Patients With Advanced ALK-Rearranged Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer and Brain Metastases. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:1881-8.
- Gontero P, Spahn M, Tombal B, et al. Is there a prostatespecific antigen upper limit for radical prostatectomy? BJU Int 2011;108:1093-100.
- Stephenson AJ, Kattan MW, Eastham JA, et al. Prostate cancer-specific mortality after radical prostatectomy for patients treated in the prostate-specific antigen era. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:4300-5.
- Zheng Q, Peskoe SB, Ribas J, et al. Investigation of miR-21, miR-141, and miR-221 expression levels in prostate adenocarcinoma for associated risk of recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Prostate 2014;74:1655-62.
- Kneitz B, Krebs M, Kalogirou C, et al. Survival in patients with high-risk prostate cancer is predicted by miR-221, which regulates proliferation, apoptosis, and invasion of prostate cancer cells by inhibiting IRF2 and SOCS3. Cancer Res 2014;74:2591-603.
- 10. Amankwah EK, Anegbe E, Park H, et al. miR-21, miR-221 and miR-222 expression and prostate cancer recurrence

among obese and non-obese cases. Asian J Androl 2013;15:226-30.

- Srivastava A, Goldberger H, Dimtchev A, et al. MicroRNA profiling in prostate cancer--the diagnostic potential of urinary miR-205 and miR-214. PLoS One 2013;8:e76994.
- Sun T, Yang M, Chen S, et al. The altered expression of MiR-221/-222 and MiR-23b/-27b is associated with the development of human castration resistant prostate cancer. Prostate 2012;72:1093-103.
- Yang X, Yang Y, Gan R, et al. Down-regulation of mir-221 and mir-222 restrain prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration that is partly mediated by activation of SIRT1. PLoS One 2014;9:e98833.
- Goto Y, Kojima S, Nishikawa R, et al. MicroRNA expression signature of castration-resistant prostate cancer: the microRNA-221/222 cluster functions as a tumour suppressor and disease progression marker. Br J Cancer 2015;113:1055-65.
- Sun T, Wang X, He HH, et al. MiR-221 promotes the development of androgen independence in prostate cancer cells via downregulation of HECTD2 and RAB1A. Oncogene 2014;33:2790-800.
- Spahn M, Kneitz S, Scholz CJ, et al. Expression of microRNA-221 is progressively reduced in aggressive prostate cancer and metastasis and predicts clinical recurrence. Int J Cancer 2010;127:394-403.
- 17. Gordanpour A, Stanimirovic A, Nam RK, et al. miR-221 Is down-regulated in TMPRSS2:ERG fusion-positive prostate cancer. Anticancer Res 2011;31:403-10.
- Gu Y, Lei D, Qin X, et al. Integrated Analysis Reveals together miR-182, miR-200c and miR-221 Can Help in the Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer. PLoS One 2015;10:e0140862.
- Guzel E, Karatas OF, Semercioz A, et al. Identification of microRNAs differentially expressed in prostatic secretions of patients with prostate cancer. Int J Cancer 2015;136:875-9.
- 20. Kang SG, Ha YR, Kim SJ, et al. Do microRNA 96, 145 and 221 expressions really aid in the prognosis of prostate carcinoma? Asian J Androl 2012;14:752-7.
- 21. Tollefson MK, Karnes RJ, Kwon ED, et al. Prostate cancer Ki-67 (MIB-1) expression, perineural invasion, and gleason score as biopsy-based predictors of prostate cancer mortality: the Mayo model. Mayo Clin Proc 2014;89:308-18.
- 22. Karnes RJ, Cheville JC, Ida CM, et al. The ability of biomarkers to predict systemic progression in men with high-risk prostate cancer treated surgically is dependent

Marra et al. Prognostic role of top IIα, Ki-67 and mi-R221

on ERG status. Cancer Res 2010;70:8994-9002.

- 23. McShane LM, Altman DG, Sauerbrei W, et al. REporting recommendations for tumour MARKer prognostic studies (REMARK). Br J Cancer 2005;93:387-91.
- 24. Heidenreich A, Bastian PJ, Bellmunt J, et al. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. part 1: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent-update 2013. Eur Urol 2014;65:124-37.
- 25. Petrelli F, Viale G, Cabiddu M, et al. Prognostic value of different cut-off levels of Ki-67 in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 64,196 patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2015;153:477-91.
- 26. Cho U, Kim HE, Oh WJ, et al. The Long-term Prognostic Performance of Ki-67 in Primary Operable Breast Cancer and Evaluation of Its Optimal Cutoff Value. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2016;24:159-66.
- Peleg R, Romzova M, Kogan-Zviagin I, et al. Modification of topoisomerases in mammospheres derived from breast cancer cell line: clinical implications for combined treatments with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. BMC Cancer 2014;14:910.
- Martin B, Paesmans M, Mascaux C, et al. Ki-67 expression and patients survival in lung cancer: systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. Br J Cancer 2004;91:2018-25.
- Knez L, Sodja E, Kern I, et al. Predictive value of multidrug resistance proteins, topoisomerases II and ERCC1 in small cell lung cancer: a systematic review. Lung Cancer 2011;72:271-9.
- Saricanbaz I, Karahacioglu E, Ekinci O, et al. Prognostic significance of expression of CD133 and Ki-67 in gastric cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:8215-9.
- Tanabe K, Yoshida S, Koga F, et al. High Ki-67 Expression Predicts Favorable Survival in Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer Patients Treated With Chemoradiation-Based Bladder-Sparing Protocol. Clin Genitourin Cancer 2015;13:e243-51.
- Chen T, Sun Y, Ji P, et al. Topoisomerase IIα in chromosome instability and personalized cancer therapy. Oncogene 2015;34:4019-31.
- Pendleton M, Lindsey RH Jr, Felix CA, et al. Topoisomerase II and leukemia. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2014;1310:98-110.
- Schaefer-Klein JL, Murphy SJ, Johnson SH, et al. Topoisomerase 2 Alpha Cooperates with Androgen Receptor to Contribute to Prostate Cancer Progression. PLoS One 2015;10:e0142327.
- 35. Murphy AJ, Hughes CA, Barrett C, et al. Low-level

TOP2A amplification in prostate cancer is associated with HER2 duplication, androgen resistance, and decreased survival. Cancer Res 2007;67:2893-8.

- Sullivan GF, Amenta PS, Villanueva JD, et al. The expression of drug resistance gene products during the progression of human prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 1998;4:1393-403.
- Zhang H, Qi C, Wang A, et al. Prognostication of prostate cancer based on NUCB2 protein assessment: NUCB2 in prostate cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2013;32:77.
- Hughes C, Murphy A, Martin C, et al. Topoisomerase IIalpha expression increases with increasing Gleason score and with hormone insensitivity in prostate carcinoma. J Clin Pathol 2006;59:721-4.
- Willman JH, Holden JA. Immunohistochemical staining for DNA topoisomerase II-alpha in benign, premalignant, and malignant lesions of the prostate. Prostate 2000;42:280-6.
- 40. Malhotra S, Lapointe J, Salari K, et al. A tri-marker proliferation index predicts biochemical recurrence after surgery for prostate cancer. PLoS One 2011;6:e20293.
- Mathieu R, Shariat SF, Seitz C, et al. Multi-institutional validation of the prognostic value of Ki-67 labeling index in patients treated with radical prostatectomy. World J Urol 2015;33:1165-71.
- Desmeules P, Hovington H, Nguilé-Makao M, et al. Comparison of digital image analysis and visual scoring of KI-67 in prostate cancer prognosis after prostatectomy. Diagn Pathol 2015;10:67.
- Goltz D, Montani M, Braun M, et al. Prognostic relevance of proliferation markers (Ki-67, PHH3) within the cross-relation of ERG translocation and androgen receptor expression in prostate cancer. Pathology 2015;47:629-36.
- 44. Calin GA, Dumitru CD, Shimizu M, et al. Frequent deletions and down-regulation of micro- RNA genes miR15 and miR16 at 13q14 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:15524-9.
- 45. Schaefer A, Jung M, Mollenkopf HJ, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic implications of microRNA profiling in prostate carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2010;126:1166-76.
- Porkka KP, Pfeiffer MJ, Waltering KK, et al. MicroRNA expression profiling in prostate cancer. Cancer Res 2007;67:6130-5.
- 47. Petraki CD, Sfikas CP. Histopathological changes induced by therapies in the benign prostate and prostate adenocarcinoma. Histol Histopathol 2007;22:107-18.
- 48. Silberstein JL, Eastham JA. Words of wisdom. Re: Radical

1280

prostatectomy versus observation for localized prostate cancer. Eur Urol 2013;63:1130-1.

49. Koshkin VS, Patel VG, Ali A, et al. PROMISE: a realworld clinical-genomic database to address knowledge gaps in prostate cancer. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2021.

Cite this article as: Marra G, Oderda M, Calleris G, Marquis A, Peretti F, Zitella A, Moschini M, Sanchez-Salas R, Karnes RJ, Kneitz B, Spahn M, Pacchioni D, Gontero P. Ki-67, topoisomerase II α and miR-221 have a limited prostate cancer risk stratification ability on a medium-term follow-up: results of a high-risk radical prostatectomy cohort. Transl Androl Urol 2022;11(9):1271-1281. doi: 10.21037/tau-21-628.

doi: 10.1038/s41391-021-00433-1.

 Ye X, Peng F, Liu J, et al. IPPC: an interactive platform for prostate cancer multi-omics data integration and analysis. J Mol Cell Biol 2021;13:383-5.

Supplementary

Table S1 Cox proportional-hazards regression models to predict Biochemical and clinical recurrence

	Biochemical Recurrence			Clinical Recurrence				
_	HR	95%	6 CI	Р	HR	959	% CI	Р
Adjuvant ADT	5.824	1.513	22.425	0.0104	6.436	0.720	57.528	0.0957
Salvage treatment	2.227	0.744	6.668	0.1526	1.815	0.485	6.785	0.3757
Positive node	2.761	0.546	13.965	0.2196	1.951	0.337	11.299	0.4559
Seminal Vesicle Invasion	0.619	0.124	3.087	0.5584	1.826	0.305	10.928	0.5095
PSA	0.994	0.976	1.012	0.5209	1.014	0.997	1.030	0.1065
Positive Surgical Margins	0.888	0.427	1.846	0.7504	0.554	0.221	1.388	0.2074
miR-221	0.776	0.503	1.196	0.2504	0.673	0.412	1.099	0.1133

ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; PSA, Prostate Specific Antigen.