<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Methods (page 9, line 163-166)	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	Methods (page 9, line 157)	11/ 4
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		N/A
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	res (maleate where provided, section/ paragraph)	N/A
genetic modification status. Provide species, strain, sex, age,		11/74
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		N/A
field: Provide species, sex and age where		,
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		N/A
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		N/A
number if available, and source (including location		,
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		N/A
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Methods (page 6, line 113-117)	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Methods (page 6, line 113-117)	
obtained from study participants.		

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		N/A
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		N/A
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been	Yes	
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Methods (page 7, line 120)	
Randomisation	The study was a retrospective study.	
Blinding	The study was a retrospective study.	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Methods (page 7, line 120-124)	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		N/A
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		N/A
Ethics	Vac (indicate where provided, costion (noregraph)	
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) Methods (page 6, line 113-117)	n/a
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Methods (page 6, line 113-117)	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		N/A
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		N/A
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		N/A

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	res (indicate where provided, section, paragraph)	N/A
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		
	- · · ·	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Methods (page 10, line 184-200)	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		N/A
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		N/A
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		N/A
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		N/A
for replicating the main findings of the study:		,,,
State whether the code or software is available.		N/A
If code is publicly available, provide accession		N/A
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of	YES	
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information:https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-22-506