

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-23-128>

Reviewer A

Comment 1: The search strategy is too simple

Reply 1: We modified the search strategy and added "Molecular Targeted Therapy", "Small Molecular" and "Monoclonal antibody" as supplementary words. The above words are used as search terms for title, abstract, author Keywords Plus

Changes in the text: see Page 12, line 1-18

Comment 2: The use of acronyms is not standardized, such as the confusing use of RC and RCC;

Reply 2: We reformulated the acronyms to make the meaning of the sentences more accurate.

Changes in the text: see Page 2, line 16,17,23,25; Page3, line 1; Page5, line 13.

Comment 3: The impact factor of the year should be marked;

Reply 3: The year of If has been marked below Table 3

Changes in the text: see Page 14, line 1

Comment 4: search date ended on July 18, 2022, as the title of this paper is named "2006-2022", the search date should be updated.

Reply 4: We have applied the new search strategy and re-searched with a limited date of December 31, 2022.

Changes in the text: see Page 3, line 15

Comment 5: The discussion is too superficial and not deep enough.

Reply 5: According to the obtained analysis results, we have made extensive modifications to the Discussion part

Changes in the text: see Page 5-7, Discussion part

Comment 6: The first sentence of the first paragraph needs to be discussed. It is recommended to reorganize the language and cite the correct literature.

Reply 6: We reviewed the relevant literature and corrected the wrong data and cited literature

Changes in the text: see Page 5, line 13

Reviewer B

Comment 1: Original Article should include a part with a subtitle named "Statistical Analysis" in "Method" section. Or declare in the point-by-point response that it is not applicable, and explain the reason.

Reply 1: We have subtitled "Statistical Analysis" in the "Methods" section

Changes in the text: see Page 3, line 21

Comment 2: We modified you highlight box as below. And you are missing one point about what is known. Please add.

Key findings

- In this study, bibliometrics was used to analyze the field of targeted therapy for kidney cancer.
- **What is known and what is new?**
- **Report here about what is known. xxxxx**
- VOSviewer software was used to chart the organizations, authors, and keywords in this field. A visualization analysis of all the articles in this field was completed.
- **What is the implication, and what should change now?**
- The current and future research hotspots in this field were analyzed to provide a reference for researchers and clinicians.

Reply 2: We've added the required missing point
Changes in the text: see Page8 line 18

Comment 3: Please check the below Keyword. You choose it as a Keyword but it cannot be found in the main text.

Keywords: Targeted therapy; renal cancer; bibliometric analysis; literature review

Reply 3: We re-evaluated the use of keywords and selected appropriate keywords
Changes in the text: see Page2 line 9

Comment 4: Please check if any more references need to be added in the below sentence since you mentioned “Studies”, but only one reference was cited. If not, “studies” should be changed to “a study/a previous study”.

oxygen environments. It consists of two subunits, HIF-1 α and HIF-1 β . **Recent studies**
have demonstrated that high HIF-1 α expression is also associated with resistance to
antiangiogenic therapy **[34].** Currently, many HIF-1 inhibitors have been developed and

Reply 4: We have corrected the error of meaning here
Changes in the text: see page 6 line 31.

Comment 5: The below two yellow institutions didn't be shown in your Table 2, but you mentioned them in your main text. Please check.

Germany (n=106) (Table 1). Harvard University, **Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, the National Institutes of Health,** and other well-known research institutions from the United States were the top 10 institutions in terms of the number of publications (**Table 2**). Harvard University ranked first with 65 publications, followed

Reply 5: We have re-edited the selection of institutions according to Table 2
Changes in the text: see page 4 line 6-8.