Peer Review File

Article Information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-23-546

<mark>Reviewer A</mark>

Great response - just a few tiny grammatical/punctuation errors.

Reply: Thank you for the comments. The manuscript has been edited again for grammatical and punctuation errors. Please see the tracked changes in the document.

Reviewer B

The authors of the current editorial commentary highlight the significance of the optimal Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocol in the context of ureteroscopy, suggesting the potential role of perioperative pregabalin as a regimen. The commentary provides a balanced overview of the strengths and limitations of Rosen's research, focusing on the evaluation timing for postoperative pain and the impact of postoperative stenting as a variable.

Reply: Thank you for the comments

Reviewer C

Comments are written logically based on the latest evidence. This comment not only helps readers understand this study but is also a beneficial comment that invites further research. We want to express our highest praise for this editorial comment.

Reply: Thank you for the comments

Reviewer D

The manuscript is clear as an Editorial Comment and sufficiently demonstrates the limitations of the study and the potential for future research.

Reply: Thank you for the comments

<mark>Reviewer E</mark>

Editorial covers key points and raises good learning points for reader.

Reply: Thank you for the comments.

<mark>Reviewer F</mark>

Well written editorial. However, the introduction seems a bit comprehensive and may be shortened.

Appropriate comments on the RCT.

Reply: Thank you for the comments. The introduction has been shortened and some sentences rearranged.

Changes in text: Please see tracked changes in the document. Changes mainly in paragraph 1 and 2.