**Peer Review File** 

Article Information: <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-23-673">https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-23-673</a>

Reviewer A

thank you for sharing your insights about the novel Optilume BPH catheter system, which was

recently included in the new MISTs group, available for the surgical, minimally invasive

treatment of LUTS/BPO.

Despite being the topic extremely interesting, I have some concerns about the goal of your

submission. On the one hand, a single-study focused discussion can hardly be considered a

"systematic review", as it could be perceived from the title of your paper.

Reply: To address this concern, we have added other studies about this topic

On the other hand, I was not able to find in your manuscript the alternative/innovative

perspectives which are usually conveyed by Authors by means of a "letter to the Editor". In this

current shape, your Editorial appears to represent an accurate report of methods and outcomes

of the Optilume BPH study by Kaplan et al., preceded by general notions about LUTS and BPH.

I would therefore suggest to review the aims and scope of your submission. In case of a further

"letter to the Editor" submission, more innovative and/or personal insights on this topic would

be requested.

As suggested by the reviewer, we have modified the text to include the reviewer's suggestions.

Thanks to the reviewer for suggestions to improve our manuscript.

Reviewer B

well written editorial

Thanks to the reviewer for liking and appreciating our manuscript.