

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-23-488>

Review Comments:

Reviewer A:

excellent review, well written. thorough and comprehensive.

the only point of revision would be to include more discussion regarding sizing technique of the urethral cuffs and some data on erosion risks as well as a minor discussion regarding double cuff use.

Reply: Additional paragraphs have been added to discuss sizing technique of urethral cuffs and the use of the double cuff. Please see lines 274-303.

Reviewer B:

This is a very comprehensive review of the current clinical practice regarding AUS implantation as well as management of complications.

I would only ask the authors to add a statement concerning diagnostics in patients presenting with a possible urethral erosion. At our institution we perform a retrograde cystourethrography as well as cystoscopy in these cases, would you also recommend that according to the current literature?

Reply: A statement has been added to reflect the reviewer's question (please view lines 330-332).

Reviewer C:

I congratulate to this well written manuscript providing a good overview on causes and management of AUS erosion/infection.

I do not have major comment but you may consider include a table.

Reply: We greatly appreciate the suggestion of adding a table to this manuscript, however we believe the topic is effectively and thoroughly presented in the written text and figures, without the need for an additional table.