Peer Review File

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-21-27.

Reviewer A:

Comment 1: It's nice review to various surgical techniques. Doing some statistics make it look nicer and more valuable.

Reply 1: We appreciate your valued suggestion. However, owing to the limited number of patients in the reported studies, the retrospective nature and the fact that several studies reported subjective penile length outcomes, robust statistical analysis of the results was limited. We have added that to the discussion section. Please refer to page 9, lines 13-15 from bottom, highlighted in yellow.

Reviewer B:

Congratulations on well written review. However please address following comments Comment 1: I am little confused about what type of surgical techniques uses for penile lengthening vs visual penile lengthening especially table 2. Can you please rewrite with clear distinction and more details about each surgery and make it more clear what are these procedures and supply more figures for illustrations.

Reply 1: Thank you so much for your comment. We have reorganized and rewritten Table 2 as advised. Please refer to Table 2 page 11. Also, we have expanded on the concept of penile lengthening to clearly differentiate between the listed procedures. Please refer to page 4, lines 3-16, highlighted in yellow.

Comment 2: Your case report needs more details and follow up- please expand.

Reply 2: We have added more details to the presented case and provided the follow up course as advised. Please refer to case presentation, page 8, highlighted in yellow.

Comment 3: Is penile girth expansion procedure discussed here? if yes please provide separate section with available procedure in this area

Reply 3: Thank you for your comment. Our review aims primarily to discuss penile lengthening procedures, and we cited several studies that discuss penile girth enhancement procedures. We have added a separate section on the recent literature on techniques and outcomes of penile girth expansion procedures. Please refer to pages 7 and 8, highlighted in yellow.

Comment 4: How about peyronie disease procedures like grafting and what is expanding gained from these procedures?

Reply 4: Thank you for your comment. In our review, we distinguished between penile lengthening procedures (PLP) which provide a real increase in penile size and penile restoration procedures (PRP) that are used primarily in the management of peyronie's disease. Our main scope of the review is to discuss PLP with penile prosthesis placement. We have expanded on the PRP in the discussion section. Please refer to page 8, lines 1-3 from bottom and page 9, lines 1-2, highlighted in yellow.

Comment 5: When possible please provide tables to summarize results and outcomes>Thank you

Reply 5: Thank you for your comment. Table one shows the relevant studies on PLP procedures. We have added table 2 which summarizes techniques and outcomes of VPLP. Please refer to table 2 page 11, highlighted in yellow.

Reviewer C:

Comment 1: I commend the authors on an excellent review

Suggest they include the Wilson/Yafi gold journal paper describing glans necrosis in patients undergoing lengthening procedures

Reply 1: Thank you so much for your feedback and comment. We have included that reference in our review as advised. Please refer to page 5 lines 8-13 highlighted in yellow.