

The comparison of single port and multiport robot radical prostatectomy in terms of efficacy and safety

Jing Tu, Weiqiang Bao, Jie Yang, Jiangfeng Wu

Department of Ultrasound, The Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, China

Correspondence to: Jiangfeng Wu. Department of Ultrasound, The Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, No. 60 Wuning West Road, Dongyang 322100, Zhejiang, China. Email: wjfhospital@163.com.

Comment on: Wei Y, Ji Q, Zuo W, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of single port robotic radical prostatectomy and multiport robotic radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transl Androl Urol 2021;10:4402-11.

Submitted Feb 15, 2022. Accepted for publication Apr 10, 2022. doi: 10.21037/tau-22-111 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-22-111

We read the recent published paper in *Translational Andrology and Urology* by Wei and colleagues entitled "Efficacy and safety of single port (SP) robotic radical prostatectomy and multiport (MP) robotic radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis" (1). They carried out a meta-analysis to make the comparison of single port and multiport robot radical prostatectomy in terms of efficacy and safety. We appreciate Wei *et al.* for the valuable study, however, after a careful learning of the literature, several limitations should be noticed.

First, in the results section of the abstract, the authors mentioned that the results of this meta-analysis were robust through sensitivity analysis. In figure 7, we found that the effect size of mean difference was statistical difference between the SP and MP groups (Z=2.37, P=0.02). However, after excluding the study by Moschovas *et al.* (2) in figure 8, the blood loss between the SP and MP groups was no statistical difference (Z=1.59, P=0.11). Therefore, we believed that Moschovas *et al.*'s study had a significant effect on the results of meta-analysis as the sample size of this study was larger that the sum of sample sizes of the remaining studies; hence, the results of this meta-analysis were easily influenced by this study (2).

Second, in the publication bias and sensitivity analysis results section of the study, Wei *et al.* demonstrated the heterogeneity of this study was mainly caused by the research by Moschovas *et al.* (2). However, there was no significant heterogeneity observed in figure 7 before excluding Moschovas *et al.*'s study (P=0.76, $I^2=0$). Furthermore, there was also no significant heterogeneity showed in figure 8 after excluding Moschovas *et al.*'s study (P=0.78, I^2 =0). Therefore, we believe that Moschovas *et al.*'s study was not the source of the heterogeneity.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was a standard submission to the journal. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-22-111/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the

728

Tu et al. SP and MP robot radical prostatectomy

original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

1. Wei Y, Ji Q, Zuo W, et al. Efficacy and safety of single port robotic radical prostatectomy and multiport robotic radical

Cite this article as: Tu J, Bao W, Yang J, Wu J. The comparison of single port and multiport robot radical prostatectomy in terms of efficacy and safety. Transl Androl Urol 2022;11(5):727-728. doi: 10.21037/tau-22-111

prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transl Androl Urol 2021;10:4402-11.

 Moschovas MC, Bhat S, Sandri M, et al. Comparing the Approach to Radical Prostatectomy Using the Multiport da Vinci Xi and da Vinci SP Robots: A Propensity Score Analysis of Perioperative Outcomes. Eur Urol 2021;79:393-404.