

Comparison between single-port robotic radical prostatectomy and multiport robotic radical prostatectomy: reply letter

Yong Wei^{1,2}, Qianying Ji¹, Wenren Zuo¹, Shiyan Wang¹, Xinyi Wang¹, Qingyi Zhu^{1,2}

¹Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China; ²Department of Urology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Correspondence to: Qingyi Zhu. Department of Urology, Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, China. 155 Hanzhong Road, Qinhuai District, Nanjing, China. Email: drzhuqingy@163.com.

Response to: Tu J, Bao W, Yang J, et al. The comparison of single port and multiport robot radical prostatectomy in terms of efficacy and safety. Transl Androl Urol 2022;11:727-8.

Submitted Mar 29, 2022. Accepted for publication May 13, 2022. doi: 10.21037/tau-22-237 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-22-237

We would like to thank Wu *et al.* (1) for their comments on our research: "Efficacy and safety of single-port robotic radical prostatectomy and multiport robotic radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis" (2).

The reviewers (Wu *et al.*) said that we stated that after excluding the study by Moschovas *et al.* (3) in *Fig. 8*, the blood loss between the single-port robotic radical prostatectomy (SP) and multiport robotic radical prostatectomy (MP) groups changed from statistical difference (Z=2.37, P=0.02) to no statistical difference (Z=1.59, P=0.11). Our results were easily influenced by this study. According to the sensitivity analysis theory, we simply compared the I² changes before and after the removal of Moschovas *et al.*'s research.

Secondly, the reviewers said that we reported that there was no significant heterogeneity observed in *Fig.* 7 before and after the removal of Moschovas *et al.* (3). Moschovas *et al.*' study was not the source of the heterogeneity. We attempted to identify the research that was influencing the I^2 value to the greatest extent to test the sensitivity. We found that even the most obvious influencing research only slightly altered the I^2 value, and the other included literature has less impact on the results than Moschovas *et al.*'s study. In this way, the robustness of the analysis was guaranteed.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Andrology and Urology*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-22-237/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with

Wei et al. Comparison between SP and MP

the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Tu J, Bao W, Yang J, et al. The comparison of single port and multiport robot radical prostatectomy in terms of efficacy and safety. Transl Androl Urol 2022;11:727-8.
- 2. Wei Y, Ji Q, Zuo W, et al. Efficacy and safety of single port

Cite this article as: Wei Y, Ji Q, Zuo W, Wang S, Wang X, Zhu Q. Comparison between single-port robotic radical prostatectomy and multiport robotic radical prostatectomy: reply letter. Transl Androl Urol 2022;11(5):729-730. doi: 10.21037/tau-22-237

robotic radical prostatectomy and multiport robotic radical prostatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transl Androl Urol 2021;10:4402-11.

 Moschovas MC, Bhat S, Sandri M, et al. Comparing the Approach to Radical Prostatectomy Using the Multiport da Vinci Xi and da Vinci SP Robots: A Propensity Score Analysis of Perioperative Outcomes. Eur Urol 2021;79:393-404.

(English Language Editor: A. Kassem)