



How the blooming effect can interfere on choroidal vascularity index assessments using optical coherence tomography

Riccardo Costigliola[^], Andrea Valerio Marino[^], Isabella Fioretto[^]

Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry “Scuola Medica Salernitana”, University of Salerno, via S. Allende, 84081, Baronissi, Salerno, Italy

Correspondence to: Andrea Valerio Marino. Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry “Scuola Medica Salernitana”, University of Salerno, via S. Allende, 84081, Baronissi, Salerno, Italy. Email: andreamarino1@gmail.com.

Comment on: Zhou H, Lu J, Chen K, *et al.* Mitigating the effects of choroidal hyper- and hypo-transmission defects on choroidal vascularity index assessments using optical coherence tomography. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 2022;12:2932-46.

Submitted Jun 21, 2022. Accepted for publication Oct 27, 2022. Published online Nov 07, 2022.

doi: [10.21037/qims-22-653](https://doi.org/10.21037/qims-22-653)

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-22-653>

We read with great interest the article titled “Mitigating the effects of choroidal hyper- and hypo-transmission defects on choroidal vascularity index assessments using optical coherence tomography” by Zhou *et al.* (1). The authors deserve to be congratulated for their study, but we would like to add some comments.

Choroidal characteristics have been evaluated in several conditions. In this paper the authors propose a simulation model to reveal the impact of choroidal hyper/hypo-transmission defects in choroidal vascularity index (CVI) quantification (1). This index was first described by Sonoda *et al.* to try to better distinguish the luminal from the stromal area representing the ratio between vascular luminal area and total choroid (2). Since then, CVI has been largely used for such a purpose in the international literature (3). To overcome the choroidal hyper/hypo-transmission defects can improve CVI quantification, but unfortunately this is not the only problem of this kind of technique. Indeed, it can be influenced by the so-called blooming effect, as we already pointed out on another occasion (4).

Blooming effect is well known in the echographic field and consists of an artifact that makes difficult to obtain reliable measurements of the examined structures, mainly if

they are very small as in case of ocular and orbital structures (5-7). This effect is related to the signal amplification and seems to be present also in case of optical coherence tomography (OCT) and CVI evaluation. In other words, high signal amplification will make the image brighter, increasing the number of white pixels on the contrary, a low setting will decrease this number (8-10). In this way, the low reflective areas will appear smaller with high settings and larger utilizing low settings. As CVI is obtained converting an image from gray scale into a binary one, which has only black and white pixels, utilizing low settings the image will appear darker and the number of white pixels will be lower compared to the same image, utilizing a higher amplification setting. Utilizing a higher amplification, the low reflective luminal area will appear decreased compared to the same image obtained with lower amplification, making the comparison between pictures and the results unreliable. To avoid such a problem and to get reliable results, a standardization of the method, to the best of our knowledge, so far unavailable, should be needed.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

[^] ORCID: Riccardo Costigliola, 0000-0001-9924-4125; Andrea Valerio Marino, 0000-0003-0713-4231; Isabella Fioretto, 0000-0003-2694-3077.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was a standard submission to the journal. The article has undergone external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://qims.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/qims-22-653/coif>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Zhou H, Lu J, Chen K, Shi Y, Gregori G, Rosenfeld PJ, Wang RK. Mitigating the effects of choroidal hyper- and hypo-transmission defects on choroidal vascularity index assessments using optical coherence tomography. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 2022;12:2932-46.
2. Sonoda S, Sakamoto T, Yamashita T, Shirasawa M, Uchino E, Terasaki H, Tomita M. Choroidal structure in normal eyes and after photodynamic therapy determined by binarization of optical coherence tomographic images. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55:3893-9.
3. De Bernardo M, Vitiello L, Battipaglia M, Mascolo F, Iovino C, Capasso L, Ciacci C, Rosa N. Choroidal structural evaluation in celiac disease. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:16398.
4. Costigliola R, Marino AV, Fioretto I. Letter to the Editor: Changes in Choroidal Vascularity Index in Intermediate Uveitis. *Transl Vis Sci Technol* 2022;11:5.
5. Rosa N, De Bernardo M. Ultrasound assessment of optic nerve sheath diameter in healthy volunteers. *J Crit Care* 2017;40:279.
6. De Bernardo M, Vitiello L, De Pascale I, Capasso L, Cornetta P, Rosa N. Optic Nerve Ultrasound Evaluation in Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2022;9:845554.
7. Vitiello L, De Bernardo M, Capasso L, Cornetta P, Rosa N. Optic Nerve Ultrasound Evaluation in Animals and Normal Subjects. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2022;8:797018.
8. De Bernardo M, Vitiello L, Rosa N. A-scan ultrasonography and optic nerve sheath diameter assessment during acute elevations in intra-abdominal pressure. *Surgery* 2020;167:1023-4.
9. Capasso L, De Bernardo M, Vitiello L, Rosa N. Ultrasound Options for Measuring Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter in Children. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2021;22:e329-30.
10. De Bernardo M, Vitiello L, Rosa N. Optic nerve ultrasound measurement in multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2019;139:399-400.

Cite this article as: Costigliola R, Marino AV, Fioretto I. How the blooming effect can interfere on choroidal vascularity index assessments using optical coherence tomography. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 2023;13(1):558-559. doi: 10.21037/qims-22-653