

Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: [doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x](https://doi.org/10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x)). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		x
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		x
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.		x
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		x
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		x
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		x
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		x
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		x
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	IRB was approved by the Vanderbilt University Medical Center (1#41208). In the section of "Methods->Previous observation", the first paragraph.	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	The consent information is in "Methods->Previous observation", the first paragraph.	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	This is reported in "Methods->Previous observation", the first paragraph.	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		x
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.		x
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.	The study was only for testing new MRI method on healthy volunteers, so the “sample size determination”, “randomisation”, and “blinding” is not applicable.	x
Sample size determination		x
Randomisation		x
Blinding		x
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	<p>The IRB is for testing new MRI methods only, we use the below guidelines to exclude all individuals, who have</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • electronic implants, • cardiac pacemakers, • surgical clips, • if they have recently had any traumatic brain injury including but not limited to loss of consciousness, • if they have been or currently on any psychoactive medication, • if they are unable to perform the tasks because of disability. • women who are pregnant. • incapable of giving informed written consent • cannot adhere to the experimental protocols for any reason or have an inability to communicate with the researcher • subjects with claustrophobia 	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		x
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		x
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The study was approved by the IRB of Vanderbilt University Medical Center (#141208). This is stated in the section of “Methods->Previous observation”, the first paragraph.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		x
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		x
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		x

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.		x
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.		x
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.		x
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		x
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		x
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		x
State whether the code or software is available.		x
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		x

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.	We followed the MDAR guidelines.	
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.		x

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-21-959>.