STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page 1/Line 1-5	Abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Page 1/Line 5-26	Abstract
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page 2/Line 29-36	The introduction
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 2/Line 36-40	The introduction
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 2/Line 43-53	1.2 Instruments and Methods
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page 2/Line 44-45	1.1 Study participants
Participants	6	(a) ***Cohort study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up ***Case-control study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls ***Cross-sectional study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Page 2/Line 44-45	1.1 Study participants
		(b) ***Cohort study***—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed ***Case-control study***—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N/A	Reason: This paper is not a matching study
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Page 3/Line 53-64	1.2 Instruments and Methods
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give soDescribe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collectionurces of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Page 3/Line 53-81	1.2 Instruments and Methods 1.3 Follow-up nts and Methods
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Page 3/Line 64-65	1.2 Instruments and Methods
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Page3/Line 54-65	1.2 Instruments and Methods

Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Page 3/Line 53-64	1.2 Instruments and Methods

Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Page 3-4/Line 82-89	1.4 Statistical Analysis
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	N/A	Reason: This article does not have any methods for examining subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Page4/Line 53-64	1.2 Instruments and Methods
		(d) **Cohort study** −If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed **Case-control study** −If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed **Cross-sectional study** −If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	Page 3/Line 69-72	**Case-control study** 1.3 Follow-up
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A	Reason: This paper does not describe any sensitivity analyses
Results				
Participants	13*	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study−eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Page 4/Line 91-94	2.1 Analysis of Prenatal Ultrasound Data on Mediastinal Cysts
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A	Reason: All included patients were enrolled in this study
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A	Willing: This article does not use flow charts

Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Page 4-5/Line 95-120	2.2 Location of Mediastinal Cysts and Adjacency of Maximum Diameter to Anatomic Structures 2.3 Ultrasound Morphology, Cyst Wall Thickness, Calcification, and Intracystic Septal Features of Antenatal Mediastinal Cysts
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	N/A	Reason: There are no missing data in this paper
		(c) ***Cohort study***—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Page 6/Line 136-137	2.4 Postnatal Outcome Follow-up
Outcome data	15*	***Cohort study***—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	N/A	Reason: No Cohort study was used in this paper
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	Page 5-7/Line 121-161	3.4 Postnatal Outcome Follow-up
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	N/A	Reason: No cross-sectional study was used in this paper
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	N/A	Reason: No confounding factors were added in this paper
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	N/A	Reason: Continuous categorical variables were not used in this paper
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A	Reason: This method was not used in the statistics of this paper
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	N/A	Reason: Sensitivity analysis was not used in this paper
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 7-11/Line 171-287	3. Discussion
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page 11/Line 279-283	3. Discussion

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Page 10-11/Line 269-283	3. Discussion
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page 11/Line 280-283	3. Discussion
Other information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page 11/Line 289-291	Conflict of Interest

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Websites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-23-1591

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.