

Association of individual aortic leaflet calcification on paravalvular regurgitation and conduction abnormalities with self-expanding trans-catheter aortic valve insertion

Ciara Mahon¹, Allan Davies¹, Alessia Gambaro¹, Francesca Musella¹, Ana Luisa Costa¹, Vasileios Panoulas¹, Edward Nicol^{1,2}, Alison Duncan¹, Simon Davies¹, Saeed Mirsadraee¹

¹Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ²National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK

Correspondence to: Dr. Ciara Mahon. Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, Sydney Street, London, SW3 6NP, UK. Email: c.mahon@rbht.nhs.uk.

Background: Complication rates of paravalvular aortic regurgitation (PVR) and permanent pacemaker insertion remain high in patients undergoing trans-catheter aortic valve insertion for severe aortic stenosis. The spatial distribution of calcium between individual aortic valve leaflets, and its potential role in these complications is gaining interest. We aimed to assess the accuracy of individual aortic valve leaflet calcium quantification, and to determine its effect on the frequency of these complications.

Methods: This was a retrospective study of 251 patients who underwent trans-catheter aortic valve insertion using the Evolut RTM valve. The off-line Terarecon software platform was used for Agatston scoring the short axis views.

Results: There was a correlation between the sum of the individual leaflet and the total aortic valve calcium score. There was a univariate association between an increase [per 100 Agatston unit (AU)] in both right coronary leaflet (RCL) and left coronary leaflet (LCL) calcium with the risk of PVR. There was an association between an increase in LCL calcium score (per 100 AU) and need for post-implantation balloon aortic valvuloplasty (BAV). There was no association between individual leaflet calcification on the risk of permanent pacemaker insertion.

Conclusions: This study supports the idea that a quantifiable and reproducible method of individual valve leaflet calcification score may serve as an independent risk factor for paravalvular regurgitation, beyond visual assessment of asymmetry. However, the same may not be true of spatial calcium distribution and permanent pacemaker implantation (PPI).

Keywords: Trans-catheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI); paravalvular aortic regurgitation (PVR); permanent pacemaker insertion

Submitted Oct 06, 2020. Accepted for publication Dec 29, 2020. doi: 10.21037/qims-20-1122 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-20-1122

Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Trans-catheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is a wellestablished therapeutic option for patients with severe calcific aortic stenosis. Acute and medium-term results are encouraging (1-6), but potential limitations include higher rates of paravalvular aortic regurgitation (PVR) (7-11) and permanent pacemaker implantation (PPI) (12) compared 8 to surgical prostheses. This may impact on longer term 9 outcomes. Acutely, severe PVR is poorly tolerated and 10 can be associated with cardiac failure, longer recovery 11 time and increased in-hospital mortality (10,13,14), with 12 mild to moderate PVR leading to less favourable clinical 13 outcomes (15). PPI rates post TAVI are higher compared 14 to surgical aortic valve replacement and range from
5-25% depending on the type of valve implanted (12). PPI
increases the duration of hospitalisation, the rate of rehospitalisation and other potential complications (12).

There is increasing interest not only in quantifying total 19 aortic valve calcification, but also the spatial distribution of 20 aortic leaflet calcification and the effects of specific calcific 21 distribution on clinical outcomes following TAVI. The 22 severity of calcification of the non-coronary leaflet (NCL) 23 was associated with significant PVR immediately after 24 TAVI using the first generation self-expanding Medtronic 25 CorevalveTM (Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) (16). 26 NCL calcification is also an independent predictor of PPI 27 post TAVI using the balloon expandable SAPIEN 3TM valve 28 (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) (17)⁻ The aim 29 of this study was to further add to this knowledge base by 30 assessing the feasibility of measuring the spatial distribution 31 of aortic valve leaflet calcification in a large cohort of 32 patients undergoing TAVI using the Medtronic Evolut RTM 33 34 valve system, and to analyse the impact on the frequency and severity of PVR and PPI. 35

36

37 38 Methods

39 Patient population and TAVI procedure

40 This was a retrospective observational single centre 41 42 study. Consecutive patients who had undergone TAVI with the self-expandable Medtronic Evolut RTM valve 43 between January 2014 and November 2017 were eligible 44 for inclusion. We chose these dates as it marked the 45 beginning of the centre's experience with the Evolut 46 R^{TM} , after transitioning from original CoreValveTM, and 47 before transitioning again to the Evolut ProTM. Exclusion 48 criteria included patients undergoing TAVI using other 49 valve systems (either balloon or self-expandable), patients 50 undergoing "valve-in-valve" TAVI, bicuspid aortic valves, 51 or where the indication was for aortic regurgitation. 52 Patients with a pre-existing pacemaker were excluded from 53 analysis of pacing outcomes and conduction changes on the 54 electrocardiograph, but these patients were included for 55 analysis of PVR. TAVI was performed using either sedation 56 or general anaesthesia. Ethical approval was obtained from 57 the institutional ethics board. 58

59

60 61 *Measurement of aortic valve calcification*

62 Multi-detector computer tomography (CT) assessment of

the aortic valve was performed on a Siemens SOMATOM 63 Definition Flash Dual Source scanner (Siemens Medical 64 Solutions Inc., Forchheim, Germany). Patients underwent 65 electrocardiogram (ECG) triggered non-contrast CT 66 in diastole for the assessment of aortic valve calcium 67 using a tube voltage of 80-120 kV, and the tube current 68 was adjusted based on the body habitus. Images were 69 reconstructed with filter back projection (512 matrix size, 70 slice thickness of 3-mm). The aortic valve calcium score was 71 measured on a dedicated workstation (Terarecon Intuition 72 software, Terarecon Medical Imaging, Frankfurt, Germany), 73 (Figure 1). Regions of interests were drawn around the 74 aortic valve leaflet and annular calcification. Multiplanar 75 re-orientation of the aortic root allows identification and 76 measurement of the individual aortic valve leaflets, and to 77 exclude non-leaflet calcification. Calcium in the coronary 78 arteries, mitral valve annulus, left ventricular outflow tract 79 (LVOT), and aortic sinus were excluded (18). Total calcium 80 score was measured on axial images. 81

The analysis of the calcium score in each individual 82 leaflet was performed on short axis reconstructions. The 83 quantifications were performed by two experienced observers 84 who were blinded to clinical and echocardiographic data 85 and who were also blinded to the original aortic valve 86 calcium score measurement made at the time of the clinical 87 CT reporting. 88

A pilot cohort of 23 patients was used to assess interobserver variability in measurements of calcium scores 90 within each leaflet. Assessment of agreement between 91 the total calcium score, measured at the time of clinical 92 CT reporting, and the sum of the scores of the individual 93 leaflets was also undertaken. 94

Study end-points

Paravalvular aortic regurgitation

Peri-procedural PVR was assessed using angiography with 99 a pigtail catheter placed in the ascending aorta. PVR was 100 then formally assessed with transthoracic echocardiography 101 prior to discharge and typically within 3 days after 102 implantation. Assessment of the aortic valve was made 103 using a combination of parasternal long-axis views, apical 104 5 chamber and apical 3 chamber views using conventional 105 criteria. PVR was classified as mild, moderate or severe. We 106 defined significant PVR as moderate or greater PVR on a 107 pre-discharge echocardiogram. A secondary endpoint was 108 the need for immediate balloon aortic valvuloplasty (BAV), 109 or a second TAVI because of significant PVR. 110

95

96

97



Figure 1 Aortic valve and individual aortic valve leaflet calcification assessment. (A-C) Multi-planar re-orientation of the aortic root allowing alignment to delineate the individual aortic leaflets and to avoid ascending aorta or other calcium; (D-F) demonstrates the measurement of individual aortic leaflet calcium in the absence of ascending aorta calcium; (G-I) demonstrates the measurement of total aortic (2,954 AU) in the absence of ascending aorta calcium. LCL, left coronary leaflet; NCL, non-coronary leaflet; RCL, right coronary leaflet; AU, Agatston unit.

111 Permanent pacemaker insertion and conduction

112 abnormalities

All patients without a pre-existing permanent pacemaker
were assessed immediately post implantation for significant
conduction abnormalities and the decision to proceed

116 with PPI was at the discretion of the treating cardiologist,

in consultation with an electrophysiologist. The patient's 117
medical record was searched for the most recent 12-lead 118
ECG before TAVI and for a 12-lead ECG performed post 119
TAVI but prior to discharge. The PR interval and QRS 120
duration were recorded as well as the presence of new onset 121
bundle branch block (BBB). 122

123 Statistical analysis

124 Continuous variables are displayed as mean and standard 125 deviation, or median and interquartile range if not normally 126 distributed. Categorical variables are displayed as count and 127 percentage. Comparison between continuous variables was 128 made using t-tests or Mann-Whitney U test depending on 129 the normality of the data. Chi-square or Fisher exact tests 130 were used to compare categorical variables. Assessment of 131 agreement between the sum of the off-line measured aortic 132 valve leaflet calcium score and the originally measured 133 result was performed using t-tests, Spearman correlation 134 coefficient and Bland-Altman plots. We performed 135 univariate and multivariate logistic regression to analyse 136 the effect of the aortic valve leaflet calcium score on the 137 risk for PVR and PPI. Because of the limited number of 138 events of PVR, we adjusted for trans-catheter heart valve 139 140 under sizing (dichotomous variable defined based on the 141 manufacturer's CT derived perimeter recommended size and the actual implanted size) and eccentricity index of 142 >0.25 (1 - dmin/dmax) (19) in the multivariate analysis. All 143 statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 14 144 (StataCorp, TX, USA). 145

147 **Results**

We identified 251 consecutive patients who had undergone TAVI using the Medtronic Evolut \mathbb{R}^{TM} between January 2014 and December 2017, baseline characteristics were obtained (*Table 1*).

153 154

146

148

Assessment of aortic valve leaflet calcium scores

We performed a pilot study with 23 patients to assess the 156 inter-observer variability in the measurement of the aortic 157 valve leaflet calcium. Two investigators independently 158 measured the Agatston score in each aortic valve leaflet, 159 blinded to the results of the other with a high degree 160 of correlation between measurements with each leaflet 161 measurement demonstrating correlation coefficients greater 162 than 0.92 (Figure 2). Bland-Altman plots did not show any 163 evidence of systematic bias. 164

The mean total sum of the scores was $3,661\pm1,995$ Agatston unit (AU) in males and $2,802\pm1,516$ AU in females. The mean difference between the sum of the individual scores and the overall score was 23 [95% confidence interval (CI): -17.22 to 76.77]. There was a high correlation (Spearman correlation coefficient =0.96) between the sum aflet calcium scores and

of the individual aortic valve leaflet calcium scores and171the originally calculated total aortic valve calcium score172(*Figure 3*). Bland-Altman plots (*Figure 4*) showed no visual173evidence of systematic bias between the two scores.174

In our study group, the NCL had the highest mean 175 calcium score $(1,210\pm726 \text{ AU})$ and the right coronary leaflet 176 (RCL) had the lowest calcium score $(953\pm644 \text{ AU})$. There 177 was a statistically significant difference in calcium scores 178 between the left coronary leaflet (LCL) and RCL (P<0.001), 179 the LCL and NCL (P=0.04), and the NCL and RCL 180 (P<0.001) (*Table 2*). 181

Paravalvular aortic regurgitation

At the time of discharge, echocardiographic information 185 was available for 241 patients. The majority of patients 186 (217; 90%) had mild or less PVR, and 24 patients (10%) 187 had moderate or greater PVR. A 100 AU increase in RCL 188 calcium score was associated with increased risk of PVR on 189 both univariate [odds ratio (OR): 1.08; 95% CI: 1.02-1.13] 190 and multivariate (OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 1.01-1.13) analysis. 191 The LCL calcium score was also associated with increased 192 risk of PVR on univariate (OR: 1.06; 95% CI: 1.01-1.11) 193 and multivariate (OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 1.00-1.10) (Table 3). 194 No association was found between the NCL calcium score 195 and risk of PVR. 196

Association between aortic valve calcium score and need for post-implantation BAV

There was a statistically significant association between 201 an increase in the aortic valve calcium score (per 100 AU 202 increase) and need for post-implantation BAV on both 203 univariate (OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 1.01-1.034, P=0.002) and 204 after adjustment for under sizing and patients with high 205 eccentricity index (OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 1.007-1.036). The 206 association was strongest with increases in LCL calcium, 207 and this was significant on both univariate and multivariate 208 assessment (Table 4). 209

Effect of AV calcium score on need for pacing and change in conduction

Out of 251 patients, 24 patients had received a PPI prior 214 to TAVI and were therefore excluded from analysis. Of 215 the remaining 227 patients, 51 (22.5%) underwent PPI 216 after TAVI. There was no association identified between a 217 100 AU increase in the total AV calcium score and risk 218

182

183

184

197

198

199 200

210

211

Table 1 Baseline characteristics

Variables	Total (n=270)
Age (years)	83 [78–86]
Male sex, n (%)	148 (54.81)
BMI	26.9 (23.5–30.3)
Diabetes, n (%)	
Non insulin dependent	40 (14.81)
Insulin dependent	13 (4.81)
Dyslipidaemia, n (%)	155 (<mark>37.XX</mark>)
Hypertension, n (%)	165 (61.57)
CVA or TIA, n (%)	22 (8.15)
Smoking history, n (%)	140 (51.85)
COPD, n (%)	33 (12.22)
Previous MI, n (%)	32 (11.85)
Peripheral vascular disease, n (%)	18 (6.67)
History of AF, n (%)	77 (28.51)
Previous CABG, n (%)	17 (6.30)
Medications, n (%)	
Aspirin	142 (53.18)
Statins	165 (61.57)
Beta blockers	78 (28.89)
ACE or ARB	80 (29.63)
Aldosterone antagonists	27 (10.04)
Digoxin	16 (5.99)
Calcium channel blockers	25 (9.33)
P2Y12 inhibitor	98 (36.29)
Echocardiogram	
Peak AV gradient (mmHg)	78.7±23.11
LVEF (%)	54±11.6
Aortic valve area (cm ²)	0.70±0.18
Moderate or greater MR (n=261), n (%)	17 (6.51)
Valve type, n (%)	
Corevalve	17 (6.30)
Evolut R	251 (92.96)
Evolut Pro	2 (0.74)

Table 1 (continued)

Table 1 (continued)	
Variables	Total (n=270)
Valve size, n (%)	
23 mm	51 (18.89)
26 mm	113 (41.85)
29 mm	100 (37.04)
31 mm	2 (0.74)
34 mm	4 (1.48)

Continuous variables with normal distributions are presented as mean (standard deviation); non-normal variables were reported as median (interquartile range). BMI, body mass index; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; TIA, transient ischemic attack; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; MI, myocardial infarction; AF, atrial fibrillation; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin-receptor blocker; AV, aortic valve; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MR, mitral regurgitation.

of PPM insertion (OR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.99–1.03). When 219 individual valve leaflets were assessed, no significant 220 association was found (LCL: OR: 1.03, 95% CI: 0.99–1.07; 221 NCL: OR: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.98–1.06; RCL: OR: 1.01, 95% 222 CI: 0.97–1.06). There was no identified association between 223 the presence of LVOT calcification and risk of PPI (OR: 224 0.86; 95% CI: 0.38–1.93). 225

We analysed differences in PR interval in patients with 226 sinus rhythm before and after the TAVI: in 146 patients 227 with recorded pre- and post-procedure PR intervals. 228 The mean increase in PR interval duration after TAVI 229 in patients with below median NCL calcium scores was 230 5.1 ± 23.8 compared to 12.3 ± 32.1 ms (P=0.13) with above 231 median calcium scores. A similar difference in PR interval 232 elongation was found with below vs. above median LCL 233 calcium scores (6.2±26.3 vs. 11.3±30.2 ms, P=0.28), while 234 there was minimal difference when assessing RCL scores 235 (*Figure 5A*, *B*, *C*), There were no differences in the degree of 236 QRS duration elongation after TAVI in patients with above 237 or below median calcium scores in each individual valve 238 leaflet (Figure 5D,E,F) (RCL P=0.40, LCL P=0.41, and 239 NCL P=0.99) 240

Discussion

Our study aimed to demonstrate the feasibility of individual 244

241 242



Figure 2 Aortic valve and individual aortic valve leaflet calcification scores. (A) Aortic valve calcium score trans-axial view; and (B) aortic valve calcium score en-face view. LCL, left coronary leaflet; NCL, non-coronary leaflet; RCL, right coronary leaflet; AU, Agatston unit.

aortic leaflet calcification measurement and to investigate its
association between PVR and PPI in a contemporary cohort
of patients treated with the Evolut RTM valve system.

Firstly, we have demonstrated that measurement of 248 individual aortic valve calcium scores is feasible using the 249 short axial stack, previous studies have looked at the trans-250 axial view that is utilized. It demonstrated close agreement 251 to the score obtained when measuring total valve calcium 2.52 score with the Agatston method. This adds to the growing 253 data on measurement of aortic valve calcium, which has 254 255 already shown high levels of agreement between pre-surgery CT aortic valve calcium scores and ex-vivo calcium content 256 using the volume scoring system (20). Commercially 257 available software platforms (GE, Philips, Siemens, 258 3mensio) have also shown strong levels of agreement with 259 comparable results for calcium scoring using the volume 260 score (19). Terarecon was not included in this analysis 261 and we believe this is the first study to demonstrate that 262 Terarecon can be used for quantifying aortic valve calcium 263 with good agreement between individual calcium leaflet 264 scores and the total calcium score using the Agatston 265 method. 266

267 Secondly, we looked at the consequences of calcification 268 on PVR. It is well accepted that the presence of extensive 269 calcification in the landing zone precludes complete 270 prosthesis expansion and its precise apposition to the native 271 valve and LVOT (17,21-26). Delgado *et al.* was one of the 272 first groups that used multi-detector computer tomography (MDCT) to demonstrate an association between the 273 degree of calcification and PVR in TAVI patients (26). 274 More recently attention has focused on the effects of 275 individual aortic leaflet and LVOT calcification. Significant 276 calcification of the NCL has been associated with 277 significant PVR using the first generation self-expanding 278 Medtronic CorevalveTM (16). Conversely, our experience 279 with the second-generation Medtronic Corevalve Evolut 280 $R^{\mbox{\tiny TM}}$ demonstrated that RCL and LCL calcification was 281 more strongly associated with PVR than NCL calcification, 282 even after adjustment for elliptical annulus and valve sizing 283 relative to the CT derived perimeter measurement. This is 284 likely secondary to differences in frame design between the 285 devices. Compared with the CorevalveTM device, the Evolut 286 RTM device frame design provides more consistent radial 287 force across the annular range, and the sealing skirt. Sealing 288 skirts have been shown to lower the rates of PVR (27). The 289 newer Medtronic devices also have ability to recapture 290 and reposition the device (5,28), which allows operators 291 to achieve optimal valve position and to minimise PVR. 292 Although multivariate analysis was performed, it is difficult 293 to determine which if any of these features may contribute 294 to changes in PVR severity and position. Moreover, perhaps 295 the explanation is similar in that asymmetry of the aortic 296 valve calcium. In this study a difference of 100 HU between 297 the RCL or LCL and the NCL predisposed to PVR. 298 Of note the Acurate neo valveTM (Boston Scientific) has 299 also developed their own new frame design to offer more 300 1976



Figure 3 Intra-observer variability. (A-C) Scatter plots with line of best fit and spearman correlation coefficients for measured individual aortic valve leaflet scores between two independent blinded reporting doctors.

consistent radial force. However, the SCOPE I trial showed 301 302 that TAVI with the self-expanding Acurate neo valveTM did 303 not meet criteria for non-inferiority compared with balloonexpandable Sapien 3TM valve among patients undergoing 304 trans-femoral TAVI with respect to PVR (29). The Sapien 305 3TM device has a novel flared inflow morphology which 306



Figure 4 The relationship between total aortic valve calcification and the sum of individual aortic leaflet calcification. (A) Scatter plot comparing routinely measured aortic valve calcium score with the sum of the scores of each individual aortic valve leaflet; (B) Bland-Altman plot demonstrating no evidence of systematic bias between each measurement method.

may also contribute to a lesser degree of PVR with new generation devices (30). 309

Thirdly, we found no significant association between 310 individual calcium leaflet burden and risk of PPI. Further, 311 there was no identified association between the presence 312 of LVOT calcification and risk of PPI. The PPI rate post 313 CoreValve Evolut RTM prosthesis has been evaluated in 314 numerous studies and varies from 14.7% (31) to 26.7% (3). 315 Previously, identified risk factors for PPI, primarily 316 with self-expanding design, include RBBB, low device 317 implantation, conduction system abnormalities, and ratio 318 of bioprosthesis diameter to LVOT diameter (29,31,32). 319 A lack of association seen in this study may again be 320

308

Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery, Vol 11, No 5 May 2021

Variables	Calcium score (AU)	Indexed for BSA (AU/m ²)	Indexed for perimeter (AU/cm)		
LCL calcium score	1,119±768	637±436	14.47±9.5		
RCL calcium score	953±644	541±371	12.31±7.8		
NCL calcium score	1,210±727	696±417	15.71±8.68		
Total	3,278±1,844	1,872±1,054	41.91±22.56		
AV calcium score	3,244±1,896	1,855±1,088	42.44±22.01		

Table 2 Individual aortic valve leaflet Agatston scores

Agatston scores indexed for BSA; and Agatston scores indexed for CT derived perimeter measurements. BSA, body surface area; CT, computed tomography; AU, Agatston unit; LCL, left coronary leaflet; RCL, right coronary leaflet; NCL, non-coronary leaflet; AV, aortic valve.

Table 3 Association between aortic valve leaflet calcium score (per 100 AU increase) and paravalvular leak on univariate analysis; and adjusted for valve under sizing and annulus eccentricity

Variables	Univariate analysis		Adjusted for valve under sizing and annulus eccentricity	
	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
NCL calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.04 (0.98–1.09)	0.18	1.03 (0.98–1.08)	0.305
LCL calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.06 (1.01–1.11)	0.02	1.05 (1–1.1)	0.047
RCL calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.08 (1.02–1.14)	0.007	1.07 (1.01–1.13)	0.021
AV calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.02 (1.01–1.04)	0.011	1.02 (1–1.04)	0.033

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; AU, Agatston unit; NCL, non-coronary leaflet; LCL, left coronary leaflet; RCL, right coronary leaflet; AV, aortic valve.

Table 4 Association between aortic valve leaflet calcium sco	re (per 100 AU increase	e) and requirement for p	oost-TAVI balloon	valvuloplasty; and
adjusted for valve under sizing and annulus eccentricity				

Variables	Univariate analysis		Adjusted for valve under sizing and annulus eccentricity	
	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
NCL calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.03 (0.99–1.07)	0.103	1.03 (0.99–1.07)	0.145
LCL calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.07 (1.03–1.11)	<0.001	1.07 (1.03–1.11)	0.001
RCL calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.04 (1–1.09)	0.045	1.04 (1–1.08)	0.074
AV calcium score (per 100 AU increase)	1.02 (1.01–1.04)	0.002	1.02 (1.01–1.04)	0.004

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; AU, Agatston unit; LCL, left coronary leaflet; NCL, non-coronary leaflet; RCL, right coronary leaflet; AV, aortic valve.

explained in part by the frame design. The aortic valve
is in close anatomical proximity to the AV node and left
bundle branch (33). Applying consistent radial force across
the annular range, rather than a focus in the annulus, such
as near the AV node. Data from CT scans performed in
patients treated with the SAPIEN 3TM showed that the
amount of calcification in the device landing zone was

independently associated with post-TAVI PPI (16,26,34). 328 Direct mechanical trauma or compression of the AV node 329 or the left bundle branch by balloon dilation or prosthesis 330 implantation can cause a high-degree AV block or left 331 bundle BBB during or after TAVI (35). Our findings are 332 consistent with previous studies that the second-generation 333 Medtronic Corevalve Evolut RTM rates of PPI are 23%. 334





Figure 5 Column charts demonstrating (A-C) the increase in PR interval post TAVI in the RCL, LCL and NCL respectively above and below the median; (D-F) the increase in QRS interval post TAVI the RCL, LCL and NCL respectively above and below the median. TAVI, trans-catheter aortic valve implantation; LCL, left coronary leaflet; NCL, non-coronary leaflet; RCL, right coronary leaflet.

382 383

1979

384 385 386

400

401

411

412

413

418

However, there was no predisposition to spatial distribution 335 of calcium on PPI rates. Aortic valve calcium score 336 appeared to have a larger effect on PR interval compared to 337 QRS interval although this was not statistically significant. 338 Further, it should be noted that the bundle of His and 339 branches sit below the valve annulus so the displacement of 340 the valve leaflets into the sinuses may not necessarily cause 341 conduction problems. This would also explain why little 342 association was found between valve leaflet calcium scores 343 and lengthening of QRS duration. 344

Finally, the anatomical proximity to the conduction 345 system of LVOT calcification may play an important 346 determinant for risk of conduction abnormalities and 347 pacing too. Indeed, the Lotus valve showed an independent 348 association between the LVOT calcification as assessed with 349 CT and increased risk of post-TAVI PPI (36). However, 350 there was no identified association between the presence of 351 LVOT calcification and risk of PPI in our study. 352

One limitation of this study is our use of the Agatston 353 scoring method over the volume method. The specification 354 for calcium scoring were developed for electron beam 355 CT scanners and have since been adapted to modern dual 356 source scanners and MDCT scanners (37). The Agatston 357 scoring system gives a maximum CT attenuation to each 358 lesion. A weight of 1 is given for attenuation of 130 to 199; 359 2 for 200 to 299; 3 for 300 to 399; and 4 for attenuation 360 \geq 400 (18). In contrast, the volume score does not apply 361 a density weighting. It simply measures the volume of 362 calcium (pixels with HU >130). We chose the Agatston 363 score as it is the standard technique for the evaluation of 364 aortic valve severity. There is reported improved interscan 365 reproducibility with the volume method compared to the 366 Agatston method, although any differences are modest (38). 367 With a relatively large slice thickness (3 mm on MDCT) 368 small and low-density calcifications may not reach the 369 130 HU threshold due to partial volume effect, however, 370 given the high burden of calcium in severe aortic stenosis 371 this would not significantly affect our result. Further, the 372 reproducibility of calcium score does decrease with large 373 slice thickness, however, this is likely more significant in 374 small calcification deposits, in particular in the coronary 375 calcium setting. That is to say the larger the calcium 376 burden, it is anticipated that there would be a smaller 377 standard error. The high degree of correlation with inter-378 observer measurements would support this. Further recent 379 advances in iterative reconstruction have improved signal to 380 381 noise in the processed image, potentially also allowing for increased calcium-score accuracy (39,40) with the Agatston method.

Conclusions

387 Individual aortic valve leaflet calcium quantification is 388 feasible using the short axis view on Terarecon software 389 platform, and correlates well with the total calcium score. 390 Asymmetry in the severely calcified aortic valve at the 391 RCL and LCL as determined by aortic leaflet calcification 392 difference of 100 HU was associated with an increased 393 risk of PVR with the Medtronic Evolut RTM valve system. 394 This supports the idea that a quantifiable and reproducible 395 method of individual valve leaflet calcification score may 396 serve as an independent risk factor for PVR, beyond visual 397 assessment of asymmetry. However, the same may not be 398 true of spatial calcium distribution and PPI. 399

Acknowledgments

402 This manuscript has not been previously published or 403 submitted for publication elsewhere except as a brief 404 abstract in the proceedings of a scientific meeting or 405 symposium. The abstract of our manuscript was submitted 406 to the 2020 JCCT 15th Annual Scientific Meeting and 407 was accepted as an oral presentation. All accepted original 408 science presentations have been published in the July issue 409 of Journal of Cardiovascular Computer Tomography, 2020. 410 Funding: None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE414uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.415org/10.21037/qims-20-1122). The authors have no conflicts416of interest to declare.417

Ethical Statement: The study was approved by institutional 419 ethics board of Royal Brompton and Harefield Trust and 420 individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. 421 422

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article423distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons424Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International425License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-426commercial replication and distribution of the article with427the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the428

429 original work is properly cited (including links to both the
430 formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license).
431 See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

433

434 **References**

Ussia GP, Barbanti M, Petronio AS, Tarantini G, Ettori F, Colombo A, Violini R, Ramondo A, Santoro G, Klugmann
S, Bedogni F, Maisano F, Marzocchi A, Poli A, De Carlo
M, Napodano M, Fiorina C, De Marco F, Antoniucci
D, de Cillis E, Capodanno D, Tamburino C; CoreValve

Italian Registry Investigators. Transcatheter aortic
 valve implantation: 3-year outcomes of self-expanding

442 Valve implantation: 5-year outcomes of sen-expanding 443 CoreValve prosthesis. Eur Heart J 2012;33:969-76.

- Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack MJ, Makkar RR, Svensson
 LG, Kodali SK, Thourani VH, Tuzcu EM, Miller DC,
 Herrmann HC, Doshi D, Cohen DJ, Pichard AD, Kapadia
- 447 S, Dewey T, Babaliaros V, Szeto WY, Williams MR,

448 Kereiakes D, Zajarias A, Greason KL, Whisenant BK,

Hodson RW, Moses JW, Trento A, Brown DL, Fearon
WF, Pibarot P, Hahn RT, Jaber WA, Anderson WN, Alu

MC, Webb JG; PARTNER 2 Investigators. Transcatheter
or surgical aortic-valve replacement in intermediate-risk
patients. N Engl J Med 2016;374:1609-20.

- 455
 454
 Reardon MJ, Van Mieghem NM, Popma JJ, Kleiman
 455
 456
 Maini B, Gada H, Chetcuti S, Gleason T, Heiser
- J, Lange R, Merhi W, Oh JK, Olsen PS, Piazza N,

458 Williams M, Windecker S, Yakubov SJ, Grube E,

459 Makkar R, Lee JS, Conte J, Vang E, Nguyen H, Chang

Y, Mugglin AS, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP; SURTAVI
Investigators. Surgical or transcatheter aortic-valve

replacement in intermediate-risk patients. N Engl J Med2017;376:1321-31.

Herrmann HC, Thourani VH, Kodali SK, Makkar RR,
 Szeto WY, Anwaruddin S, Desai N, Lim S, Malaisrie
 SC, Kereiakes DJ, Ramee S, Greason KL, Kapadia S,
 Babaliaros V, Hahn RT, Pibarot P, Weissman NJ, Leipsic

Babaliaros V, Hahn RT, Pibarot P, Weissman NJ, Leip
J, Whisenant BK, Webb JG, Mack MJ, Leon MB;

- PARTNER Investigators. One-year clinical outcomes with
 SAPIEN 3 transcatheter aortic valve replacement in high-
- 471 risk and inoperable patients with severe aortic stenosis.472 Circulation 2016;134:130-40.
- 5. Popma JJ, Reardon MJ, Khabbaz K, Harrison JK,
- 474 Hughes GC, Kodali S, George I, Deeb GM, Chetcuti S,
- 475 Kipperman R, Brown J, Qiao H, Slater J, Williams M.
- 476 Early clinical outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve

replacement using a novel self-expanding bioprosthesis 477 in patients with severe aortic stenosis who are suboptimal 478 for surgery: results of the Evolut R U.S. study. JACC 479 Cardiovasc Interv 2017;10:268-75. 480 6. Généreux P, Head SJ, Wood DA, Kodali SK, Williams 481 MR, Paradis JM, Spaziano M, Kappetein AP, Webb 482 JG, Cribier A, Leon MB. Transcatheter aortic valve 483 implantation: 10-year anniversary. Part II: clinical 484 implications. Eur Heart J 2012;33:2399-402. 485 Tamburino C, Capodanno D, Ramondo A, Petronio AS, 7. 486 Ettori F, Santoro G, Klugmann S, Bedogni F, Maisano 487 F, Marzocchi A, Poli A, Antoniucci D, Napodano M, De 488 Carlo M, Fiorina C, Ussia GP. Incidence and predictors 489 of early and late mortality after transcatheter aortic valve 490 implantation in 663 patients with severe aortic stenosis. 491 Circulation 2011;123:299-308. 492 De Jaegere PP, Piazza N, Galema TW, Otten A, Soliman 8. 493 OI, Van Dalen BM, Geleijnse ML, Kappetein AP, Garcia 494 HM, Van Es GA, Serruys PW. Early echocardiographic 495 evaluation following percutaneous implantation with the 496 self-expanding CoreValve Revalving System aortic valve 497 bioprosthesis. EuroIntervention 2008;4:351-7. 498 9. Abdel-Wahab M, Zahn R, Horack M, Gerckens U, 499 Schuler G, Sievert H, Eggebrechy H, Senges J, Richart 500 G. Aortic regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve 501 implantation: incidence and early outcome. Results from 502 the German transcatheter aortic valve interventions 503 registry. Heart 2011;97:899-906. 504 10. Sinning JM, Hammerstingl C, Vasa-Nicotera M, Adenauer 505 V, Cachiguango SJL, Scheer AC, Hausen S, Sedaghat 506 A, Ghanem A, Muller C, Grube E, Nickenig G, Nikos 507 W. Aortic regurgitation index defines severity of peri-508 prosthetic regurgitation and predicts outcome in patients 509 after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. J Am Coll 510 Cardiol 2012;59:1134-41. 511 512 A, Simonato M, Jensen KT, Christiansen EH, Terkelsen 513 514

- 11. Hansson NC, Leipsic J, Pugliese F, Andersen HR, Rossi
 A, Simonato M, Jensen KT, Christiansen EH, Terkelsen
 CJ, Blanke P, Tang M, Krussell LR, Klaaborg KE, Terp
 K, Kennon S, Dvir D, Botker HE, Webb, Norgaard
 BL. Aortic valve and left ventricular outflow tract
 calcium volume and distribution in transcatheter aortic
 valve replacement: Influence on the risk of significant
 paravalvular regurgitation. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr
 2018;12:290-7.
- Buellesfeld L, Stortecky S, Heg D, Gloekler S, Meier
 B, Wenaweser P, Windecker S. Extent and distribution
 of calcification of both the aortic annulus and the left
 523

Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery, Vol 11, No 5 May 2021

1981

ventricular outflow tract predict aortic regurgitation after 524 transcatheter aortic valve replacement. EuroIntervention 525 2014;10:732-8. 526 13. Kodali SK, Williams MR, Smith CR, Svensson LG, Webb 527 JG, Makkar RR, Fontana GP, Dewey TM, Thourani VH, 528 Pichard AD, Fischbein M, Szeto WY, Lim S, Greason 529 KL, Teirstein PS, Malaisrie SC, Douglas PS, Hahn RT, 530 Whisenant B, Zajarias A, Wang D, Akin JJ, Anderson 531 532 WN, Leon MB; PARTNER Trial Investigators. Twoyear outcomes after transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve 533 replacement. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1686-95. 534 14. Athappan G, Patvardhan E, Tuzcu EM, Svensson LG, 535 Lemos PA, Fraccaro C, Tarantini G, Sinning JM, Nickenig 536 G, Capodanno D, Tamburino C, Latib A, Colombo A, 537 Kapadia S. Incidence, predictors, and outcomes of aortic 538 regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: 539 meta-analysis and systematic review of literature. J Am 540 Coll Cardiol 2013;61:1585-95. 541 15. Hayashida K, Lefèvre T, Chevalier B, Hovasse T, Romano 542 M, Garot P, Bouvier E, Farge A, Donzeau-Gouge P, 543 Cormier B, Morice MC. Impact of post-procedural aortic 544 regurgitation on mortality after transcatheter aortic valve 545 implantation. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2012;5:1247-56. 546 547 16. Maeno Y, Abramowitz Y, Kawamori H, Kazuno Y, Kubo S, Takahashi N, Mangat G, Okuyama K, Kashif 548 549 M, Chakravarty T, Nakamura M, Cheng W, Friedman, Berman D, Makkar RR, Jilaihawi H. A highly predictive 550 risk model for pacemaker implantation after TAVR. JACC 551 Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;10:1139-47. 552 17. Terré JA, George I, Smith CR. Pros and cons of 553 transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI). Ann 554 Cardiothorac Surg 2017;6:444-52. 555 18. Pawade T, Sheth T, Guzzetti E, Dweck MR, Clavel MA. 556 Why and how to measure aortic valve calcification in 557 patients with aortic stenosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 558 2019;12:1835-48. 559 19. Gorla R, Marco F De, Morganti S, Finotello A, Brambilla 560 N, Testa L, Agnifili ML, Tusa M, Auricchio F, Bedogni 561 562 F. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation with Portico and Evolut-R in patients with elliptic aortic annulus. 563 564 EuroIntervention 2020;15:e1588-91. 20. Messika-Zeitoun D, Aubry MC, Detaint D, Bielak LF, 565 Peyser PA, Sheedy PF, Turner ST, Breen JF, Scott C, 566 Tajik AJ, Enriquez-Sarano M. Evaluation and clinical 567 implications of aortic valve calcification measured by 568 electron-beam computed tomography. Circulation 569 2004;110:356-62. 570 21. Haensig M, Lehmkuhl L, Rastan AJ, Kempfert J, 571

Mukherjee C, Gutberlet M, Holzhey DM, Mohr FW.572Aortic valve calcium scoring is a predictor of significant573paravalvular aortic insufficiency in transapical-aortic valve574implantation. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012;41:1234-40;575discussion 1240-1.576

- Détaint D, Lepage L, Himbert D, Brochet E, Messika-Zeitoun D, Iung B, Vahanian A. Determinants of
 significant paravalvular regurgitation after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: impact of device and annulus
 discongruence. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:821-7.
- 23. Yoon SH, Ahn JM, Ohno Y, Barbanti M, Chan J, Lin MS, 582 Kim YH, Yang DH, Sugiyama D, Chan KT, Immé S, 583 Tamburino CI, Sgroi C, Park DW, Kang SJ, Lee SW, Lee 584 CW, Park SW, Lee M, Kao HL, Tamburino C, Park SJ. 585 Predictors for paravalvular regurgitation after TAVR with 586 the self-expanding prosthesis: quantitative measurement 587 of MDCT analysis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 588 2016;9:1233-4. 589
- 24. Almeida JG, Ferreira SM, Fonseca P, Dias T, Guerreiro C, Barbosa A, Teixeira P, Carvalho M, Ferreira W, Ferreira SPI ND, Braga P, Ribeiro J, Ribeiro VG. Comparison of self-expanding and balloon-expandable transcatheter aortic valves morphology and association with paravalvular regurgitation: Evaluation using multidetector computed tomography. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2018;92:533-41. 596
- 25. Kim WK, Blumenstein J, Liebetrau C, Rolf A, Gaede
 L, Van Linden A, Arsalan M, Doss M, Tijssen JGP,
 HammCW, Walther T, Mollmann H. Comparison of
 outcomes using balloon-expandable versus self-expanding
 transcatheter prostheses according to the extent of aortic
 valve calcification. Clin Res Cardiol 2017;106:995-1004.
- 26. Delgado V, Ng ACT, Van De Veire NR, Van Der Kley
 F, Schuijf JD, Tops LF, De Weger A, Tavilla G, De Roos
 A, Kroft LJ, Schalij MJ, Bax JJ. Transcatheter aortic
 valve implantation: role of multi-detector row computed
 tomography to evaluate prosthesis positioning and
 deployment in relation to valve function. Eur Heart J
 2010;31:1114-23.
- 27. Nomura T, Maeno Y, Yoon SH, Abramowitz Y, Israr
 S, Miyasaka M, Kazuno Y, Takahashi N, Kawamori H,
 Nakamura M, Jilaihawi H, Makkar RR. Early clinical
 outcomes of transcatheter aortic valve replacement in left
 ventricular outflow tract calcification: new-generation
 device vs early-generation device. J Invasive Cardiol
 2018;30:421-7.
- 28. Sorajja P, Kodali S, Reardon MJ, Szeto WY, Chetcuti
 SJ, Hermiller J, Chenoweth S, Adams DH, Popma, JJ.
 Outcomes for the commercial use of self-expanding
 619

620		prostheses in transcatheter aortic valve replacement:
621		a report from the STS/ACC TVT registry. JACC
622		Cardiovasc Interv 2017;10:2090-8.
623	29.	Lanz J, Kim WK, Walther T, Burgdorf C, Möllmann
624		H, Linke A, Redwood S, Thilo C, Hilker M, Joner M,
625		Thiele H, Conzelmann L, Conradi L, Kerber S, Schymik
626		G, Prendergast B, Husser O, Stortecky S, Heg D, Jüni
627		P, Windecker S, Pilgrim T; SCOPE I investigators.
628		Safety and efficacy of a self-expanding versus a balloon-
629		expandable bioprosthesis for transcatheter aortic valve
630		replacement in patients with symptomatic severe aortic
631		stenosis: a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet
632		2019;394:1619-28.
633	30.	Kazuno Y, Maeno Y, Kawamori H, Takahashi N,
634		Abramowitz Y, Babak H, Kashif M, Chakravarty,
635		Nakamura M, Cheng W, Friedman J, Berman D, Makkar
636		RR, Jilaihawai H. Comparison of SAPIEN 3 and SAPIEN
637		XT transcatheter heart valve stent-frame expansion:
638		evaluation using multi-slice computed tomography. Eur
639		Hear J Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;17:1054-62.
640	31.	Kalra SS, Firoozi S, Yeh J, Blackman DJ, Rashid S,
641		Davies S, Moat N, Dalby M, Kabir T, Khogali SS,
642		Anderson RA, Groves PH, Mylotte D, Hildick-Smith
643		D, Rampat R, Kovac J, Gunarathne A, Laborde JC,
644		Brecker SJ. Initial experience of a second-generation self-
645		expanding transcatheter aortic valve: the UK & Ireland
646		Evolut R implanters' registry. JACC Cardiovasc Interv
647		2017;10:276-82.
648	32.	Erkapic D, De Rosa S, Kelava A, Lehmann R,
649		Fichtlscherer S, Hohnloser SH. Risk for permanent
650		pacemaker after transcatheter aortic valve implantation:
651		a comprehensive analysis of the literature. J Cardiovasc
652		Electrophysiol 2012;23:391-7.
653	33.	Gomes B, Geis NA, Chorianopoulos E, Meder B,
654		Leuschner F, Katus HA, Bekeredjian R. Improvements of
655		procedural results with a new-generation self-expanding
		transfemoral aortic valve prosthesis in comparison to the

old-generation device. J Interv Cardiol 2017;30:72-8. 34. Mauri V, Reimann A, Stern D, Scherner M, Kuhn E,

Cite this article as: Mahon C, Davies A, Gambaro A, Musella F, Costa AL, Panoulas V, Nicol E, Duncan A, Davies S, Mirsadraee S. Association of individual aortic leaflet calcification on paravalvular regurgitation and conduction abnormalities with self-expanding trans-catheter aortic valve insertion. Quant Imaging Med Surg 2021;11(5):1970-1982. doi: 10.21037/qims-20-1122

	Rudolph V, Rosenkranz S, Eghbalzadeh K, Friedrichs	656
	K, Wahlers T, Baldus S, Madershahian N, Rudolph TK.	657
	Predictors of permanent pacemaker implantation after	658
	transcatheter aortic valve replacement with the SAPIEN 3.	659
	JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016;9:2200-9.	660
35.	Siontis GCM, Jüni P, Pilgrim T, Stortecky S, Büllesfeld	661
	L, Meier B, Wenaweser P, Windecker S. Predictors of	662
	permanent pacemaker implantation in patients with severe	663
	aortic stenosis undergoing TAVR: a meta-analysis. J Am	664
	Coll Cardiol 2014;64:129-40.	665
36.	Dumonteil N, Meredith IT, Blackman DJ, Tchétché	666
	D, Hildick-Smith D, Spence MS, Walters DL, Harnek	667
	J, Worthley SG, Rioufol G, Lefevre T, Modine T, Van	668
	Mieghem N, Houle Vm, Allocco Dj, Dawkins KD.	669
	Insights into the need for permanent pacemaker following	670
	implantation of the repositionable LOTUS valve for	671
	transcatheter aortic valve replacement in 250 patients:	672
	results from the REPRISE II trial with extended cohort.	673
	EuroIntervention 2017;13:796-803.	674
37.	Blaha MJ, Mortensen MB, Kianoush S, Tota-Maharaj R,	675
	Cainzos-Achirica M. Coronary artery calcium scoring: is	676
	it time for a change in methodology? JACC Cardiovasc	677
	Imaging 2017;10:923-37.	678
38.	Alluri K, Joshi PH, Henry TS, Blumenthal RS, Nasir	679
	K, Blaha MJ. Scoring of coronary artery calcium scans:	680
	History, assumptions, current limitations, and future	681
	directions. Atherosclerosis 2015;239:109-17.	682
39.	den Harder AM, Wolterink JM, Willemink MJ, Schilham	683
	AMR, de Jong PA, Budde RPJ, Nathoe HM, Išgum I,	684
	Leiner T. Submillisievert coronary calcium quantification	685
	using model-based iterative reconstruction: a within-	686
	patient analysis. Eur J Radiol 2016;85:2152-9.	687
40.	Choi AD, Leifer ES, Yu J, Shanbhag SM, Bronson K, Arai	688
	AE, Chen MY. Prospective evaluation of the influence	689
	of iterative reconstruction on the reproducibility of	690
	coronary calcium quantification in reduced radiation	691
	dose 320 detector row CT. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr	
	2016;10:359-63.	