Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 1-2	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	No,We don't have research about Cell materials	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	No,We don't have research about Cell materials	

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	No,We don't have research about Experimental animals	
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	No,We don't have research about Experimental animals	
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) **OR** RRID	No,We don't have research about Experimental animals	

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	No,We don't have research about Plants and microbes	
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	No,We don't have research about Plants and microbes	

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 1-2	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 2	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 3-4	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number **OR** cite DOI in manuscript.	No, The study is not clinical trials	

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 4	

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done**, or** if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 4	
Randomisation	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 4	
Blinding	Yes, Data and method section/ paragraph 2	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Data and method section/ paragraph 3	

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Data and method section/ paragraph 5-6	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Data and method section/ paragraph 5-6	

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Data and method section/ paragraph 2	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Data and method section/ paragraph 2	
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Data and method section/ paragraph 2	

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki(as was revised in 2013). The study was approved by Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College (No.2020-181) and all subjects provided a signed informed consent form for inclusion in the study.	

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Results section/ paragraph1-5	

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Results section/ paragraph1-5	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	Results section/ paragraph1-5	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	Results section/ paragraph1-5	

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:	Results section/ paragraph1-5	
State whether the code or software is available.	Results section/ paragraph1-5	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-21-579.