<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	NO	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	NO	
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		
origin, genetic modification status.		

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID	NO	
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	NO	
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID	NO	

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	NO	
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	NO	

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The Research Ethics Committee of the University of Murcia has exempted the research from being submitted to the Research Committee due to the characteristics of the study (radiographic images used routinely in clinical practice, without any contact with patients). Lines 57-58 and 144-146: "Our study was granted exemption from requiring ethics approval since the complete and irreversible anonymisation of the images did not involve patient data processing."	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	NOT REQUIRED	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	NOT REQUIRED	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration	NOT REQUIRED	.,,
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	NOT REQUIRED	
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	NOT CARRIED OUT	
Randomisation	Lines 181-183: "The average of the measurements at each retest of two randomly selected observers of each	
	group was employed for the agreement estimation	
	within each experience group and between the	
	different experience groups."	
Blinding	Lines 165-167: "To avoid bias, the sequence in which the radiographs were presented was randomly assigned	
	in each of the measurement rounds by the study	
	coordinator, who kept the randomisation key	
	confidential."	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Lines 140-142: "We conducted a prospective and observational study of 33 scoliotic curves in 21 selected	
	standing posteroanterior full-length spine X-ray of	
	patients with AIS, with equivalent image quality and	
	without defects." Lines 148-150: "The selected X-rays showed mild	
	scoliosis (with curves between 11º to 20º, 4 cases),	
	moderate scoliosis (between 21º and 40º, 11 cases) and	
	severe scoliosis (over 40º, 6 cases)."	
Comple definition and in laboratory replication	Vo Codo do considerado en Viva Companyo	- 1-
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication State number of times the experiment was	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) NOT REQUIRED	n/a
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	NOT REQUIRED	
•		,
Ethics Studies involving human participants: State details of	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) The Research Ethics Committee of the University of	n/a
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	Murcia has exempted the research from being	
committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	submitted to the Research Committee due to the characteristics of the study (radiographic images used	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details	NOT REQUIRED	
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if	NOT REQUIRED	
relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,	NO	
state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		
manual in the comment is approved	1	1

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Lines 193-195: "The measurement error distributions' norm was improved by identifying values lower than Q1-(1.5RIC) and higher than Q3+(1.5RIC). These values were considered outliers and were eliminated from each distribution." Lines 196-198: "We have removed outliers based on statistical methods because of their effect on the loss of	

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Lines 184-193: "For the intra- and inter-group	
tests.	concordance analysis of the software and manually	
	measurements, the validity (MBE, Mean Bias Error), the	
	reliability (SD), the standard error of the sample (SEM),	
	the minimum detectable change (MCD95) and the	
	intra-class correlation coefficient of absolute	
	concordance were calculated using a two-factor	
	random-effects model (ICC (2,1). We have assessed	
	intra- and inter-observer reliability according to the	
	criteria by Landis and Koch (< 0 indicate no agreement,	
	0.00 to 0.20 indicate slight agreement, 0.21 to 0.40	
	indicate fair agreement, 0.41 to 0.60 indicate moderate	
	agreement, 0.61 to 0.80 indicate substantial	
	agreement, and 0.81 to 1.0 indicate almost perfect or	
	perfect agreement).	
	The Bland-Altmann graph was also obtained for the	
	concordance between manual and software	
	measurement methods analysis."	
	Statistical analyses are the most appropriate following	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	All the data used in the statistical study are available in	
including protocols for access or restriction on	the article.	
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession	NO	
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide	NO	
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	The software code is based on the equation showed in the manuscript.	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5523775	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	
Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-21-575	