Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and		n/a

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone		n/a
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic		n/a

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog		n/a
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		n/a
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where		n/a

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild		n/a
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available,		n/a

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Ethical approval was given by the medical ethics committee of plastic surgery hospital with the following reference number:201999	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	All patients provided written informed consent.	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	female, average age = 35 ± 7.8 years	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number **OR** cite DOI in		**n/a**

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are		**n/a**

Experimental study design (statistics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done**, or** if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Materials and methods – patients /	
Randomisation	Materials and methods – patients /	
Blinding		**n/a**
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Materials and methods-patients/ paragraph	

Sample definition and in-laboratory	Yes (indicate where provided:	
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Materials and methods-MRI Scan Protocols / paragraph 8	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Technical replicates. results – patient characteristic / paragraph 11	**n/a**

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Ethical approval was given by the medical ethics committee of plastic surgery hospital with the following reference number:201999.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		**n/a**
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required,		**n/a**

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the		**n/a**

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and	the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance. Materials and methods – patients / paragraph 5.	

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	materials and methods-statistical analysis/ paragraph 10	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.		n/a
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or		n/a
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		n/a

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings		n/a
State whether the code or software is		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or		n/a

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.	The authors have completed the MDAR reporting checklist. Footnote – Reporting Checklist.	
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-21-570.