# <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

#### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes	(indicate where provided:	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide			n/a
supplier name, catalogue number and			
Cell materials	Yes	(indicate where provided:	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species			n/a
information, strain. Provide accession			
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier			
name, catalog number, clone			
Primary cultures: Provide species,			n/3
strain, sex of origin, genetic			
Experimental animals	Yes	(indicate where provided:	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species,		• •	n/
strain, sex, age, genetic modification			
status. Provide accession number in			
repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog			
Animal observed in or captured from			n/
the field: Provide species, sex and			
age where possible			
Model organisms: Provide Accession			n/
number in repository (where			
Plants and microbes	Yes	(indicate where provided:	n/
Plants: provide species and strain, unique			n/3
accession number if available, and source			
(including location for collected wild			
Microbes: provide species and strain,			n/
unique accession number if available,			
Human research participants	Yes	(indicate where provided:	n/
Identify authority granting ethics approval			n/
(IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide			
reference number for approval.			
Provide statement confirming informed			n/
consent obtained from study participants.			
Report on age and sex for all study			n/

# <u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial		n/a
registration number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if		n/a
detailed step-by-step protocols are		
Experimental study design (statistics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have	•	n/a
been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		n/a
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		n/a
Sample definition and in-laboratory	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	res (indicate where provided.	n/a
replicated in laboratory		11/4
Define whether data describe technical or		n/a
biological replicates		
		-
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Studies involving human participants:		n/a
State details of authority granting ethics		
approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals:		
State details of authority granting ethics		n/a
approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s),		
provide reference number for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field		n/a
samples: State if relevant permits		,u
obtained, provide details of authority		
approving study; if none were required,		
Duel Has Descends of Concern (DUDC)		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting		n/a
approval and reference number for the		

# <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State if sample or data point from the	In the 4D-LUNG data set, each patient has	
analysis is excluded, and whether the	multiple respiratory phases, and each	
criteria for exclusion were determined and	respiratory phase has multiple CBCT data,	
Statistics	Vac (indicate where provided)	
	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Due to the data set has only 20 patient data,	
choice of tests.	in order to ensure the practical applicability	
	of our model, we use cross-validation. 5	
	disease data is a set as the test set, and the	
	remaining patients are the training set.	
	Repeat the test until each patient is tested	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are		n/a
available, including protocols for access or		
restriction on access.		
If data are publicly available, provide	DOI: 10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7	
accession number in repository or DOI or	http://doi.org/10.7937/K9/TCIA.2016.ELN8Y	
If publicly available data are reused,		n/a
provide accession number in repository or		_
DOI or URL, where possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For all newly generated code and software		n/a
essential for replicating the main findings		
State whether the code or software is		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide		n/a
accession number in repository, or DOI or		

# **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/qims-21-1194.